# EXHIBIT 7 – COST ALLOCATION

2022 Cost of Service

Ottawa River Power Corp. EB-2021-0052

## TABLE OF CONTENT

1	Table of Content	1
2	7.1 Cost Allocation Study Requirements	4
3	7.1.1 Overview of Cost Allocation	4
4	7.1.2 Previously Approved Cost Allocation Study (2016)	4
5	7.1.3 New Customer Class	5
6	7.1.4 Elimination of a Customer Class	5
7 8	7.2 Proposed Cost Allocation Study (2022 using the 2021 Model)	6
9	7.2.1 Trial Balance Input	6
10	7.2.2 Break-out of Assets	6
11	7.2.3 Miscellanoues Data	6
12	7.2.4 Weighting Factors	7
13	7.2.5 Revenue	12
14	7.2.6 Customer Data	12
15	7.2.7 Meter Capital & Meter Reading	14
16	7.2.8 Demand Data	14
17	7.2.9 Direct Allocation	17
18	7.2.10 Worksheet O1-O2	17
19	7.3 Class Revenue Requirements	20
20	7.3.2 Class Revenue Analysis	20
21	7.4 Revenue-to-Cost Ratios	23
22	7.4.1 Cost Allocation Results and Analysis	23
23	7.4.2 Host Distributor	27

### Table of Figures

1	Table 1 - Previously Approved Ratios (2016 COS)	.4
2	Table 2 - Weighting Factors	.8
3	Table 3 – Breakdown of Weighting Factors 1	0
4	Table 4 - Worksheet "I6-1 Revenue" of the Cost Allocation Model       1	2
5	Table 5 - Worksheet "I6-2 Customer Data" of the Cost Allocation Model	3
6 7	Table 6 - Non-Coincident Peak: Demand Data for 2022 Test Year (adjusted to 2022 Load Forecast)	5
8	Table 7 - Coincident Peak: Demand Data for 2022 Test Year (adjusted to 2022 Load Forecast) 1	5
9	Table 8 – Worksheet O1 – Revenue to Cost Ratios of the Cost Allocation Model 1	8
10	Table 9 – Worksheet O2 – Revenue to Cost Ratios of the Cost Allocation Model 1	9
11	Table 10 – 2016 Test Year Results of the Cost Allocation Study (EB-2015-0105) 2	20
12	Table 11 - Results of the Cost Allocation Study	20
13	Table 12- Base Revenue Requirement Under 3 Scenarios    2	21
14	Table 13 - Revenue Offset Allocation as per Cost Allocation Study	21
15	Table 14 - Service Revenue Requirement Under 3 Scenarios       2	22
16	Table 15 – Proposed Revenue Allocation	23
17	Table 16 – Revenue to Cost Ratios 2022, 2023 and 20242	25
18	Table 17 - OEB Rev Reqt Workform: worksheet "11. Cost Allocation"	26

#### 1 7.1 COST ALLOCATION STUDY REQUIREMENTS

#### 2 7.1.1 OVERVIEW OF COST ALLOCATION

3 ORPC has prepared and is filing a cost allocation informational filing consistent with its' 4 understanding of the Directions and Policies in the Board's Reports of November 28, 2007 5 Application of Cost Allocation for Electricity Distributors, and March 31, 2011 Review of Electricity 6 Distribution Cost Allocation Policy (EB-2010-0219) (the "Cost Allocation Reports") and all 7 subsequent updates.

8 The main objectives of the original informational filing in 2006 were to provide information on 9 any apparent cross-subsidization among a distributor's rate classifications and to support future 10 rate applications. This information is updated to reflect new parameters and inputs and then used 11 to adjust any cross-subsidization in the proposed rates.

12

#### 13 7.1.2 PREVIOUSLY APPROVED COST ALLOCATION STUDY (2016)

The Previously Board Approved ratios are presented as a point of reference to the proposed Test Year (2022) ratios. As part of its last Cost of Service Rate Application<sup>1</sup>, ORPC updated the cost allocation revenue to cost ratios with 2016 base revenue requirement information. The revenue to cost ratios from the 2016 application are presented below:

18

#### Table 1 - Previously Approved Ratios (2016 COS)

Customer Class Name	2016 Approved Revenue to Cost Ratio
Residential	0.92
General Service < 50 kW	1.16
General Service 50 to 999 kW	1.17
General Service 1,000 to 4,999 kW	0.80
Unmetered Scattered Load	0.80
Sentinel Lights	0.80
Street Lighting	1.20

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> EB-2014-0105 Ottawa River Power Inc. 2016 Cost of Service application.

### 1 7.1.3 NEW CUSTOMER CLASS

- 2 ORPC notes that there have been no changes in its class composition since 2016. The utility is not
- 3 proposing to introduce any new customer classes.

### 4 7.1.4 ELIMINATION OF A CUSTOMER CLASS

5 ORPC is not proposing to eliminate any customer rate classes.

#### 1 7.2 PROPOSED COST ALLOCATION STUDY (2022 USING THE 2021 MODEL)

The Cost Allocation Study for 2022 allocates the Test Year 2022 costs (i.e. the 2022 forecasted revenue requirement) to the various customer classes using allocators that are based on the forecast class loads (kW and kWh) by class and customer counts.

- 5 ORPC has used the latest OEB published Cost Allocation Model (issued June 24, 2021) and 6 followed the instructions provided by the OEB to enter the 2022 data into this model.
- 7 Below is a summary of the process that ORPC applied in completing the 2022 Cost Allocation8 Model.

#### 9 7.2.1 TRIAL BALANCE INPUT

- 10 ORPC populated the information in worksheet "I3, Trial Balance Data" with the 2022 forecasted
- data, Target Net Income, PILs, interest on long term debt, and the targeted Revenue Requirement
   and Rate Base.
- The Applicant confirmed that the values balanced with the Revenue Requirement and the RateWorkform as per the Revenue Requirement Workform.

#### 15 7.2.2 BREAK-OUT OF ASSETS

- In worksheet "I4, Break-out of Assets", ORPC updated the allocation of the accounts based on Test
  Year 2022 values.
- 18 The Applicant confirmed that all items balanced as per the Cost Allocation model.
- ORPC referred to the OEB's "Cost Allocation Information Filing Guidelines for Electricity
   Distributors" to confirm the understanding of bulk assets and definitions of primary and secondary
- 21 assets.

#### 22 7.2.3 MISCELLANOUES DATA

23 In worksheet "I5.1, Miscellaneous data", ORPC inputted:

- 1 o Structure kilometers of 362.27km. This is consisting of the utility having 155.00km of primary
- 2 overhead, 30.01km of primary underground and 177.26km of secondary along roads where
- 3 there is no primary distribution line. ORPC referred to the OEB's "Cost Allocation Information
- 4 Filing Guidelines for Electricity Distributors" to confirm the definition of km.<sup>2</sup>
- 5  $\circ$  The deemed equity component of 40% the rate base.
- 6 o A working capital allowance of 7.5%.
- 7 o The proportion of pole rental revenue from secondary poles / distribution lines is based on
  8 the analysis of the overhead primary and secondary line.

### 9 7.2.4 WEIGHTING FACTORS

As instructed by the Board, in worksheet "I5.2, Weighting Factors", ORPC has used LDC specific factors rather than continue to use OEB approved default factors. The utility has applied service and billing & collecting weightings for each customer classification.

These weightings are based on a review of time and costs incurred in servicing its' customerclasses.

The table below summarizes the weighting factors assigned to the customer classes for (a)
Services Account 1855 and (b) billing and collecting:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cost Allocation Information Filing Guidelines for Electricity Distributors issued November 15, 2006, section 7.4.2.4

-	
-	

#### 2 3 8 1 7 9 Unmetered GS <50 GS 50-999 Residential Streetlight Sentinel Scattered kW kW Load Insert Weighting Factor for 1.0 2.0 10.0 0.3 0.3 0.3 Services Account 1855 Insert Weighting Factor for 1.0 1.0 0.9 0.9 2.2 1.0 Billing and Collecting

Table 2 - Weighting Factors

2

### 3 **Proposed Billing and Collecting Weighting Factors**

4 o *Residential:* weighting factor set as "1" per Cost Allocation instruction sheet.

General Service <50 kW: weighting factor is "0.98" (rounded to 1.0 in the CA model) as ORPC</li>
 believes that no more time, attention and costs are spent on these customers as the residential
 class. Although the GS<50 kW customers are periodically monitored to assess if their kVA</li>
 demand means that they qualify to move into the GS50 – 999 kW class, this is off-set by ORPC
 printing fewer bills and receiving fewer calls from customers in this rate class when compared
 to the Residential Class.

General Service 50-4,999 kW: weighting factor "0.88". There is additional staff time required
 to prepare and validate each bill to ensure monthly consumption data aligns to the settlement
 data for the period. However, collection costs are lower than those incurred when dealing with
 General Service <50 kW customers and fewer bills are printed and fewer calls received from</li>
 this rate class when compared to the Residential Class.

Street Lighting: weighting factor "2.2" is proposed as this customer class does not give rise to
 collection activity so no collection costs have been allocated. The weighting factor reflects the
 extremely low volume of bills issued. ORPC discusses and confirms load profile data and bill
 impact with the Township when new rates and charges are introduced.

Sentinel Lighting: weighting factor "0.9" is proposed because, similar to Street Lighting, this
 class does not give rise to collection costs. The weighting factor reflects that relatively fewer
 bills are issued to this customer class.

23 o Unmetered Scattered Load: weighting factor "0.98" is proposed because, similar to Sentinel

Lighting, weighting factor reflects that relatively fewer bills are issued to this customer class.

- 2 A derivation of the billing and collecting weighting factors for the rate class is illustrated in the
- 3 table below.

#### Table 3 – Breakdown of Weighting Factors

|--|

2022	
Accounts 5305 - 5340	2022
5305-Supervision	61,129.00
5315-Customer Billing	611,929.00
5320-Collecting	127,047.00
5325-Collecting- Cash Over and Short	-
5330-Collection Charges	-
5340-Miscellaneous Customer Accounts Expenses	80.00

	Residential	GS < 50 *	GS > 50	USL	Street Lighting	Sentinel Lighting	
2021 Projected # of Customers (load forecast)	10191	1264	151	20	5	6	11637
# bills (per tab I6.2 of CA model)	122295	15168	1811	240	60	72	139646
Examples of Expenses							Total Annual Cost
5315 - Customer Billing - Labor & overheads	146,507.80	18,171.06	2,169.55	287.52	71.88	86.26	167,294.07
5315 - Customer Billing - IT - Labor & overheads	55,625.62	6,899.13	823.73	109.16	27.29	32.75	63,517.68
5315 - Customer Billing expenses (ERTH Holdings)	2,410.71	2,908.80	617.62	99.62	39.85	518.00	6,594.60
5315 - Customer Billing expenses (ESRI)	4,287.93	531.82	63.50	8.41	2.10	2.52	4,896.29
5315 - Customer Billing expenses (E-Billing Hosting)	10,175.33	1,262.02					11,437.35
5315 - Customer Billing expenses (Internet and Utilities)	29,827.09	3,699.39	441.69	58.53	14.63	17.56	34,058.90
5315 - Customer Billing expenses (Postage and Folding Machine Leases)	17,879.84	2,217.60	264.77	35.09	8.77	10.53	20,416.60
5315 - Customer Billing expenses (Canada Post)	98,955.44	12,273.24	1,465.38	194.20	48.55	58.26	112,995.06
5315 - Customer Billing expenses (Letterhead)	11,601.50	1,438.91	171.80	22.77	5.69	6.83	13,247.50
5315 - Customer Billing expenses (Supplies)	3,025.90	375.30	44.81	5.94	1.48	1.78	3,455.21
5315 - Customer Billing expenses (NorthStar)	81,383.34	10,093.81	1,205.16	159.71	39.93	47.91	92,929.87
5315 - Customer Billing expenses (Utilismart - Settlements)	50,890.93	6,311.90	753.62	99.87	24.97	29.96	58,111.25
5320 - Collecting - Labour	130,988.50	12,110.86	318.71	159.35	-	-	143,577.42
5320 - Collecting - Credit Bureau Fees	(620.28)	(57.35)	(1.51)	(0.75)	-	-	(679.89)
5325 - Collecting - Cash Over and Short	(1,022.78)	(94.56)	(2.49)	(1.24)	-	-	(1,121.08)
5330 - Returned Cheques and Reconnection Charges	(5,417.26)	(671.89)	(80.22)	(10.63)			(6,180.00)
5340 - Misc. Cust Account Exp Lawyer Requisitions	(236.68)	(29.35)	(3.50)	(0.46)			(270.00)
5340 - Misc. Cust Account Exp Supplies	199.06	24.69	2.95	0.39			227.09

5315 - Customer Billing	636,461.99	77,465.39	8,255.56	1,227.48	285.15	812.36	724,507.92
Total	5.20	5.11	4.56	5.11	4.75	11.28	
Weighting (Residential set as standard)	1.00	0.98	0.88	0.98	0.91	2.17	

2

3 The above table shows:

a) The annual costs to produce an electricity bill including, but not limited to, vendor 4

5

maintenance fees for Customer Information Systems, bill-print scanning solutions for

1		document management and e-billing, collecting meter readings and interval data, bill
2		data validation and labour time to calculate, print and validate bills. Costs are allocated
3		based on the number of accounts and whether the expense is unique to a certain rate
4		class.
5	b)	Collection costs mainly relate to ORPC labour as the utility performs the majority of its

own collections. Final billed customers overdue in excess of 3 to 6 months are referred to
collections agencies.

#### 1 7.2.5 REVENUE

- 2 In worksheet "I6.1 Revenue", ORPC has inputted the 2022 Test Year load forecast data (kWh and
- 3 kW), the proposed revenue deficiency and miscellaneous revenue as well as current rates (derived
- 4 from the LDC's 2020 IRM rate application EB-2020-0049: Final Rate Order, March 25, 2021). This
- 5 is illustrated in the table below:

6

#### Table 4 - Worksheet "I6-1 Revenue" of the Cost Allocation Model

Total kWhs from Load Forecast	182,877,727							
-								
Total k₩s from Load Forecast	223,329							
-								
Deficiency/sufficiency ( f //F 8. cell F51)	101,962							
-								
Miscellaneous Revenue (RRWF 5. cell F48)	365,681							
	0							
			1	2	3	7	8	9
	ID	Total	Residential	GS <50	GS>50- Regular	Street Light	Sentinel	Unmetered Scattered Load
Billing Data								
Forecast kWh	CEN	182,877,727	80,356,209	29,645,117	70,993,966	1,080,789	194,767	606,879
Forecast kW	CDEM	223,329			219,807	3,027	495	
Forecast kW, included in CDEM, of customers receiving line transformer allowance		30 565			30.565			
Optional - Forecast kWh, included in CEN, from customers that receive a line transformation allowance on a kWh basis. In most cases this will not be applicable and will be left blank.								
KWh excluding KWh from Wholesale		-						
Market Participants	CENEWMP	182,877,727	80,356,209	29,645,117	70,993,966	1,080,789	194,767	606,879
Existing Monthly Charge			\$24.63	\$23.74	\$89.34	\$2.51	\$3.11	\$10.91
Existing Distribution kWh Rate				\$0.0135	•			\$0.0037
Existing Distribution kW Rate					\$3.7003	\$13.7739	\$9.6026	
Existing TUA Hate Additional Charges					\$0.60			
Distribution Revenue from Rates		\$4,893,817	\$3,012,117	\$760,302	\$975,199	\$130,518	\$10,947	\$4,733
Transformer Ownership Allowance	00511	\$18,339	\$0	\$0	\$18,339	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net Class Revenue	CREV	\$4,875,478	\$3,012,117	\$760,302	\$956,860	\$130,518	\$10,947	\$4,733
			\$0	\$400,209	\$813,351	\$41,694	\$4,752	\$2,245
			\$3,012,117	\$360,093	\$161,848	\$88,824	\$6,195	\$2,487

8

9

#### 10 7.2.6 CUSTOMER DATA

11 Worksheet "I6.2 Customer Data" has been updated with the required Bad Debt and Late Payment

12 revenue data as well as the 2022 Test Year forecasted number of customers, connections and

- 1 number of devices. ORPC reviewed Navigant's report "Cost Allocation to Different Types of Street
- 2 Lighting Configurations" (issued June 12, 2015) as well as the Board's letter dated June 12, 2015,
- 3 "Review of Cost Allocation Policy for Unmetered Loads EB-2012-0383" and has inputted the
- 4 number of devices and connections for its' Street Lighting class.) Below is a summary of worksheet
- 5 "I6.2 Customer Data":
- 6

#### Table 5 - Worksheet "I6-2 Customer Data" of the Cost Allocation Model

#### Sheet I6.2 Customer Data Worksheet •

						-	•	
			1	2	3	1	8	9
	ID	Total	Residential	GS <50	GS>50-Regular	Street Light	Sentinel	Unmetered Scattered Load
Billing Data								
Bad Debt 3 Year Historical Average	BDHA	\$104,382	\$85,613	\$13,605	\$5,164	\$0	\$0	\$0
Late Payment 3 Year Historical Average	LPHA	\$42,200	\$29,301	\$7,188	\$5,711			
Number of Bills	CNB	139,634	122,295	15,168.18	1,811.60	60.00	72.00	228.00
Number of Devices	CDEV							
Number of Connections (Unmetered)	CCON	3,115				2,949	166	
Total Number of Customers	CCA	11,636	10,191	1,264	151	5	6	19
Bulk Customer Base	CCB	11,636	10,191	1,264	151	5	6	19
Primary Customer Base	CCP	11,631	10,191	1,264	151	-	6	19
Line Transformer Customer Base	CCLT	11,488	10,191	1,264	8	-	6	19
Secondary Customer Base	CCS	11,628	10,191	1,264	143	5	6	19
Weighted - Services	CWCS	15,089	10,191	2,528	1,430	885	50	6
Weighted Meter -Capital	CWMC	1,915,334	1,226,766	464,715	223,853	-	-	-
Weighted Meter Reading	CWMR	17,344	10,192	1,370	5,782	-	-	-
Weighted Bills	CWNB	139,188	122,295	14,865	1,594	55	156	223

#### Bad Debt Data

Historic Year:	2018	173,608	136,441	25,756	11,411			
Historic Year:	2019	34,385	30,181	4,204				
Historic Year:	2020	105,152	90,216	10,854	4,082			
Three-year average		104,382	85,613	13,605	5,164	-	-	-

#### 1 7.2.7 METER CAPITAL & METER READING

ORPC has updated the capital cost per meter information in worksheet "I7.1 Meter Capital" and
the meter reading information in worksheet "I7.2 Meter Reading".

#### 4 7.2.8 DEMAND DATA

For previous ORPC Cost of Service applications, the Applicant relied on load profiles produced by
Hydro One Networks Inc., (HONI) which were based on sample data from 2004. The coincident
peak and non-coincident peak values populated in worksheet 18 of the OEB's Cost Allocation
model were scaled from ORPC's initial cost allocation informational filing, using the ratio of the
Test Year load forecast to the base year load for each rate class.

ORPC is supportive of other methods filed in recent application which provides a more realistic demand profile for its rate-classes based on recent demand and weather data (HDD and CDD). That being said, in the preparation of this application, OPRC has weighed the costs, both in terms of financial commitment and in terms of time and resources. ORPC has opted to use the HONI method in this case. ORPC is cognizant that updates to the load profiles based on recent data are in the early stages of being evaluated by the regulator and various stakeholders and hopes to learn from other's applications evidence, interrogatories and submissions in that respect.

17 ORPC intends to update its demand profiles in its next Cost of Service application.

18 The tables below summarize the NCP and CP demand values for 2022 by customer class which

19 are used in the Cost Allocation model:

#### Table 6 - Non-Coincident Peak: Demand Data for 2022 Test Year (adjusted to 2022

#### 2

Load Forecast)

			1	2	3	7	8	9
Customer Classes		Total	Residential	G S <50	GS>50-Regular	Street Light	Sentinel	Unmetered Scattered Load
		CP Sanity Check	Check 12 CP	Check 1 CP	Check 1 CP	Check 12 CP	Check 1 CP	Pass
CO-INCIDEN	T PEAK							
1 CP								
Transformation CP	TCP1	42,365	21,099	8,079	12,819	251	45	72
Bulk Delivery CP	BCP1	42,365	21,099	8,079	12,819	251	45	72
Total Sytem CP	DCP1	42,365	21,099	8,079	12,819	251	45	72
4 CP								
Transformation CP	TCP4	127,921	56,326	24,033	46,098	1,002	181	280
Bulk Delivery CP	BCP4	127,921	56,326	24,033	46,098	1,002	181	280
Total Sytem CP	DCP4	127,921	56,326	24,033	46,098	1,002	181	280
12 CP								
Transformation CP	TCP12	404,704	181,026	78,345	140,954	3,008	542	830
Bulk Delivery CP	BCP12	404,704	181,026	78,345	140,954	3,008	542	830
Total Sytem CP	DCP12	404,704	181,026	78,345	140,954	3,008	542	830

3 4

## Table 7 - Coincident Peak: Demand Data for 2022 Test Year (adjusted to 2022 Load

#### 5

Forecast)

NON CO_INCIDE	NT PEAK	1						
		NCP	_	_	_	_	_	_
		Sanity Check	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
1 NCP								
Classification NCP from								
Load Data Provider	DNCP1	39,623	21,099	7,172	10,984	251	45	72
Primary NCP	PNCP1	39,623	21,099	7,172	10,984	251	45	72
Line Transformer NCP	LTNCP1	28,639	21,099	7,172		251	45	72
Secondary NCP	SNCP1	28,639	21,099	7,172		251	45	72
4 NCP								
Classification NCP from								
Load Data Provider	DNCP4	143,120	76,591	25,579	39,480	1,003	181	287
Primary NCP	PNCP4	143,120	76,591	25,579	39,480	1,003	181	287
Line Transformer NCP	LTNCP4	103,640	76,591	25,579		1,003	181	287
Secondary NCP	SNCP4	103,640	76,591	25,579		1,003	181	287
12 NCP								
Classification NCP from								
Load Data Provider	DNCP12	335,071	159,905	63,737	108,997	1,358	245	830
Primary NCP	PNCP12	335,071	159,905	63,737	108,997	1,358	245	830
Line Transformer NCP	LTNCP12	226,074	159,905	63,737		1,358	245	830
Secondary NCP	SNCP12	226,074	159,905	63,737		1,358	245	830

7

- 1 ORPC has inputted the NCP and CP values derived from the "HONI" method into worksheet "I8
- 2 Demand Data" of the OEB's Cost Allocation Model.
- 3 ORPC confirms the following:

The Applicant proposes to use the CP and NCP data, as calculated under the "traditional
HONI method".

- 6 The Applicant has filed the Cost Allocation model, as a live excel file, with this application.
- 7 o The Applicant has populated sheets 11 and 12 of the Revenue Requirement Workform.
- 8 The Applicant confirms that the inputs to the model are consistent with the test year load
- 9 forecast, changes to customer classes and load profiles.

### 1 7.2.9 DIRECT ALLOCATION

2 ORPC confirms that no Direct Allocations were entered in worksheet "I9. Direct Allocation"

### 3 7.2.10 WORKSHEET 01-02

- 4 The revenue to cost ratios calculated in worksheet "O1 Revenue to Cost|RR" of the Cost Allocation
- 5 model updated for the Test Year 2022 is presented in the table below:

### Table 8 – Worksheet O1 – Revenue to Cost Ratios of the Cost Allocation Model<sup>3</sup>

			1	2	3	7	8	9
Rate Base Assets		Total	Residential	GS <50	G\$>50-Regular	Street Light	Sentinel	Unmetered Scattered Load
crev	Distribution Revenue at Existing Rates	\$4.875.478	\$3.012.117	\$760,302	\$956,860	\$130,518	\$10,947	\$4,733
mi	Miscellaneous Revenue (mi)	\$365,681	\$262,976	\$57,764	\$36,705	\$6,681	\$1,016	\$539
		Misc	ellaneous Reveni	ue input equals O	utput			
	Total Revenue at Existing Rates	\$5,241,158	\$3,275,093	\$818,065	\$993,565	\$137,199	\$11,964	\$5,272
	Factor required to recover deficiency (1 + D)	1.0164						
	Distribution Revenue at Status Quo Rates	\$4,955,456	\$3,061,529	\$772,774	\$972,557	\$132,659	\$11,127	\$4,811
	Miscellaneous Revenue (mi)	\$365,681	\$262,976	\$57,764	\$36,705	\$6,681	\$1,016	\$539
	Total Revenue at Status Quo Rates	\$5,321,137	\$3,324,505	\$830,538	\$1,009,262	\$139,340	\$12,143	\$5,349
	_							
	Expenses		****	A050.004	0001711			<b>20.017</b>
ai	Distribution Costs (di)	\$1,354,463	\$858,619	\$250,881	\$201,711	\$35,688	\$4,948	\$2,617
cu	Customer Related Costs (cu) General and Administration (ad)	\$1,086,234	\$903,267	\$127,203	\$44,000	\$0,102	\$1,341	\$1,330
den	Depreciation and Amortization (dep)	\$957 293	\$621.073	\$197,020	\$120,079	\$23,175	\$3,020	\$1,500
INPUT	Pll s (INPLIT)	\$007,200	\$021,075	\$104,010	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
INT	Interest	\$212,359	\$140.465	\$40.663	\$24,648	\$5,398	\$805	\$380
	Total Expenses	\$4.878.036	\$3,436,069	\$801.473	\$523,124	\$95,664	\$13,712	\$7,993
						. ,		
	Direct Allocation	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NI	Allocated Net Income (NI)	\$443,101	\$293,089	\$84,847	\$51,429	\$11,264	\$1,679	\$793
	Revenue Requirement (includes NI)	\$5,321,137	\$3,729,159	\$886,320	\$574,553	\$106,928	\$15,391	\$8,786
		Revenue Ree	quirement Input e	quals Output				
	Rate Base Calculation							
	Net Accets							
dn	Distribution Plant - Gross	\$19 828 482	\$13,070,344	\$3 701 0/1	\$2 338 225	\$518,969	\$75.408	\$34.495
ap	General Plant - Gross	\$2 549 425	\$1,688,068	\$480,598	\$296 272	\$69,489	\$10,306	\$4,493
accum dep	Accumulated Depreciation	(\$7,678,773)	(\$5,023,149)	(\$1.511.185)	(\$925,778)	(\$181,311)	(\$25,401)	(\$11.949)
co	Capital Contribution	(\$3,172,244)	(\$2,110,194)	(\$555,823)	(\$370,714)	(\$112,531)	(\$16,412)	(\$6.571)
	Total Net Plant	\$11,526,890	\$7,625,069	\$2,204,630	\$1,338,005	\$294,616	\$43,902	\$20,668
	Net Assets							
dp	Distribution Plant - Gross	\$19,828,482	\$13,070,344	\$3,791,041	\$2,338,225	\$518,969	\$75,408	\$34,495
gp	General Plant - Gross	\$2,549,425	\$1,688,068	\$480,598	\$296,272	\$69,489	\$10,306	\$4,693
accum dep	Accumulated Depreciation	(\$7,678,773)	(\$5,023,149)	(\$1,511,185)	(\$925,778)	(\$181,311)	(\$25,401)	(\$11,949)
co	Capital Contribution	(\$3,172,244)	(\$2,110,194)	(\$555,823)	(\$370,714)	(\$112,531)	(\$16,412)	(\$6,571)
	Total Net Plant	\$11,526,890	\$7,625,069	\$2,204,630	\$1,338,005	\$294,616	\$43,902	\$20,668
	Directly Allocated Net Fixed Assets	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
COP	Cost of Power (COP)	\$19,698,362	\$8,663,764	\$3,192,215	\$7,639,810	\$116,306	\$20,959	\$65,307
	OM&A Expenses	\$3,708,394	\$2,674,531	\$575,992	\$375,196	\$67,045	\$9,617	\$6,014
	Directly Allocated Expenses	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Subtotal	\$23,406,757	\$11,338,295	\$3,768,207	\$8,015,006	\$183,351	\$30,577	\$71,321
	Working Capital	\$1,755,507	\$850,372	\$282,616	\$601,125	\$13,751	\$2,293	\$5,349
	Total Bate Bace	612 000 200	CO 475 441	\$0.497.04E	\$1 020 121	\$209.269	\$4C 10E	\$26.017
	Iotal Rate Base	\$15,262,556	\$0,475,441	\$2,407,245	\$1,333,131	\$506,566	\$40,135	\$20,017
		Rate B	ase input equals	Output				
	Equity Component of Rate Base	\$5,312,959	\$3,390,177	\$994,898	\$775,652	\$123,347	\$18,478	\$10,407
	Net Income on Allocated Assets	\$443,101	(\$111,564)	\$29,064	\$486,137	\$43,676	(\$1,569)	(\$2,644)
	Net Income on Direct Allocation Assets	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Net Income	\$443,101	(\$111,564)	\$29,064	\$486,137	\$43,676	(\$1,569)	(\$2,644)
	RATIOS ANALYSIS							
	REVENUE TO EXPENSES STATUS QUO%	100.00%	89.15%	93.71%	175.66%	130.31%	78.90%	60.89%
	EXISTING REVENUE MINUS ALL OCATED COSTS	(\$79.978)	(\$454.065)	(\$68.255)	\$419.012	\$30 271	(\$3.428)	(\$3.514)
		Deficiency	Input Does Not E	nual Output	4410,012	400,E11	(00,420)	(00,014)
		Denciency	input boes NOLE	quai output				
	STATUS QUO REVENUE MINUS ALLOCATED COSTS	(\$0)	(\$404,654)	(\$55,783)	\$434,708	\$32,412	(\$3,248)	(\$3,436)
	RETURN ON FOURY COMPONENT OF PATE DAGE		0.000	0.000	00.075	05 445	0.400	05 100
	RETURN ON EQUITE COMPONENT OF RATE BASE	8.34%	-3.29%	2.92%	62.67%	35.41%	-8.49%	-25.40%

3

4

5

### Table 9 – Worksheet O2 – Revenue to Cost Ratios of the Cost Allocation Model

		1	2	3	7	8	9
	<u>Summary</u>	Residential	G\$ <50	GS>50-Regular	Street Light	Sentinel	Unmetered Scattered Load
	Customer Unit Cost per month - Avoided Cost	\$6.50	\$8.59	\$24.94	\$0.21	\$0.58	\$5.08
	Customer Unit Cost per month - Directly Related	\$9.89	\$12.71	\$37.91	\$0.33	\$0.91	\$7.92
	Customer Unit Cost per month - Minimum System with PLCC Adjustment	\$17.38	\$22.52	\$64.95	\$2.57	\$7.44	\$13.02
2	Existing Approved Fixed Charge	\$24.63	\$23.74	\$89.34	\$2.51	\$3.11	\$10.91

### **1 7.3 CLASS REVENUE REQUIREMENTS**

#### 2 7.3.2 CLASS REVENUE ANALYSIS

- 3 The table below shows the results from the previous Cost Allocation study from the 2016 Test
- 4 Year as approved in ORPC's 2016 Cost of Service rate application (EB-2016-0105):

#### 5 Table 10 – 2016 Test Year Results of the Cost Allocation Study (EB-2015-0105)

Cost Allocation Results	REVENUE ALLOCATION (sheet 01)						CUSTOMER UNIT COST PER MONTH (sheet O2)					
Customer Class Name	Service F (row	Rev Req 40)	Misc. F (mi) (r	levenue ow19)	Base Re (row	ev Req 80)	Rev2Cost Expenses %	Avoided Costs (Minimum Charge)	Directly Related	Minimum System with PLCC * adjustment	Maximum Charge	
Residential	3,108,489	64.62%	183,833	64.73%	2,924,656	64.61%	92.51%	\$6.85	\$10.45	\$18.50	\$18.50	
General Service < 50 kW	753,643	15.67%	44,028	15.50%	709,614	15.68%	111.90%	\$7.02	\$10.89	\$21.44	\$22.97	
General Service > 50 to 4999 kW	799,337	16.62%	39,732	13.99%	759,605	16.78%	115.32%	\$27.32	\$39.01	\$85.43	\$378.72	
Sentinel Ligthing	18,756	0.39%	1,260	0.44%	17,496	0.39%	76.73%	\$0.72	\$1.16	\$7.60	\$7.60	
Streetlights	124,748	2.59%	14,882	5.24%	109,866	2.43%	122.10%	\$0.66	\$1.05	\$3.27	\$3.27	
Unmetered Scattered Load	5,617	0.12%	274	0.10%	5,343	0.12%	53.32%	\$2.88	\$4.59	\$10.83	\$10.83	
TOTAL	4,810,590	100.00%	284,010	100.00%	4,526,580	100.00%						

6

- 7 The table below shows the results from the latest 2022 Test Year Cost Allocation study. These
- 8 results are used to compare and analyze the distribution costs under each option and help the
- 9 utility determine its' 2022 proposed ratios.
- 10

### Table 11 - Results of the Cost Allocation Study

Cost Allocation Results REVENUE ALLOCATION (sheet 01)						)	CUSTOMER UNIT COST PER MONTH (sheet O2)					
Customer Class Name	Service F (row	Rev Req 940)	Misc. Rev (rov	venue (mi) v19)	Base Ro	ev Req	Rev2Cost Expenses %	Avoided Costs (Minimum Charge)	Directly Related	Minimum System with PLCC * adjustment	Maximum Charge	Maximum Charge or Existing Rate
Residential	3,729,159	70.08%	262,976	71.91%	3,466,151	69.95%	89.15%	\$6.50	\$9.89	\$17.38	\$17.38	\$24.63
GS<50 kW	886,320	16.66%	57,764	15.80%	828,565	16.72%	93.70%	\$8.59	\$12.71	\$22.52	\$22.52	\$23.74
GS 50 to 4999 kW	574,553	10.80%	36,705	10.04%	537,848	10.85%	175.63%	\$24.94	\$37.91	\$64.95	\$64.95	\$89.34
Sentinel Lighting	15,391	0.29%	1,016	0.28%	14,375	0.29%	78.90%	\$0.58	\$0.91	\$7.44	\$7.44	\$7.44
Street Lighting	106,928	2.01%	6,681	1.83%	100,251	2.02%	130.32%	\$0.21	\$0.33	\$2.57	\$2.57	\$2.57
Unmetered Scattered Load	8,786	0.17%	539	0.15%	8,248	0.17%	60.88%	\$5.08	\$7.92	\$13.02	\$13.02	\$13.02
Other Class					0						\$0.00	\$0.00
TOTAL	5,321,137	100.00%	365,681	100.00%	4,955,456	100.00%						

11

- 1 The table below shows the allocation percentage and base revenue requirement allocation under
- 2 the three scenarios of (a) existing rates, (b) cost allocation results and (c) proposed 2022 proposed
- 3 allocation.
- 4

### Table 12- Base Revenue Requirement Under 3 Scenarios

		Proposed Base Revenue Requirement %								
Customer Class Name	Cost Allocation Results		Existin	g Rates	Proposed Allocation					
Residential	69.95%	3,466,341	61.78%	3,061,481	64.95%	3,218,569				
GS<50 kW	16.72%	828,552	15.59%	772,556	15.59%	772,714				
GS 50 to 4999 kW	10.85%	537,667	19.63%	972,756	16.65%	824,853				
Sentinel Lighting	0.29%	14,371	0.22%	10,902	0.23%	11,293				
Street Lighting	2.02%	100,100	2.68%	132,806	2.45%	121,456				
Unmetered Scattered Load	0.17%	8,424	0.10%	4,955	0.13%	6,632				
Other Class										
TOTAL	100.00%	4,955,456	100.00%	4,955,456	100.00%	4,955,517				

5

- 6 The table below shows the revenue offset allocation which resulted from Cost Allocation Study
- 7 (Sheet O1).

8

### Table 13 - Revenue Offset Allocation as per Cost Allocation Study

	Revenue Offsets						
Customer Class Name	%	\$					
Residential	71.91%	262,976					
GS<50 kW	15.80%	57,764					
GS 50 to 4999 kW	10.04%	36,705					
Sentinel Lighting	0.28%	1,016					
Street Lighting	1.83%	6,681					
Unmetered Scattered Load	0.15%	539					
Other Class							
TOTAL	100.00%	365,681					

9

10 The table below shows the allocation of the service revenue requirement under the same three

11 scenarios.

#### **Service Revenue Requirement \$ Customer Class Name** Existing Cost Rate Rates Allocation Application Residential 3,324,505 3,729,159 3,481,544 GS<50 kW 830,538 886,320 830,479 GS 50 to 4999 kW 1,009,262 574,553 861,558 Sentinel Lighting 12,143 15,391 12,310 Street Lighting 139,340 106,928 128,138 Unmetered Scattered Load 5,349 7,170 8,786 Other Class TOTAL 5,321,137 5,321,137 5,321,959

Table 14 - Service Revenue Requirement Under 3 Scenarios

#### **1 7.4 REVENUE-TO-COST RATIOS**

- 2 The results of a cost allocation study are typically presented in the form of revenue to cost ratios.
- 3 The ratio is shown by rate classification and is the percentage of distribution revenue collected by
- 4 rate classification compared to the costs allocated to the classification.
- 5 In the "Review of Electricity Distribution Cost Allocation Policy EB-2010-0219" report (issued
- 6 March 31, 2011), the Board established what it considered to be the appropriate ranges of revenue
- 7 to cost ratios. The ranges are Residential 0.85 to 1.15 and all other classes 0.80 to 1.20.
- 8

### 9 7.4.1 COST ALLOCATION RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

- 10 The table below illustrates ORPC's proposed Revenue to Cost reallocation based on an analysis
- 11 of the proposed results from the Cost Allocation Study vs. the Board's floor and ceiling ranges.
- 12

#### Table 15 – Proposed Revenue Allocation

 Customer Class Name	Calculated R/C Ratio	Proposed R/C Ratio	Variance	Floor	Ceiling	Shortfall
Residential	0.8915	0.9336	-0.04	0.85	1.15	-156,675.1
GS<50 kW	0.9370	0.9370	-0.00	0.80	1.20	-10.9
GS 50 to 4999 kW	1.7563	1.5000	0.26	0.80	1.20	147,276.8
Sentinel Lighting	0.7890	0.8000	-0.01	0.80	1.20	-169.1
Street Lighting	1.3032	1.2000	0.10	0.80	1.20	11,030.3
Unmetered Scattered Load	0.6088	0.8000	-0.19	0.80	1.20	-1,680.2

13

\* Ratios highlighted in yellow fell outside of the floor to ceiling range under the Cost Allocation Model.

The information below addresses the method and logic used to update the revenue to cost ratios
 from the Cost Allocation study to determine the proposed ratios.

The proposed Revenue to Cost ratio is adjusted by changing the allocation percentage for each
class. The utility reviews and assesses the bill impacts for each class before adjusting the Revenue
to Cost ratios.

In reviewing the calculated revenue to cost results from the Cost Allocation study, there were four
customer classes that are outside of the Board's floor/ceiling parameters. ORPC has applied the
following methodology for adjusting revenue-to-cost ratio, namely:

For General Service 50 to 4,999 kW customer class, the 2022 Cost Allocation model produced
a revenue to cost ratio of 175.66. The utility has adjusted this to 150.00 in the Test Year 2022
with the intention of further adjusting the revenue to cost ratio down to the ceiling of 1.20%
over the next two years. As a result of applying a revenue to cost ratio of 150.00 for this
customer class, ORPC projects the total bill impact, including Rate Riders for disposition of
Deferral / Variance accounts is 1.80% below the current monthly bill.

For Street Lighting and USL, ORPC adjusted the revenue-to-cost ratios down to the ceiling of
 1.20% in accordance with Board policy. Based on the output of the 2022 Cost Allocation
 model, the revenue to cost ratio are 1.30% and 1.43% respectively. (The bill impact implications
 are discussed in detail in Exhibit 8).

For Sentinel Lighting, the revenue to cost ratio as calculated in the Cost Allocation model of
 0.76% fell short of the floor of 0.80% and ORPC adjusted the revenue-to-cost ratio to 80%.
 ORPC notes that the adjustment was a 2-step adjustment. The utility first adjusted the revenue
 to cost ratio to the floor of 0.80%. In analysing the shortfall allocation, the utility opted to
 eliminate the cross subsidization of this particular class to alleviate impact on classes that
 whose revenue exceed their costs. (The bill impact implications are discussed in detail in
 Exhibit 8).

26 ORPC is also proposing the following:

For General Service <50 kW and Unmetered Scattered Load, ORPC is not requesting any</li>
 adjustment to the ratio;

- 1 o For residential, ORPC adjusted its revenue to cost ratio to 0.93% from 0.89%. As a result of
- 2 applying a revenue to cost ratio of 93.0% for this customer class, ORPC projects the total bill
- 3 impact, including Rate Riders for disposition of Deferral / Variance accounts is a 0.80% above
- 4 the current monthly bill.
- 5

ORPC is proposing to adjust the revenue to cost ratios over the period of the 2022 Test Year and
recommends that these ratios are held constant over the years of 2022 and 2023, as illustrated
below:

Table 16 – Revenue to Cost Ratios 2022, 2023 and 2024										
Customer	Class Name	Calculated R/C Ratio	Proposed R/C Ratio	Variance	2023	2024	2025			
	Residential	0.8915	0.9336	-0.04	97.33	97.33	97.33			
	GS<50 kW	0.9371	0.9370	-0.00	96.00	96.00	96.00			
GS 50	0 to 4999 kW	1.7566	1.5000	0.26	119.96	119.96	119.96			
Sen	tinel Lighting	0.7890	0.8000	-0.01	95.97	95.97	95.97			
S	treet Lighting	1.3031	1.2000	0.10	119.84	119.84	119.84			
Unmetered Sc	attered Load	0.6089	0.8000	-0.19	97.94	97.94	97.94			

10

Also, ORPC wish to note that in determining the proposed cost-to-revenue ratio adjustments, the LDC has considered the bill impact for each rate class. In ORPC's opinion, these ratios do not result in a bill impact increase of more than 3% for each rate class. For further details about the class specific bill impacts, please refer to Exhibit 8.

15 The table on the following page shows the completed worksheet "11. Cost Allocation" from the

16 OEB's 2022 Revenue Requirement Workform. This table provides information on previously

17 approved Revenue to Cost ratios and proposed ratios.

### Table 17 - OEB Rev Reqt Workform: worksheet "11. Cost Allocation"

#### A) Allocated Costs

Classes	Costs Allocated from Previous Study	%	Costs Allocated in Test Year Study (Column 7A)	%
Residential	\$2,692,038.19	59.81%	\$3,729,158.57	70.29%
GS<50 kW	\$796,632.01	17.54%	\$886,320.20	16.70%
GS 50 to 4999 kW	\$907,833.73	19.17%	\$574,553.18	10.83%
Sentinel Lighting	\$13,573.63	3.08%	\$15,391.36	2.02%
Street Lighting	\$134,441.27	0.10%	\$106,927.72	0.17%
Unmetered Scattered Load	\$4,311.42	0.00%	\$8,785.62	0.00%
Total	\$4,795,652.48	99.70%	\$5,321,136.65	100.00%

#### B) Calculated Class Revenues

_,	(from CA - O1 row 18)			
	Column 7B	Column 7C	Column 7D	Column 7E
Classes (same as previous table)	Load Forecast (LF) X current approved rates	L.F. X current approved rates X (1 + d)	LF X proposed rates	Miscellaneous Revenue
Residential	\$3,012,117.35	\$3,061,480.65	\$3,218,568.61	\$262,976.13
GS<50 kW	\$760,301.72	\$772,555.57	\$772,714.26	\$57,763.75
GS 50 to 4999 kW	\$956,860.01	\$972,755.99	\$824,853.09	\$36,705.04
Sentinel Lighting	\$4,732.93	\$10,902.00	\$11,293.37	\$1,016.41
Street Lighting	\$10,947.32	\$132,806.22	\$121,456.47	\$6,680.89
Unmetered Scattered Load	\$130,518.24	\$4,955.46	\$6,631.67	\$538.65
Total	\$4,875,477.59	\$4,955,455.90	\$4,955,517.48	\$365,680.87

#### C) Rebalancing Revenue-to-Cost (R/C) Ratios

Class	Previously Approved Ratios	Status Quo Ratios	Proposed Ratios	Policy Range
	Most Recent Year:	(7C + 7E) / (7A)	(7D + 7E) / (7A)	
	2016			
	%	%	%	%
Residential	91.52	89.15	93.36	85 - 115
GS<50 kW	115.84	93.68	93.70	80 - 120
GS 50 to 4999 kW	116.62	175.69	149.95	80 - 120
Sentinel Lighting	0.80	130.45	119.84	80 - 120
Street Lighting	78.89	62.54	81.61	80 - 120
Unmetered Scattered Load	119.93			80 - 120

#### D) Proposed Revenue-to-Cost Ratios

Class	Proposed			Policy Pange
	Cost Ratios			Toncy Nange
	2023	2023	2024	
	%	%	%	%
Residential	97.33	97.33	97.33	85 - 115
GS<50 kW	96.00	96.00	96.00	80 - 120
GS 50 to 4999 kW	119.96	119.96	119.96	80 - 120
Sentinel Lighting	95.97	95.97	95.97	80 - 120
Street Lighting	119.84	119.84	119.84	80 - 120
Unmetered Scattered Load	97.94	97.94	97.94	80 - 120

### 1 7.4.2 HOST DISTRIBUTOR

ORPC is not a Host Distributor therefore evidence of consultation with embedded distributors isnot applicable.

4

### 5 7.4.3 UNMETERED LOADS

6 On June 12, 2015, the OEB released their report on "Review of the Board's Cost Allocation Policy 7 for Unmetered Loads", which amended section 2.4.6 of the DSC (Distribution System Code). The amendment outlined a new cost allocation policy for the Street Lighting rate class. A new "street 8 9 lighting adjustment factor" is used to allocate costs to the Street Lighting rate class for primary 10 and line transformer assets. The "street lighting adjustment factor" replaces the "number of 11 connections" allocator. The Model has been updated to reflect the street lighting adjustment 12 factor. ORPC implemented these changes in its' 2016 Cost of Service application (EB-2015-0110) 13 and has continued to follow this policy in this 2022 Cost of Service application.

ORPC has not communicated with Unmetered Scattered Load, Street Lighting or Sentinel Lighting
 customers because there is no material change to the level of rates and charges currently applied.

Ottawa River Power Corp. EB-2021-0052 2022 Cost of Service Application Exhibit 7 – Cost Allocation Page **28** of **28** 

### 1 7.4.4 MICROFIT CLASS

- 2 ORPC is proposing no change to the MicroFIT Monthly Service Charge of \$4.55 provincial-wide
- 3 rate that
- 4 7.4.5 STANDBY RATES
- 5 The utility is not seeking Standby Rates in this application.