AMPCO Interrogatory #104

Interrogatory

Reference: Ex D2 T2 S10 P47

OPG first evaluated both proposals (Fox and B&M) based on the technical criteria and concluded that both proposals should progress to the next stage, the pricing evaluation. The results of the initial evaluation placed ES Fox ahead on technical criteria and B&M ahead on price.

a) When performing the technical evaluation, did OPG assess the capability and experience of RCM Technologies Canada Corporation (RCMT) (B&M engineering subcontractor)?

b) How was this technical evaluation conducted?

c) Did OPG review the experience RCMT had as the designer for large seismically qualified civil structures, new multi story building designs, heavy water management and tritium control systems, new first of a kind full system designs, and tank storage systems? If yes, please summarize the experience RCMT has.

d) Provide the list of RCMT related projects reviewed by OPG.

Response

a) Yes, OPG assessed each proponent's team.

b) The evaluation team used the criteria stated in OPG's work request (See Ex. L-D2-02-AMPCO-102 b) and applied the following scoring using whole numbers:
   - No response – 0 points
   - Very poor response – 1-3 points
   - Poor to below satisfactory response – 4-7 points
   - Reasonable to very good response – 8-10 points

c) OPG reviewed all the materials supplied by RCMT. RCMT presents the experience of its team in Attachment 1.

d) See the projects listed in Attachment 1.