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## Cost of Service Rate Application Schematic

The Cost of Service Rate Application Schematic is a flowchart that is included as a guide for the components of an application. The schematic demonstrates how demand and costs interrelate to derive the revenue requirement and how the revenue requirement is allocated between classes and through fixed/variable splits to derive rates that will be compensatory for the annual revenue requirement, based on the the forecasted demand. There is no form to be filled out; therefore, this Schedule is not required to be filed.


## Cost of Service Applications - Key References

The references listed below are key to interpreting these Filing Requirements.

- Report of the Board on Transition to International Financial Reporting Standards (EB-2008-0408) - July 28, 2009, outlined in section 2.3.5 below
- Addendum to Report of the Board EB-2008-0408 - Implementing International Financial Reporting Standards in an Incentive Rate Mechanism Environment June 13, 2011
- The OEB's Accounting Procedures Handbook (APH) and Uniform System of Accounts (USoA), any subsequent updates and Frequently Asked Questions
- Report of the Board on Electricity Distributors' Deferral and Variance Account Review Initiative (EDDVAR) - July 31, 2009
- Asset Depreciation Study for Use by Electricity Distributors (EB-2010-0178), (the Kinectrics Report), July 8, 2010
- Board letter of June 25, 2013, providing accounting policy changes for Accounts 1575 and 1576 effective in the 2014 cost of service rate application and subsequent rate years;
- Report of the Board - Performance Measurement for Electricity Distributors: A Scorecard Approach - March 5, 2014
- Report of the Board: Rate Setting Parameters and Benchmarking under the Renewed Regulatory Framework for Ontario's Electricity Distributors corrected December 4, 2013
- Report of the Ontario Energy Board on Regulatory Treatment of Pension and Other Post-employment Benefits (OPEBs) Costs (EB-2015-0040), September 14, 2017
- Accounting Guidance related to Accounts 1588 RSVA Power, and 1589 RSVA Global Adjustment


## Capital Funding Options:

- Report of the Board: New Policy Options for the Funding of Capital Investments: The Advanced Capital Module (EB-2014-0219), September 18, 2014
- Report of the OEB: New Policy Options for the Funding of Capital Investments: Supplemental Report - January 22, 2016


## Cost of Capital:

- Report of the Board on the Cost of Capital for Ontario's Regulated Utilities December 11, 2009 and any subsequent updates.
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## Appendix 2-A

## List of Requested Approvals

The distributor must fill out the following sheet with the complete list of specific approvals requested and relevant section(s) of the legislation
Additional requests may be added by copying and pasting blank input rows, as needed.
If additional requests arise, or requested approvals are removed, during the processing of the application, the distributor should update this

## Ottawa River Power Corporation is seeking the following approvals in this application:

Approval to charge distribution rates effective May 1, 2022 to recover a Base Revenue requirement and revenue deficiency, as detailed in the Revenue Requirement Workform and discussed in Exhibit 6, through applying the proposed rates as set out in the Tariff Schedule \& Bill Impact model and Exhibit 8.

Approval of the Applicant's Distribution System Plan as included in Exhibit 2 and filed as a stand-alone document with this Application.

Approval of revised Low Voltage Rates as proposed and described in Exhibit 8

Approval for an adjustment to the Retail Transmission Service Rates approved in the Applicant's 2021 IRM application as detailed in Exhibit 8.

Approval to continue to charge Wholesale Market Services, Capacity -Based Recovery and Rural Rate Protection charges as approved by the OEB and detailed in Exhibit 8.

Approval to continue the specific Service Charges (with the exception of the MicroFIT Monthly Service charge) and Transformer Allowance as previously approved by the OEB and as detailed in Exhibit 8.

Approval to continue applying the MicroFIT monthly service charge of $\$ 4.55$ as approved in the Applicant's 2016 Cost of Service (EB-2014-0105), updated in its 2020 IRM application (EB-2019-0062) and detailed in Exhibit 3, to recover operating costs in calculating and validating generation data to enable monthly settlement with the IESO.

Approval of the proposed Loss Factor as detailed in Exhibit 8 and calculated in Chapter 2 Filing Requirements Appendix worksheet App2-R Loss Factors.

Approval of the Rate Riders for a one year disposition of the Group 1 Deferral and Variance account balances as at December 31, 2020 along with the projected carrying charges in accordance with the Report of the Board on Electricity Distributors' Deferral and Variance Account Review Initiative (EDDVAR - July 31, 2009) as detailed in Exhibit 9.

Approval of the Rate Riders for a one year disposition of the Group 2 Deferral and Variance account balances as at December 31, 2020 along with the projected carrying charges in accordance with the Report of the Board on Electricity Distributors' Deferral and Variance Account Review Initiative (EDDVAR - July 31, 2009) as detailed in Exhibit 9.

Approval of the Rate Riders for a one year disposition for the Loss Revenue Adjustment Mechanism variance account ("LRAMVA") for lost revenue resulting from the Conservation First Framework programs as detailed in Exhibit 4. Account disposition requested as a final balance.

Approval to include assets relating to a new substation (built and energized in 2020) into the Applicant's 2022 Rate Base as detailed in Exhibit 2

Disposal of the balance in the wireline pole attachment variance account as at December 31st 2020 as recorded in account 1508.



Appendix 2-AB
Table 2 - Capital Expenditure Summary from Chapter 5 Consolidated
Distribution System Plan Filing Requirements
First year of Forecast Period:

| CATEGORY | Historical Period (perivius plan'\& actual) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Forecast Period (planned) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plan | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{2016}$ | var | 2017 |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{1029}{}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 2021 |  |  | 2022 | ${ }^{2023}{ }^{202024}$ |  | ${ }^{2025}$ | 2026 |
|  | ${ }_{5}{ }_{\text {Stom }}$ |  | \% | ${ }_{\text {man }}{ }_{\text {S }}$ |  | $\frac{\mathrm{rar}}{6}$ | ${ }_{\text {man }}{ }_{\text {S }}$ |  | \% | ${ }_{5}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { \% }}{ }$ | ${ }_{\text {Pan }}{ }_{\text {Som }}$ |  | ${ }_{6}$ | ${ }_{\text {Pan }}{ }_{\text {S }}$ |  | $\frac{\mathrm{Var}}{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| System Access | 500.850 | 75.894 | 84.8\% | 452.200 | 100,107 | ${ }_{\text {-7, }}$ | 392,700 | 357,050 | ${ }^{9.1 \%}$ | 392,700 | 468,091 | 192\% | 392,700 | ${ }^{123,723}$ | 66.5\% | 392,700 | 186,655 | -52.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| System Renewal | 194,100 | 580,784 | 1992.2\% | 248,750 | 605,967 | 143.6\% | 193,200 | 880.657 | 345.5\% | 193,200 | 328,749 | 70.2\% | 193,200 | $22.1,36$ | 14.6\% | 193,200 | ${ }^{477,649}$ | 145.7\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| System Service | 477.800 | ${ }^{167,879}$ | ${ }^{64.64 \%}$ | ${ }^{345.849}$ | ${ }^{156,475}$ | .54.8\% | ${ }^{573,650}$ | ${ }^{221.1884}$ | . $61.3 .3 \%$ | 293,200 | ${ }^{47,622}$ | ${ }^{-83.8 \%}$ | 293,200 | ${ }^{44,231}$ | ${ }^{84.99}$ | 293,200 | ${ }^{1,1,34}$ | -99.6\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Plant | ${ }^{376,200}$ | ${ }^{234,605}$ | ${ }^{-37.6 \%}$ | ${ }^{255,200}$ | ${ }^{\text {3 }}$ | 46.8\% | ${ }_{\text {116,200 }}^{122555}$ |  | - $5.5 .7 \%$ | ${ }^{1344200}$ | ${ }^{\text {227,097 }}$ | ${ }^{218,3 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {1 }}^{13,4200}$ | $\frac{161,70}{551090}$ | ${ }^{20.5 \%}$ | ${ }^{134,200}$ | ${ }^{398,779}$ | ${ }^{1972 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURE | ${ }^{1.545,950}$ | 1.059,161 | 31.5\% | $\stackrel{1.301,999}{ }$ | ${ }^{1,237,284}$ | -50\% | ${ }_{1,275,750}$ | ${ }^{1,491,061}$ | 16.9\% | ${ }^{1.013,300}$ | ${ }^{1,271,558}$ | 25.5\% | 1,013,300 | 55,090 | 45.6\% | 1.013,300 | 1.061,217 | 4.7\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Contributions |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  | - |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Capital Expenditures |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| System 08M |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes tothe Table:

1. Hisorical previous
2. Historicial "previuus plan" data is not required unless a plan has previususy been fled. However, use the last OEE-approved, at least on a Total (Capial) Expenditure basis tor the last cost of service rebasing year, and the applicant should inoludde their planned budget in each subsequent historical year up to and

Explanatory Notes on Variances (complete only yif applicable)
budgets by categor

Notes on year over year Plan vs. Actual variances for Total Expenditures

Notes on Plan vs. Actual variance trends for individual expenditure categries
File Number: EB-2021-0052
Exhibit:
Tab:
Schedule:
Page:
Date:

Appendix 2-AC
Customer Engagement Activities Summary

| Provide a list of customer engagement activities | Provide a list of customer needs and preferences identified through each engagement activity | Actions taken to respond to identified needs and preferences. If no action was taken, explain why. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| While preparing for the Cost of Service, ORPC conducted Customer Surveys inviting Residential, Small Business and Industrial \& Commercial customers to provide feedback. This was completed in Q3 and Q4 2020. | ```Day-to-day reliability Reasonable distribution rates Length of power outages Customer service New types of electrical services Preparing the network for new uses such as electric vehicles and renewable generation Sustainability - reducing the environmental impact of ORPC's operations Helping customers reduce and manage their electricity consumption``` | Customer needs and preferences will be addressed through actionalable items within the DSP. |
| Social Media/Website | Customers have shown a preference for more frequent and responsive communication during power outages (location, ETR, cause etc.). They have also demonstrated positive reactions to news, tips, or other important information that is shared through ORPC's online platforms (social media and website). | ORPC is utilizing a mix of social media and website outage maps to provide near real-time updates of outages. These platforms are also utilized to promote safety, conservation and events that related to the distribution of electricity. |
| In office engagment | - Customers are often brining in examples of scams related to fraudlent companies trying to determine if the information is trustworthy <br> - Customers will come in with comments or suggestions related to areas of the distribution system that may require attention (tree trimming, BELL wire hanging, easement questions etc.) -Customers will come in and ask questions about usage or bills | Customers are greeted by staff in office every attempt is made to achieve a first encounter resolution. Occasionally another department or service call may be required, further information is gathered and actions are taken to answer questions or resolve an issue. Customer follow up is performed to determine if issue is resolved. |
| Written correspondence/mailers | Some customers to not utilize technology to access information provided by the utility, they would prefer mail correspondence. | ORPC includes information for customers via mail in their utility bills. This includes information on regulatory changes, assistance programs and various other important items of interest. |
| Regional Planning Engagements | ORPC engages with both the IESO (the regional planning) and HONI to ensure customer needs are met. | ORPC advocates on behalf of it's customers regarding reliability, supply and future planning considerations. |
| Customer Surveys (OEB Mandated) - 2017 \& 2019 | Customer have shown a preference for reasonable rates, reliability and, effective communication. | ORPC has made great effort to address reliability and rates in the Cost of Service and DSP. Communication efforts are reviewed and improved on an going basis. |
| Financial Assistance Programs <br> a] Low-Income Emergency Assistance Program (LEAP) <br> b] Ontario Electricity Support Program <br> d] COVID-19 Emergency Assistance Program (CEAP) <br> e] COVID-19 Emergency Assistance Program - Small Business <br> (CEAP-SB) |  | ORPC provides Low-income Energy Assistance (LEAP) support with assistance and cooperation from agency partners at the provincial level. LEAP is an emergency financial assistance program that is designed to assist low-income customers who encounter difficulty when paying their electricity bill payments. <br> ORPC also promotes the Ontario Electricity Support Program (OESP). ORPC proactively engages with it's customers in-person, on the phone and online to notify them of the availability of OESP. Customers are kept informed on their applicaiton status (approved/rejected) in the event of an issue. <br> When CEAP and CEAP-SB were introduced, ORPC proactively engaged it's customers to enroll them in the program. ORPC also spoke about the program on the radio and promoted it heavily |
| E-billing, web presentment and on-line payment services | ORPC provides both e-billing and web presentment which is accessible through the LDC's website. This helps customers identify usage trends and bring forward any concerns they might observe. Typically customer might notice a period of high usage, they will then engage with the LDC to seek assistance in determining the issue. | ORPC will walk customers through their usage/bills when an inquiry is received. ORPC will also dispatch a service person to their home to help narrow down root causes of high electricity usage (faulty sump pumps, old appliances, electric heaters with fault thermostats etc.). |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |








## Appendix 2-D Overhead Expense

Applicants are to provide a breakdown of OM\&A before capitalization in the below table. OM\&A before capitalization may be broken down by cost center, program, drivers or another format best suited to focus on capitalized vs. uncapitalized OM\&A.

| OM\&A Before Capitalization | 2018 <br> Historical Year | 2019 <br> Historical Year | 2020 <br> Historical Year | 2021 <br> Bridge Year | 2022 <br> Test Year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total OM\&A Before Capitalization (B) |  |  |  |  |  |

Applicants are to provide a breakdown of capitalized OM\&A in the below table. Capitalized OM\&A may be broken down using the categories listed in the table below if possible. Otherwise, applicants are to provide its own break down of capitalized OM\&A.


Appendix 2-FA
Renewable Generation Connection Investment Summary (past investments or over the future rate setting period)
Enter the details of the Renewable Generation Connection projects as described in the appropriate section of the Filing Requirements.
All costs entered on this page will be transferred to the appropriate cells in the appendices that follow.
For Part A, Renewable Enabling Improvements (REI), these amounts will be transferred to Appendix 2-FB
For Part B, Expansions, these amounts will be transferred to Appendix 2-FC

Part A
REl Investments (Direct Benefit at 6\%) Project 1
Name: REI Connection Project Capital Costs
Incremental OM\&A (Start-Up)
Incremental OM\&A (Ongoing)
Project 2
Name: REI Connection Project
Incremental OM\&A (Start-Up)
Incremental OM\&A (Ongoing)
Project 3
Name: REI Connection Project Capital Costs Incremental OM\&A (Start-Up)
Incremental OM\&A (Ongoing)

## Project 4

Name: REI Connection Project Capital Costs
Incremental OM\&A (Start-Up)
Incremental OM\&A (Ongoing)
Project 5
Project 5
Name: REI Connection Project
Capital Costs
Incremental OM\&A (Start-Up)
Incremental
Incremental OM\&A (Ongoing)

| Test Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

Total Capital Costs
Total Incremental OM\&A (Start-Up)
Total Incremental OM\&A (Ongoing)


Part B
Expansion Investments (Direct Benefit at 17\%) Project 1
Name: Expansion Connection Project Capital Costs
Incremental OM\&A (Start-Up)
Incremental OM\&A (Ongoing)
Project 2
Name: Expansion Connection Project Capital Costs
incremental OM\&A (Start-UP)
Project 3
Name: Expansion Connection Project Capital Costs
Incremental OM\&A (Start-Up)
Incremental OM\&A (Ongoing)

## Project 4

Name: Expansion Connection Project Capital Costs
Incremental OM\&A (Start-Up)
Incremental OM\&A (Ongoing)
Project 5
Name: Expansion Connection Project Name: Expans
Capital Costs
Capital Costs
Incremental OM\&A (Start-Up)
Incremental OM\&A (Ongoing)
Total Capital Costs
Total Incremental OM\&A (Start-Up)
Total Incremental OM\&A (Ongoing)

| 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |

Test Year

| $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |


| $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |


| $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |


| $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |


| $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |


| $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |


| $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |


|  | \$0 |  |  | \$0 |  |  | \$0 |  |  | \$0 |  |  | \$0 |  |  | \$0 |  |  | \$0 |  |  | \$0 |  |  | \$0 |  |  | \$0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$0 |  |  | \$0 |  |  | \$0 |  |  | \$0 |  |  | \$0 |  |  | \$0 |  |  | \$0 |  |  | \$0 |  |  | \$0 |  |  | \$0 |  |
|  | \$0 |  |  | \$0 |  |  | \$0 |  |  | \$0 |  |  | \$0 |  |  | \$0 |  |  | \$0 |  |  | \$0 |  |  | \$0 |  |  | \$0 |  |
| \$ |  | - | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | - |
| \$ |  | - | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | - |
| \$ |  | - | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | - |

Appendix 2-FB
Calculation of Renewable Generation Connection Direct Benefits/Provincial Amount: Renewable Enabling Improvement Investments








Plls Calculation
Income Tax


Tax Rate (to be entererof)



## 
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## Appendix 2-FC

Calculation of Renewable Generation Connection Direct Benefits/Provincial Amount: Renewable Expansion Investments











| 2017 |  | 2018 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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Appendix 2-G
Service Reliability and Quality Indicators
Service Reliability

| Index | Excluding Loss of Supply and Major Event Days |  |  |  |  | Including Major Event Days, Excluding Loss of Supply |  |  |  |  | Including Los of Supply, Excluding Major Event Days |  |  |  |  | Including Loss of Supply and Major Event Days |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 202 | 2016 | 201 | 201 | 2019 | 2020 |
| SAIDI | 1.55 | 0.95 | 0.53 | 7.53 | 0.56 | 3.31 | 0.95 | 0.53 | 7.53 | 0.56 | 1.59 | 4.35 | 1.73 | 21.59 | 2.63 | ${ }^{3.36}$ | 4.3 | 1.73 | 21.5 | 2.63 |
| SAIFI | 0.84 | 0.62 | 0.24 | 1.35 | 0.53 | 1.15 | 0.62 | 0.24 | 1.35 | 0.53 | 0.87 | 2.55 | 1.29 | 3.33 | 6.08 | 1.18 | 2.55 | 1.29 | 3.33 | 6.08 |


SAIDI = System Average Interruption Duration Index
SAIFI = System Average Interruption Frequency Index
Service Quality

| Indicator | OEB Minimum <br> Standard | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Low Voltage Connections | $90.0 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | $98.57 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| High Voltage Connections | $90.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Telephone Accessibility | $65.0 \%$ | $99.90 \%$ | $99.87 \%$ | $99.92 \%$ | $99.95 \%$ | $97.63 \%$ |
| Appointments Met | $90.0 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | $99.14 \%$ | $98.64 \%$ | $98.15 \%$ | $98.29 \%$ |
| Written Response to Enquires | $80.0 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | $98.63 \%$ |
| Emergency Urban Response | $80.0 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Emergency Rural Response | $80.0 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Telephone Call Abandon Rate | $10.0 \%$ |  |  |  | $0.05 \%$ | $2.37 \%$ |
| Appointment Scheduling | $90.0 \%$ | $99.64 \%$ | $99.85 \%$ | $99.81 \%$ | $97.94 \%$ | $98.04 \%$ |
| Rescheduling a Missed Appointment | $100.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Reconnection Performance Standard | $85.0 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
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Appendix 2-H

## Other Operating Revenue

| USOA \# | USoA Description |  | Actual ${ }^{2}$ |  | Actual ${ }^{2}$ |  | Actual ${ }^{2}$ |  | Actual ${ }^{2}$ |  | Actual |  | ge Year |  | st Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2016 |  | 017 |  | 218 |  | 019 |  | 2020 |  | 202 |  | 2022 |
|  | Reporting Basis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4082 | Retail Services Revenues | - | 8,024 | -\$ | 8,139 | \$ | 5,934 | -\$ | 11,640 | - | 12,251 | -\$ | 14,635 | \$ | 14,635 |
| 4084 | Service Transaction Requests (STR) Revenues | \$ | 18 | -\$ | 15 | \$ | 7 | -\$ | 30 | \$ | 25 | -\$ | 19 | \$ | 19 |
| 4086 | SSS Administration Revenue | \$ | 31,504 | -\$ | 31,843 | \$ | 32,853 | -\$ | 32,916 | -\$ | 33,164 | -\$ | 33,469 | \$ | 33,777 |
| 4090 | Electric Services Incidental to Energy Sales | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |  |  |
| 4205 | Interdepartmental Rents | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |  |  |
| 4210 | Rent from Electric Property | \$ | 57,775 | -\$ | 57,775 | \$ | 36,428 | -\$ | 44,436 | - | 83,947 | -\$ | 63,295 | \$ | 124,364 |
| 4215 | Other Utility Operating Income | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |  |  |
| 4220 | Other Electric Revenues | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4225 | Late Payment Charges | \$ | 57,076 | -\$ | 55,611 | \$ | 86,748 | \$ | 47,920 | \$ | 29,688 | -\$ | 66,987 | \$ | 66,987 |
| 4230 | Sales of Water and Water Power | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - |  |  |  |  |
| 4235 | Miscellaneous Service Revenues | \$ | 74,073 | -\$ | 64,248 | \$ | 61,508 | -\$ | 51,647 | \$ | 49,102 | -\$ | 49,000 | \$ | 49,000 |
| 4240 | Provision for Rate Refunds | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |  |  |
| 4245 | Government and Other Assistance Directly Credited to Income | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |  |  |
| 4305 | Regulatory Debits | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |  |  |
| 4310 | Regulatory Credits | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |  |  |
| 4315 | Revenues from Electric Plant Leased to Others | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |  |  |
| 4320 | Expenses of Electric Plant Leased to Others | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4325 | Revenues from Merchandise | \$ | 53,948 | -\$ | 75,818 | \$ | 405,067 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |  |  |
| 4330 | Costs and Expenses of Merchandising | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 325,882 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |  |  |
| 4335 | Profits and Losses from Financial Instrument Hedges | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |  |  |
| 4340 | Profits and Losses from Financial Instrument Investments | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |  |  |
| 4345 | Gains from Disposition of Future Use Utility Plant | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |  |  |
| 4350 | Losses from Disposition of Future Use Utility Plant | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |  |  |
| 4355 | Gain on Disposition of Utility and Other Property | \$ | - | -\$ | 25,000 | \$ | 3,405 | -\$ | 43,872 | \$ | - |  |  |  |  |
| 4357 | Gain from Retirement of Utility and Other Property | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |  |  |
| 4360 | Loss on Disposition of Utility and Other Property | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |  |  |
| 4362 | Loss from Retirement of Utility and Other Property | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |  |  |
| 4365 | Gains from Disposition of Allowances for Emission | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |  |  |
| 4370 | Losses from Disposition of Allowances for Emission | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |  |  |
| 4375 | Revenues from Non Rate-Regulated Utility Operations | \$ | 1,191 | -\$ | 1,372 | \$ | 147,119 | -\$ | 769,422 | - | 481,913 | -\$ | 400,000 | \$ | 400,000 |
| 4380 | Expenses of Non Rate-Regulated Utility Operations | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 38,142 | \$ | 682,198 | \$ | 436,182 | \$ | 340,000 | \$ | 340,000 |
| 4385 | Non Rate-Regulated Utility Rental Income | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |  |  |
| 4390 | Miscellaneous Non-Operating Income | \$ | 4,647 | -\$ | 19,821 | \$ | 5,663 | -\$ | 1,284 | \$ | 20,729 | -\$ | 1,900 | \$ | 1,900 |
| 4395 | Rate-Payer Benefit Including Interest | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |  |  |
| 4398 | Foreign Exchange Gains and Losses, Including Amortization | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |  |  |
| 4405 | Interest and Dividend Income | \$ | 40,862 | -\$ | 44,341 | \$ | 75,878 | -\$ | 53,014 | - | 21,612 | -\$ | 7,000 | \$ | 15,000 |
| 4410 | Lessor's Net Investment in Finance Lease | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |  |  |
| 4415 | Equity in Earnings of Subsidiary Companies | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |  |  |
| 4420 | Share of Profit or Loss of Joint Venture | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous Service Revenues |  | - | 74,073 | -\$ | 64,248 | -\$ | 61,508 | \$ | 51,647 | \$ | 49,102 | -\$ | 49,000 | S | 49,000 |
| Late Payment Charges |  | - | 57,076 | -\$ | 55,611 | - | 86,748 | S | 47,920 | - | 29,688 | -\$ | 66,987 | - | 66,987 |
| Other Operating Revenues |  | \$ | 97,321 | -\$ | 97,771 | \$ | 75,221 | -\$ | 89,023 | - | 129,386 | -\$ | 111,418 | \$ | 172,794 |
| Other Income or Deductions |  | \$ | 100,649 | -\$ | 166,353 | \$ | 273,109 | -\$ | 185,395 | \$ | 88,071 | -\$ | 68,900 | \$ | 76,900 |
| Total |  | -\$ | 329,118 | -\$ | 383,983 | -\$ | 496,586 | -\$ | 373,986 | - | 296,247 | -\$ | 296,304 | -\$ | 365,681 |


| CGAAP |
| :---: |
| Enter Transition Year |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

## $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Description } & \\ \begin{array}{ll}\text { Account(s) } \\ 4235\end{array} & \end{array}$ <br> Specific Service Charges: ${ }^{\text {ate Payment Charges: }} \quad 4225$

Other Distribution Revenues: 4082, 4084, 4086, 4090, 4205, 4210, 4215, 4220, 4230, 4240, 4245
Other Income and Expenses: $4305,4310,4315,4320,4325,4330,4335,4340,4345,4350,4355,4357,4360,4362,4365,4370,4375,4380,4385,4390,4395,4398,4405,4410,4415,4420$

## Note: Add all applicable accounts listed above to the table and include all relevant information.

## Account Breakdown Details

For each "Other Operating Revenue" and "Other Income or Deductions" Account, a detailed breakdown of the account components is required. See the example below for Account 4405 , Interest and Dividend Income. Tables for the detailed breakdowns will be generated after cell B101 is filled in

|  | 2016 Actual ${ }^{2}$ | 2017 Actual ${ }^{2}$ | 2018 Actual ${ }^{2}$ | 2019 Actual ${ }^{2}$ | 2020 Actual | Bridge Year | Test Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
| Reporting Basis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Short-term Investment Interest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank Deposit Interest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous Interest Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| etc. ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | \$ | \$ | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | \$ | \$ |


| CGAAP |
| :---: |
| Enter Transition Year |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| $\$$ |

Notes: List and specify any other interest revenue.
2 For applicants rebasing under IFRS for the first time, in the transition year (2014) to IFRS, the applicant is to present information in both MIFRS and CGAAP. In column N, present CGAAP transition year information.

|  | Enter the number of "Other Operating Revenue" and "Other Income or <br> Deductions" Accounts that require a detailed breakdown of the account <br> components. |
| :--- | :--- |
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| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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| Schedule: |  |  |
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| Date: |  |  |

## Appendix 2-I

## Load Forecast CDM Adjustment Work Form

Appendix 2-I was initially developed to help determine what would be the amount of CDM savings needed in each year to cumulatively achieve the four year 2011-2014 CDM target. This determined the amount of kWh (and with ar for 2015 yer, it
 adjusted because the persistence of 2011-2014 CDM programs will be an adjustment to the load forecast in addition to the estimated savings for the first year (2015) for the new $2015-2020$ CDM plan. This appendix has been updated for
 former 20 , projects that are subject to a contractual agreement entered into between the distributor and a customer by April 30, 2019 under a former CFF program should be included in the proposed CDM manual adjustment to the load forecast. Distributors should provide relevant documentation to support the CDM manual adjustments forme if any, including the corresponding CFF program, project timelines and projected savings.

2019-2020 CDM Activities (and beyond, if applicable)
For the first year of the new 2015-2020 CDM plan, for simplicity, it was assumed that each year's program will achieve an equal amount of new CDM savings. This resulted in each year's program being about $1 / 6$ (or $16.67 \%$ ) of the cumulative 2015-2020 CDM target for kWh savings.

For 2022 rate applications, distributors should ensure that the sum of the results for the 2015 to 2019 program years is consistent with the results provided by the IESO. For the 2020 and 2021 program year (as applicable), distributors that elect to propose a CDM manual adjustment, should only include the projected CDM savings from projects that are subject to contractual agreements between the distributor and customer made on or before April 30 , 2019 under the former CFF.

| Former CFF 6 Year (2015-2020) kWh Target* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021** | Total for 2022** |
| \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 CDM Programs |  |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |  |  |
| 2016 CDM Programs |  |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |  |  |
| 2017 CDM Programs |  |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |  |  |
| 2018 CDM Programs |  |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |  |  |
| 2019 CDM Programs |  |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |  |  |
| 2020 CDM Programs |  |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |  |  |
| Total in Year |  |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |  |  |
| kWh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 CDM Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 CDM Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 CDM Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 CDM Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 CDM Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2020 CDM Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2021 CDM Programs (if applicable)*** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total in Year | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

*This total will not equal the distributor's former CFF CDM target. Rather, for 2019 and 2020, if the distributor elects to propose a CDM manual adjustment, it should only include the projected savings from projects that are subject to contractual agreements made between the LDC and a customer on or before April 30,2019 under the former CFF.
${ }^{* *}$ If a distributor wishes to include projected savings that persist from former Conservation First programs into the 2022 test year, you may do so. Please provide relevant supporting documentation to show the savings persistence into 2022.
${ }^{* * *}$ If a distributor expects impacts from any CFF-related projects not deployed by April 2019, but for which a distributor is contractually obligated to complete (or for other programs delivered by the distributor after April 2019), a distributor may include these amounts as part of a CDM manual adjustment to the 2022 load forecast, but must ensure that sufficient supporting evidence is provided in support of all estimated CDM savings.
Note: The default formulae in the above table assume that the $2015-2020 \mathrm{kWh}$ CDM target is achieved through persistence of CDM savings to the end of 2020. Distributors should rely on the Participant and Cost monthly reports provided by the IESO for 2018 and 2019 CDM savings.

## Determination of 2022 Load Forecast Adjustment

The OEB determined that the "net" number should be used in its Decision and Order with respect to Centre Wellington Hydro Ltd.'s 2013 Cost of Service rates (EB-2012-0113). This approach has also been used in Settlement Agreements accepted by the OEB in other 2013 and 2014 applications. The distributor should select whether the adjustment is done on a "net" or "gross" basis, but must support a proposal for the adjustment being done on a "gross" basis. Sheet $2-1$ defaults to the adjustment being done on a "net" basis consistent with OEB policy and practice.

From each of the 2006-2010 CDM Final Report, and the 2011 to 2017 CDM Final Reports, issued by the OPA/IESO for the distributor, the distributor should input the "gross" and "net" results of the cumulative CDM savings for 2019 into cells C 57 to C 66 and D57 to D66. The model will calculate the cumulative savings for all programs from 2006 to 2019 and determine the "net" to "gross" factor "g".

| Is CDM adjustment being done on a "net" or "gross" basis? |  | Net-to-Gross Conversion |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |

The default values below represent the factor used for how each year's CDM program is factored into the manual CDM adjustment. Distributors can choose alternative weights of " 0 ", " 0.5 " or " 1 " from the drop-down menu for each cell, but must support its alternatives.

These factors do not mean that CDM programs are excluded, but the assumption that impacts of previous year CDM programs are already implicitly reflected in the actual data for historical years that are used to derive the load forecast prior to any manual CDM adjustment for the 2022 test year

|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018* | 2019** | 2020** | 2021*** | Distributor can select " 0 ", " 0.5 ", or "1" from drop-down list |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight Factor for each year's CDM program impact on 2022 load forecast | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 1 |  |
| Default Value selection rationale. | Full year impact of 2015 CDM is assumed to be reflected in the base forecast, as the full year persistence of 2015 CDM programs is in the 2018 historical actual data. No further impact is necessary for the manual adjustment to the load forecast. | Full year impact of 2016 CDM is assumed to be reflected in the base forecast, as the full year persistence of 2016 CDM programs is in the 2018 historical actual data. No further impact is necessary for the manual adjustment to the | Full year impact of 2017 CDM is assumed to be reflected in the base forecast, as the full year persistence of 2017 CDM programs is in the 2018 historical actual data. No further impact is necessary for the manual adjustment to the | Default is 0 . Full year impact of 2018 CDM is assumed to be reflected in the base forecast. | Default is 0 . Full year impact of 2019 CDM is assumed to be reflected in the base forecast. Adjust based on distributor's circumstance | Default is 0.5 . Adjust based on distributor's circumstance | Default is 1 . Adjust based on distributor's circumstance |  |

** For 2019 and 2020 CDM program activity, the distributor should include only those projected CDM savings from projects that it has contractual obligations with a customer under the former CFF.
*** This may include the persistence of any remaining CDM projects that the distributor is contractually obligated to complete under the former CFF, as applicable. If this includes CDM activity that is beyond the CFF framework or other programs, please file project-level supporting documentation in accordance with section 2.3.1.3 of Chapter 2 Filing Requirements to support the breakdown of your proposal.

2022 LRAMVA and 2022 CDM adjustment to Load Forecast

One manual adjustment for CDM impacts to the 2022 load forecast is made. There is a different but related threshold amount that is used for the 2022 LRAMVA amount for Account 1568
The amount used for the CDM threshold and the LRAMVA is the kWh that will be used to determine the base amount for the LRAMVA balance for 2022. This allows for a comparison between projected CDM savings and actual CDM savings.

If used to determine the manual CDM adjustment for the system purchased kWh , the proposed loss factor should correspond with the proposed total loss factor calculated in Appendix $2-\mathrm{R}$.
The Manual Adjustment for the 2022 Load Forecast is the amount manually subtracted from the system-wide load forecast (either based on a purchased or billed basis) derived from the base forecast from historical data. If the distributor has developed their load forecast on a system purchased basis, then the manual adjustment should be on a system purchased basis, including the adjustment for losses. If the load forecast has been developed on a billed basis, either on a system basis or on a class-specific basis, the manual adjustment should be on a billed basis, excluding losses.

The distributor should determine the allocation of the savings to all customer classes in a reasonable manner (e.g. taking into account what programs and what IESO-measured impacts were directed at specific customer classes), for both the LRAMVA and for the load forecast adjustment.


Manual adjustment uses "gross" versus "net" (i.e. numbers multiplied by $(1+g)$. The Weight factor is also used to calculate the impact of each year's program on the CDM adjustment to the 2022 load forecast.

## Appendix 2-IA

## Instructions on Customer, Connections, Load Forecast and Revenues Data and Analysis

This sheet requires no inputs, but serves as a summary of the hiostorical and forecasted data to be provided with respect to:

1) Customers and connections
2) Consumption (kWh)
3) Demand (kW or kCA) for applicable demand-billed customer classes
4) Revenues

The spreadsheet summarizes the data provided and the analyses (variance or year-over-year) that are required. Data are required to be provided on a customer class level. Consumption (kWh) must also be provided on total distribution system level.

Appendix 2-IB (formerly 2-IA) is the appendix spreadsheet that the distributor populates, and the spreadsheet is laid out for inputting the necessary data. The spreadsheet also calculates necessary statistics such as average consumption per customer/connection per year, and variances and $\%$ annual changes, as necessary.

The distributor is required to provide suitable documentation in Exhibit 3 of its Application, in accordance with section 2.3.2 of Chaoter 2 of the Filing Requirements. This would include explanations for material variations or of trends in the data.

The distributor is also required to input its test year customer/connection and load forecast in Sheet 10 - Load Forecast of the Revenue Requirement Work Form. This sheet should also be updated to reflect changes in the load forecast made through the stages of processing of the rates application.

The applicant must demonstrate the historical accuracy of its load forecast approach for at least the past 5 years. Such analysis will cover both customer/connections and consumption (kWh) and demand (kW or kVA) by providing the following, as shown in the following table:

|  |  | Customers / Connections |  | Consumption (kWh) ${ }^{(3)}$ |  | Demand (kW or kVA) |  |  | Revenues |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (for 2022 Cost of Service) |  |  | Weatheractual | Weather-normalized | Weatheractual | Weat | er-normalized | Weatheractual | Weathernormalized |
| Historical | 2016 | Actual |  | Actual | Actual ${ }^{(1)}$ | Actual | Actual ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | Actual |  |
| Historical | 2017 | Actual |  | Actual | Actual ${ }^{(1)}$ | Actual | Actual ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | Actual |  |
| Historical | 2018 | Actual | OEB-approved (2) | Actual | Actual ${ }^{(1)}$ OEB-approved (2) | Actual | Actual ${ }^{(1)}$ | OEB-approved (2) | Actual |  |
| Historical | 2019 | Actual |  | Actual | Actual ${ }^{(1)}$ | Actual | Actual ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | Actual |  |
| Historical | 2020 | Actual |  | Actual | Actual ${ }^{(1)}$ | Actual | Actual ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | Actual |  |
| Bridge Year (Forecast) <br> Test Year (Forecast) | $\begin{aligned} & 2021 \\ & 2022 \end{aligned}$ | Forecast Forecast |  |  | Forecast Forecast |  | Forecas Forecas |  |  | Forecast Forecast |

Notes:
${ }^{(1)}$ "Weather-normalized actuals" are estimated by replacing the actual weather-related values (typically Heating Degree Days (HDD) and Cooling Degree Days (CDD)) by the "typical" or "weather-normalized" values. These "weather-normalized HDD and CDD values would be the same as used to estimate the Bridge Year and Test Year forecasts.
(2) For 2022 Cost of Service rebasers, the typical situation is that 2018 would have been the most recent cost of service rebasing application. If the most recent rebasing application was for a rate year other than 2018 , that year should be used. An applicant must provide historical information back to the greater of: a) at least five (5) historical actual years; or b) to its last cost of service application
${ }^{(3)}$ Consumption must be provided on a total distribution system basis as well as at a customer class level.
${ }^{(4)}$ Revenues exclude commodity charges.

## Appendix 2-IB

## Customer, Connections, Load Forecast and Revenues Data and Analysis

This sheet is to be filled in accordance with the instructions documented in section 2.3 . 2 of Chapter 2 of the Filing Requirements for Distribution Rate Applications, in terms of one set of tables per customer class.

| Color coding for Cells: $\square$ | Data input | Drop-down List |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | No data entry required | $\square$ | Blank or calculated value |

## Distribution Svstem (Total)



| Variance Analysis | Year | Year-over-year |  | Versus Oebapproved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 |  |  |  |
|  | 2017 | -3.2\% | 2.2\% |  |
|  | 2018 | 4.7\% | -0.5\% |  |
|  | 2019 | -1.0\% | -0.9\% |  |
|  | 2020 | -1.7\% | 0.5\% |  |
|  | 2021 |  | 0.0\% |  |
|  | 2022 |  | -0.2\% |  |
|  | Geometric Mean | -0.5\% | 0.2\% |  |

Customer Class Analysis (one for each Customer Class, excluding MicrofiT and Standby)


|  | Calendar Year <br> (for 2022 Cost <br> of Service |  |  |  |  |  | Revenues |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Variance Analysis | Year | Year-over-year | Test Year <br> Versus OEB- <br> approved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 |  |  |
|  | 2017 |  |  |
|  | 2018 |  |  |
|  | 2019 |  |  |
|  | 2020 |  |  |
|  | 2022 |  |  |
|  | Geometric Mean |  |  |



|  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Calendar Year } \\ \text { (for 2022 Cost } \\ \text { of Service } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Revenues |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  <br> Historical <br> Historical <br> Historical <br> Historical <br> Historical <br> Bridge Year (Foreca <br> Test Year (Forecast) | 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Actual } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Forecast } \end{gathered}$ |  | OEB-approved |  |
| Variance Analysis | Year |  | Year-over-year |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Test Year } \\ \text { Versus OEB- } \\ \text { approved } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  <br> 2016 <br> 2017 <br> 2018 <br> 2019 <br> 2020 <br> 2021 <br> 2022 <br> Geometric Mean |  |  |  |  |






|  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Calendar Year } \\ \text { (for 2022 Cost } \\ \text { of Service } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Revenues |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  <br> Historical <br> Historical <br> Historical <br> Historical <br> Historical <br> Bridge Year (Foreca <br> Test Year (Forecast) | 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Actual } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Forecast } \end{gathered}$ |  | OEB-approved |  |
| Variance Analysis | Year |  | Year-over-year |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Test Year } \\ \text { Versus OEB- } \\ \text { approved } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  <br> 2016 <br> 2017 <br> 2018 <br> 2019 <br> 2020 <br> 2021 <br> 2022 <br> Geometric Mean |  |  |  |  |



| Variance Analysis | Year | Year-over-year | $\begin{gathered} \text { Test Year } \\ \text { Versus OEB- } \\ \text { approved } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Year | Year-over-year | Test Year Versus OEB-approved | Year | Year-over-year | $\begin{gathered} \text { Test Year } \\ \text { Versus OEB- } \\ \text { approved } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 2017 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 \\ & 2017 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 \\ & 2017 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | 2018 |  |  | 2018 |  |  | 2018 |  |  |
|  | 2019 |  |  | 2019 |  |  | 2019 |  |  |
|  | 2020 |  |  | 2020 |  |  | 2020 |  |  |
|  | 2021 |  |  | 2021 2022 |  |  | 2021 2022 |  |  |
|  | Geometric Mean |  |  | Geometric Mean |  |  | Geometric Mean |  |  |


|  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Calendar Year } \\ \text { (for 2022 Cost } \\ \text { of Service } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Revenues |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  <br> Historical <br> Historical <br> Historical <br> Historical <br> Historical <br> Bridge Year (Foreca <br> Test Year (Forecast) | 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Actual } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Forecast } \end{gathered}$ |  | OEB-approved |  |
| Variance Analysis | Year |  | Year-over-year |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Test Year } \\ \text { Versus OEB- } \\ \text { approved } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  <br> 2016 <br> 2017 <br> 2018 <br> 2019 <br> 2020 <br> 2021 <br> 2022 <br> Geometric Mean |  |  |  |  |

8 Customer Class:
Is the customer class billed on consumption (kWh) or demand (kW or kVA)?


| Variance Analysis | Year | Year-over-year | Test Year Versus OEB- approved | Year | Year-over-year | Test Year Versus OEB-approved | Year | Year-over-year | Test Year Versus OEB- approved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 2017 |  |  | 2016 2017 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 \\ & 2017 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | 2018 |  |  | 2018 |  |  | 2018 |  |  |
|  | 2019 |  |  | 2019 |  |  | 2019 |  |  |
|  | 2020 |  |  | 2020 |  |  | 2020 |  |  |
|  | 2021 |  |  | 2021 2022 |  |  | 2021 |  |  |
|  | Geometric Mean |  |  | Geometric |  |  | Geometric |  |  |


|  | Calendar Year (for 2022 Cost of Service | Revenues |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Historical <br> Historical <br> Historical <br> Historical <br> Historical <br> Bridge Year (Foreca <br> Test Year (Forecast) | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 \\ & 2017 \\ & 2018 \\ & 2019 \\ & 2020 \\ & 2021 \\ & 2022 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Actual Actual Actual Actual Actual Forecast Forecast | OEB-approved |  |
| Variance Analysis | Year |  | Year-over-year | Test Year Versus OEBapproved |
|  | 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 Geometric Mean |  |  |  |



|  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Calendar Year } \\ \text { (for 2022 Cost } \\ \text { of Service } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Revenues |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  <br> Historical <br> Historical <br> Historical <br> Historical <br> Historical <br> Bridge Year (Foreca <br> Test Year (Forecast) | 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Actual } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \text { Forecast } \\ \text { Forecast } \end{gathered}$ |  | OEB-approved |  |
| Variance Analysis | Year |  | Year-over-year |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Test Year } \\ \text { Versus OEB- } \\ \text { approved } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  <br> 2016 <br> 2017 <br> 2018 <br> 2019 <br> 2020 <br> 2021 <br> 2022 <br> Geometric Mean |  |  |  |  |

10 Customer Class:

Is the customer class billed on consumption (kWh) or demand (kW or kVA)?


|  | Calendar Year |  | ustomers |  |  | Consumption | Wh) ${ }^{(3)}$ |  |  | Consu | ption (kWh) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (for } 2022 \text { Cost } \\ & \text { of Service } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | Weathernormalized |  | Weathernormalized |  | Actual (Weather actual) | Weathernormalized | Weathernormalized |
| Historical | 2016 | Actual | OEB-approved | Actual |  |  | OEB-approved |  | Actual |  |  |  |
|  | 2017 | Actual Actual |  | Actual Actual |  |  |  |  | Actual Actual |  |  |  |
| Historical | 2019 | Actual |  | Actual |  |  |  |  | Actual |  |  |  |
| Historical | 2020 | Actual |  | Actual |  |  |  |  | Actual |  |  |  |
| 俍 $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bridge Year } \\ & \text { Test Year }\end{aligned}$ | 2021 2022 | Forecast Forecast |  | Forecast Forecast |  |  |  |  | Forecast <br> Forecast |  |  |  |


| Variance Analysis | Year | Year-over-year | Test Year Versus OEBapproved | Year | Year-over-year | Test Year Versus OEB-approved | Year | Year-over-year | Test Year Versus OEBapproved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 |  |  | 2016 |  |  | 2016 |  |  |
|  | 2017 |  |  | 2017 |  |  | 2017 |  |  |
|  | 2018 2019 |  |  | 2018 2019 |  |  | 2018 2019 |  |  |
|  | 2020 |  |  | 2020 |  |  | 2020 |  |  |
|  | 2021 |  |  | 2021 2022 |  |  | 2021 2022 |  |  |
|  | Geometric Mean |  |  | Geometric Mean |  |  | Geometric Mean |  |  |


|  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Calendar Year } \\ \text { (for 2022 Cost } \\ \text { of Service } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Revenues |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Historical <br> Historical <br> Historical <br> Historical <br> Historical <br> Bridge Year (Foreca <br> Test Year (Forecast | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 \\ & 2017 \\ & 2018 \\ & 2019 \\ & 2020 \\ & 2021 \\ & 2022 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | OEB-approved |  |
| Variance Analysis | Year |  | Year-over-year |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Test Year } \\ \text { Versus OEB- } \end{gathered}$ approved |
|  | 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 Geometric Mean |  |  |  |  |

Note: If there are more than ten (10) customer classes, please contact OEB Staff to add tables for additional customer classes

## Appendix 2-JA

Summary of Recoverable OM\&A Expenses

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6 \text { Last Rebasing } \\ & \text { Year OEB } \\ & \text { Approved } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \text { Last } \\ \text { Rebasing Year } \\ \text { Actuals } \end{gathered}$ | 2017 | 2018 ctuals | 219 actuals | 2202 actuals |  | $\underbrace{2022 \text { rest }}_{\substack{\text { ceat }}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 为 | ${ }^{632465}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |
| Natame |  |  |  | Somet |  |  |  | , |
|  | $\stackrel{1}{9}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sulimara Coloserig | 733.00 / | 747,871 | exasis ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6exact | ${ }^{74} \mathbf{4} 224$ | 897300 | 51,32 |  |
| Camuny Pelatere | 68000 | ${ }_{5} \mathrm{sem}_{8}$ | ${ }^{\text {3,4/2 }}$ | ${ }^{7}, 627$ | ${ }^{66235}$ | 3033 | ${ }_{4}^{4}, 3,3$ |  |
| Leminteme |  | cose |  | , $1.0777^{183}$ |  |  |  | 220.5 |
| Chanes youe werereat | N |  | Prx |  | 12785 | ins | ${ }^{308}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Toal | 3,064.96/ | 5 2983.389 | 5 3,20,136 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | $2.801 .78]^{\text {a }}$ | 5 3027,266 | 5 3,356,491 | 5 3 ,282,137 |  |
| Charge peresury ras) | WW | $4 \times 4$ | 1120) | . 14.48 x | ${ }^{145858}$ | 4 | six |  |



|  | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 \text { Last Rebasing } \\ & \text { Year OEB } \\ & \text { Approved } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \text { Last } \\ \text { Rebasing Year } \\ \text { Actuals } \end{gathered}$ | 2077 etuas | 2018 etuals | 2019 etuals | 2202 actuals | ${ }_{\substack{\text { 2021 } \\ \text { vearage }}}^{\text {ver }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| areme |  |  | ${ }_{6}^{62}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {cosem }}$ | ${ }_{\text {zatas }}^{\text {matas }}$ |  | ${ }_{4}^{4}$ |  |
| Coment | $\underbrace{\text { cosem }}$ |  | , |  |  |  | , |  |
|  | 5 |  | 3,28.136 | 2001.788 | ${ }^{3.207,106}$ |  |  |  |



Appendix 2-JC
OM\&A Programs Table

| Programs | Last Rebasing Year (2016 OEBApproved) | Last Rebasing Year (2016 Actuals) | 2017 Actuals | 2018 Actuals | 2019 Actuals | 2020 Actuals | 2021 Bridge Year | 2022 Test Year | Variance (Test Year vs. 2020 Actuals) | Variance <br> (Test Year vs. <br> Last Rebasing <br> Year (2016 <br> OEB- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reporting Basis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Program Name \#1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Sub-Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Program Name \#2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Sub-Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Program Name \#3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Sub-Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Program Name \#4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Sub-Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Program Name \#5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Sub-Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Notes:
1 Please provide a breakdown of the major components of each OM\&A Program undertaken in each year. Please ensure that all programs below the materiality threshold are included in the miscellaneous line. Add more Programs as required.
2 The applicant should group projects appropriately and avoid presentations that result in classification of significant components of the OM\&A budget in the miscellaneous category


## Appendix 2-L

Recoverable OM\&A Cost per Customer and per FTE ${ }^{1}$


Notes:
1 If it has been more than four years since the applicant last filed a cost of service application, additional years of historical actuals should be incorporated into the table, as necessary, to go back to the last cost of service application. If the applicant last filed a cost of service application less than four years ago, a minimum of three years of actual information is required.
2 The method of calculating the number of customers must be identified. Should correspond with data provided in Appendix 2-IB.
3 The method of calculating the number of FTEs must be identified. See also Appendix 2-K.
4 The number of customers and the number of FTEs should correspond to mid-year or average of January 1 and December 31 figures.
5 For the test year, the applicant should take into account the system O\&M (line 24 of Appendix 2-AB) in developing its forecasted OM\&A.
6 Includes lines 19, 20, \& 21 of Appendix 2-JA

Appendix-MM
Regulatory $C$ Cost Schedule

| Resulator Cost Categey | Usoa Account | Usoatacaut gatame | Last Rebasing Year (2016 OEB Approved) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Last Rebasing } \\ & \text { Year (2016 } \\ & \text { Actual) } \end{aligned}$ | Most Current Actuals Year 2020 |  | Amunal c change | $\underset{\substack{\text { veart } \\ \text { veast }}}{\text { res }}$ | wal\%change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (8) | (c) | (0) | (E) | ${ }_{(1)}$ | (ब) |  | (1) | () [(0)(G)\|c) |
|  | 65500 |  |  | sm | ${ }_{\text {splose }}$ | ${ }^{364}$ | ${ }^{2755 \%}$ | 4600 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sumberes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1000 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{2500}$ |  |
| 边 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{\substack{3823}}$ | ${ }_{\text {cose }}$ | ${ }_{498}^{24.8}$ | cos. | 4988 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\substack{28 \\ 206}}{26}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{3}{3}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1.460000}$ |  | ${ }_{7}^{7,34}$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {30000 }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oneme | 1.46000 |  | 6.968 |  |  |  |  | 55000 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1{ }^{12}$ | ${ }_{\text {L }}^{\text {L }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{165000}$ |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {L }}^{1.86000}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {com }}^{1000}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{19}$ | 565500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{23}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{23}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {s }} 1830802$ |  |



Notes:

1. Pease idenitiy the resources involed

Sum or at ongoing costs
Sum otal 10 onetime costs related 10 this sppilataion.


E



## Appendix 2-OA

 Capital Structure and Cost of CapitalThis table must be completed for the last OEB-approved year and the test year.

| Line No. | Particulars | Capitalization Ratio |  |  | Cost Rate | Return |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (\%) |  | (\$) | (\%) | (\$) |
|  | Debt |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Long-term Debt | 56.00\% |  | \$7,438,142 | 2.73\% | \$203,061 |
| 2 | Short-term Debt | 4.00\% | (1) | \$531,296 | 1.75\% | \$9,298 |
| 3 | Total Debt | 60.0\% |  | \$7,969,438 | 2.66\% | \$212,359 |
|  | Equity |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Common Equity | 40.00\% |  | \$5,312,959 | 8.34\% | \$443,101 |
| 5 | Preferred Shares |  |  | \$ - |  | \$ |
| 6 | Total Equity | 40.0\% |  | \$5,312,959 | 8.34\% | \$443,101 |
| 7 | Total | 100.0\% |  | \$13,282,397 | 4.93\% | \$655,460 |

Notes
4.0\% unless an applicant has proposed or been approved for a different amount.

Last OEB-approved year: $\underline{2016}$

| Line No. | Particulars | Capitalization Ratio |  |  | Cost Rate | Return |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (\%) |  | (\$) | (\%) | (\$) |
|  | Debt |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Long-term Debt | 56.00\% |  | \$6,609,280 | 4.54\% | \$300,061 |
| 2 | Short-term Debt | 4.00\% | (1) | \$472,091 | 1.65\% | \$7,790 |
| 3 | Total Debt | 60.0\% |  | \$7,081,371 | 4.35\% | \$307,851 |
|  | Equity |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Common Equity | 40.00\% |  | \$4,720,914 | 9.19\% | \$433,852 |
| 5 | Preferred Shares |  |  | \$ - |  | \$ |
| 6 | Total Equity | 40.0\% |  | \$4,720,914 | 9.19\% | \$433,852 |
| 7 | Total | 100.0\% |  | \$11,802,285 | 6.28\% | \$741,703 |

## Notes

(1) $4.0 \%$ unless an applicant has proposed or been approved for a different amount.


Year $\quad 2020$


Year $\quad 2019$


Year $\quad 2017$



Date:
Appendix 2-Q
Cost of Serving Embedded Distributor(s)
To be completed by Host Distributors ONLY
(Not required if Host Distributor has an Embedded Distributor rate class, i.e. a separate row on Sheet 11 of the RRWF.)

Proposed Rate Class for Billing Embedded
Distributor(s)
Host's Distribution Facilities used by Embedded Distributor(s)

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) $=$ '(3) + (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asset Class | Total OM\&A costs asociated with asset class | Original cost of asset class | Accumulated amortization of asset class | Annual amortization of asset class | Net Book Value of asset class |
| Totals for Host Distributor: | (\$) | (\$) | (\$) | (\$) |  |
| Distribution Stations |  |  |  |  | \$ |
| Low Voltage Line |  |  |  |  | \$ |
| LV Line category \# 2 (if applcable) |  |  |  |  | \$ |
| TS (owned by host) |  |  |  |  | \$ |
| add rows if necessary... |  |  |  |  | \$ - |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$ |


| (1) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asset Class | Total line length or <br> station capacity in <br> asset class | Line length or capacity <br> required to provide LV <br> service to Embedded <br> Distributor(s) | Annual total demand on <br> station/line providing <br> LV services (sum of 12 <br> monthly peaks) | Annual billed <br> Embedded Distributor <br> demand on station/line <br> providing LV services | Embedded <br> Distributor(s)' <br> Responsibility Share |
| Embedded Distributor's <br> share: | kW or kVa; km | kW or kVA; km | kW or kVA | kW or kVA | percent |
| Distribution Stations |  |  |  |  | $0.00 \%$ |
| Low Voltage Line |  |  |  |  | $0.00 \%$ |
| LV Line \# 2 (if applicable) |  |  |  |  | $0.00 \%$ |
| TS (owned by host) |  |  |  | $0.00 \%$ |  |
| add rows if necessary |  |  |  | $0.00 \%$ |  |


| (1) | (12) | (12a) |  | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asset Class | Return on Assets used to Provide LV services | Taxes/PILs |  | Annual amortization on assets used to provide LV services | OM\&A costs with burden associated with assets used to provide LV services | Total annual cost associated with assets used to provide LV services | Monthly cost associated with the delivery of LV services |
|  | (\$) | (\$) |  | (\$) | (\$) | (\$) | \$/kW or \$/kVA |
| Distribution Stations | \$ | \$ | - | \$ | \$ | \$ | 0.00 |
| Low Voltage Line | \$ | \$ | - | \$ | \$ | \$ | 0.00 |
| LV Line \# 2 (if applicable) | \$ | \$ | - | \$ | \$ | \$ | 0.00 |
| TS (owned by host) | \$ | \$ | - | \$ | \$ - | \$ | 0.00 |
| add rows if necessary | \$ | \$ | - | \$ | \$ - | \$ | 0.00 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00 |
| (17) | (18) Capital Structure <br> (\%) | (19) Cost Rate (\%) |  | (20) | (21) <br> (\%) |  |  |
| Long-Term Debt Short-term Debt |  |  |  | Weighted Average Cost of Capital | 0.00\% |  |  |
| Common Equity |  |  |  | Tax/PILs Rate |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.00\% |  |  | Working Capital Allowance Factor |  |  |  |

## Appendix 2-R Loss Factors

|  |  | Historical Years |  |  |  |  | 5-Year Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |  |
|  | Losses Within Distributor's System |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A(1) | "Wholesale" kWh delivered to distributor (higher value) | 190,198,454 | 184,181,851 | 192,794,491 | 190,916,363 | 187,587,218 | 189,135,675 |
| A(2) | "Wholesale" kWh delivered to distributor (lower value) | 188,885,647 | 185,970,179 | 191,593,304 | 190,200,950 | 186,713,676 | 188,672,751 |
| B | Portion of "Wholesale" kWh delivered to distributor for its Large Use Customer(s) |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| C | Net "Wholesale" kWh delivered to distributor $=\mathbf{A}(2)-\mathbf{B}$ | 188,885,647 | 185,970,179 | 191,593,304 | 190,200,950 | 186,713,676 | 188,672,751 |
| D | "Retail" kWh delivered by distributor | 183,317,003 | 177,929,561 | 185,198,705 | 183,512,928 | 178,353,238 | 181,662,287 |
| E | Portion of "Retail" kWh delivered by distributor to its Large Use Customer(s) |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| F | Net "Retail" kWh delivered by distributor = D-E | 183,317,003 | 177,929,561 | 185,198,705 | 183,512,928 | 178,353,238 | 181,662,287 |
| G | Loss Factor in Distributor's system = C/F | 1.0304 | 1.0452 | 1.0345 | 1.0364 | 1.0469 | 1.0386 |
|  | Losses Upstream of Distributor's System |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H | Supply Facilities Loss Factor | 1.0069 | 0.9903 | 1.0062 | 1.0037 | 1.0047 | 1.0024 |
|  | Total Losses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Total Loss Factor $=\mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{H}$ | 1.0375 | 1.0351 | 1.0409 | 1.0403 | 1.0518 | 1.0410 |

Notes:

A(1) If directly connected to the IESO-controlled grid, kWh pertains to the virtual meter on the primary or high voltage side of the transformer at the interface with the transmission grid. This corresponds to the "With Losses" kWh value provided by the IESO's MVWEB. It is the higher of the two values provided by MV-WEB.
If fully embedded within a host distributor, kWh pertains to the virtual meter on the primary or high voltage side of the transformer, at the interface between the host distributor and the transmission grid. For example, if the host distributor is Hydro One Networks Inc., kWh from the Hydro One Networks' invoice corresponding to "Total kWh w Losses" should be reported. This corresponds to the higher of the two kWh values provided in Hydro One Networks' invoice.
If partially embedded, kWh pertains to the sum of the above.
A(2) If directly connected to the IESO-controlled grid, kWh pertains to a metering installation on the secondary or low voltage side of the transformer at the interface with the transmission grid. This corresponds to the "Without Losses" kWh value provided by the IESO's MV-WEB. It is the lower of the two kWh values provided by MV-WEB.

If fully embedded with the host distributor, kWh pertains to a metering installation on the secondary or low voltage side of the transformer at the interface between the embedded distributor and the host distributor. For example, if the host distributor is Hydro One Networks Inc., kWh from the Hydro One Networks' invoice corresponding to "Total kWh" should be reported. This corresponds to the lower of the two kWh values provided in Hydro One Networks' invoice.

If partially embedded, kWh pertains to the sum of the above.
Additionally, kWh pertaining to distributed generation directly connected to the distributor's own distribution network should be included in $\mathbf{A}(2)$.

B If a Large Use Customer is metered on the secondary or low voltage side of the transformer, the default loss is $1 \%$ (i.e., $\mathbf{B}=1.01 \mathrm{X}$ E). This value should not include supply facility losses. However, the total loss factor on the tariff of rate and charges and applied to customers consumption should include the supply facility loss factor.

D kWh corresponding to D should equal metered or estimated kWh at the customer's delivery point.
E Metered consumption of Large Use customers.
G and I These loss factors pertain to secondary-metered customers with demand less than $5,000 \mathrm{~kW}$.
H Actual Supply Facility Loss Factor as calculated by dividing $A(1)$ by $A(2)$.
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Step 1: Commodity Pricing

| Forecasted Commodity Prices | Table 1: Average RPP Supply Cost Summary* |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | non-RPP | RPP |
| HOEP (\$/MWh) | Load-Weighted Price for RPP <br> Consumers | \$19.25 | \$19.25 |
| Global Adjustment (\$/MWh) | Impact of the Global Adjustment | \$85.18 | \$85.18 |
| Adjustments (\$/MWh) |  |  | (\$0.79) |
| TOTAL (\$/MWh) | Average Supply Cost for RPP Consumers |  | \$103.64 |

Step 2: Commodity Expense
(volumes for the test year is loss adiusted)

| Commodity |  |  |  | 2022 Test Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Customer |  | Revenue Expense |  |  | Class B Non-RPP Volume** | Class B RPP Volume** | Average HOEP |  | Average RPP Rate |  | Amount |
| Class Name | UoM | USA \# | USA \# | Class A Non-RPP Volume** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential | kWh | 4006 | 4705 |  |  | 83654165.14 | \$ | 0.01925 | \$ | 0.10364 | \$8,669,918 |
| GS<50 kW | kWh | 4010 | 4705 |  |  | 30861803.81 | \$ | 0.01925 | \$ | 0.10364 | \$3,198,517 |
| GS 50 to 4999 kW | kWh | 4035 | 4705 | 3144375.335 | 50333546.83 | 20429757.85 | \$ | 0.01925 | \$ | 0.10364 | \$3,146,790 |
| Sentinel Lighting | kWh | 4010 | 4705 |  |  | 202760.6521 | \$ | 0.01925 | \$ | 0.10364 | \$21,014 |
| Street Lighting | kWh | 4025 | 4705 |  |  | 1125146.407 | \$ | 0.01925 | \$ | 0.10364 | \$116,610 |
| Unmetered Scattered Load | kWh | 4025 | 4705 |  |  | 631786.0669 | \$ | 0.01925 | \$ | 0.10364 | \$65,478 |
| other | kWh | 4025 | 4705 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.01925 | \$ | 0.10364 | \$0 |
| other | kWh | 4025 | 4705 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.01925 | \$ | 0.10364 | \$0 |
| other | kWh | 4025 | 4705 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.01925 | \$ | 0.10364 | \$0 |
|  | kWh | 4025 | 4705 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.01925 | \$ | 0.10364 | \$0 |
|  | kWh | 4025 | 4705 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.01925 | \$ | 0.10364 | \$0 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  | 3,144,375 | 50,333,547 | 136,905,420 |  |  |  |  | \$15,218,328 |


*Regulated Price Plan Prices for the Period May 1, 2021 to April 30, 2022, p. 2

* Enter 2022 load forecast data by class based on the most recent 12-month historic Class A and Class B RPP/Non-RPP proportion
${ }^{* * *}$ Based on average \$ GA per kWh billed to class A customers for most recent 12-month historical year.


| Class B CBR | Units |
| :--- | :---: |
| Class per Load Forecast |  |
| Residential | kWh |
| GS<50 kW | kWh |
| GS 50 to 4999 kW | kWh |
| Sentinel Lighting | kWh |
| Street Lighting | kWh |
| Unmetered Scattered Load |  |
| other |  |
| other |  |
| other | Units |
| SUB-TOTAL |  |
|  | kWh |
| RRRP | kWh |
| Class per Load Forecast | kWh |
| Residential | kWh |
| GS<50 kW | kWh |
| GS 50 to 4999 kW | kWh |
| Sentinel Lighting |  |
| Street Lighting |  |
| Unmetered Scattered Load |  |
| other |  |
| other |  |
| other |  |
| SUB-TOTAL |  |


| Volume | Rate | \$ | Volume | Rate | \$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 83,654,165 | 0.0004 | 33,462 |  |  | - |  |
| 30,861,804 | 0.0004 | 12,345 |  |  | - |  |
| 20,429,758 | 0.0004 | 8,172 |  |  | - |  |
| 202,761 | 0.0004 | 81 |  |  | - |  |
| 1,125,146 | 0.0004 | 450 |  |  | - |  |
| 631,786 | 0.0004 | 253 |  |  | - |  |
|  |  | - |  |  | - |  |
|  |  | - |  |  | - |  |
|  |  | - |  |  | - |  |
|  |  | 54,762 |  |  | - | 54,762 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume | Rate | \$ | Volume | Rate | \$ | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 83,654,165 | 0.0005 | 41,827 |  |  | - |  |
| 30,861,804 | 0.0005 | 15,431 |  |  | - |  |
| 20,429,758 | 0.0005 | 10,215 |  |  | - |  |
| 202,761 | 0.0005 | 101 |  |  | - |  |
| 1,125,146 | 0.0005 | 563 |  |  | - |  |
| 631,786 | 0.0005 | 316 |  |  | - |  |
|  |  | - |  |  | - |  |
|  |  | - |  |  | - |  |
|  |  | - |  |  | - |  |
|  |  | 68,453 |  |  | - | 68,453 |


| Low Voltage - No TLF adjustment | Units |
| :--- | :---: |
| Class per Load Forecast |  |
| Residential | kWh |
| GS $<50 \mathrm{~kW}$ | kWh |
| GS 50 to 4999 kW | kW |
| Sentinel Lighting | kW |
| Street Lighting | kW |
| Unmetered Scattered Load | kW |
| other |  |
| other |  |
| other |  |
| SUB-TOTAL |  |


| Volume | Rate | \$ | Volume | Rate | \$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 83,654,165 | 0.0027 | 225,866 |  |  | - |  |
| 30,861,804 | 0.0023 | 70,982 |  |  | - |  |
| 219,807 | 0.9084 | 199,672 |  |  | - |  |
| 495 | 0.7172 | 355 |  |  | - |  |
| 3,027 | 0.7024 | 2,126 |  |  | - |  |
| 631,786 | 0.0023 | 1,453 |  |  | - |  |
|  |  | - |  |  | - |  |
|  |  | - |  |  | - |  |
|  |  | - |  |  | - |  |
|  |  | 500,455 |  |  | - | 500,455 |


| Smart Meter Entity Charge |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Class per Load Forecast |  |
| Residential |  |
| GS<50 kW |  |
|  |  |
| SUB-TOTAL |  |
|  |  |
| SUB- TOTAL | $18.92 \%$ |
| OER CREDIT ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| TOTAL |  |


| Customers | Rate | \$ | Customers | Rate | \$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,248 | 0.57 | 15,375 |  |  | - |  |
| 458 | 0.57 | 3,130 |  |  | - |  |
|  |  | - |  |  | - |  |
|  |  | 18,506 |  |  | - | 18,506 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 18,364,282 |  |  | 4,808,602 | 23,172,885 |
|  |  | (3,474,522) |  |  | 0 | (3,474,522) |
|  |  | 14,889,760 |  |  | 4,808,602 | 19,698,362 |

3.The OER Credit of $31.8 \%$ will only apply to RPP proportion of the listed components. Impacts on distribution charges are excluded for the purpose of calculating the cost of power.

| 2022 Test Year - Cop | Cop |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4705 -Power Purchased | $\$ 15,467,862$ |
| 4707- Global Adjustment | $\$ 4,559,068$ |
| 4708-Charges-WMS | $\$ 465,478$ |
| 4714-Charges-NW | $\$ 1,126,637$ |
| 4716-Charges-CN | $\$ 966,425$ |
| 4730-RRRP | $\$ 68,453$ |
| 4750-Charges-LV | $\$ 500,455$ |
| 4751-IESO SME | $\$ 18,506$ |
| Misc A/R or A/P | $-\$ 3,474,522$ |
| TOTAL | $\$ 19,698,362$ |


| 2021 Bridge Year - Cop | Cop |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4705 -Power Purchased | $\$ 15,860,253$ |
| 4707- Global Adjustment | $\$ 4,674,723$ |
| 4708-Charges-WMS | $\$ 477,287$ |
| 4714-Charges-NW | $\$ 1,155,218$ |
| 4716-Charges-CN | $\$ 990,942$ |
| 4730-RRRP | $\$ 70,189$ |
| 4750-Charges-LV | $\$ 513,151$ |
| 4751-IESO SME | $\$ 18,975$ |
| Misc A/R or A/P | $-\$ 3,562,664$ |
| TOTAL | $\$ \mathbf{2 0 , 1 9 8 , 0 7 3}$ |

