



Ontario
Energy
Board | Commission
de l'énergie
de l'Ontario

BY EMAIL

October 7, 2021

Kathleen Burke
Director, Applications Delivery
Regulatory Affairs
Hydro One Networks Inc.
483 Bay Street
Toronto Ontario M5G 2P5

Dear Ms. Burke:

Re:

**Clarification of Procedural Matters
Hydro One Networks Inc.'s 2023-2027 Application for Transmission and
Distribution Rates (JRAP)
Ontario Energy Board File (OEB) Number: EB-2021-0243/EB-2021-0110**

This letter is in response to your letter dated September 30, 2021, requesting clarification of certain procedural matters related to Hydro One's above-referenced JRAP that is currently before the Ontario Energy Board (OEB). The OEB has addressed each of the matters below.

In Procedural Order No.1 (PO#1) in Hydro One's JRAP, dated September 17, 2021, the OEB directed Hydro One and the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) to "clarify their recommended [Export Transmission Service] ETS rate in writing, either separately or, preferably, as a joint recommendation if the IESO and Hydro One are able to reach a singular view. This clarification is to be filed on September 30, 2021 as part of the separate, generic proceeding, which will be assigned OEB file no. EB-2021-0243." Pursuant to PO#1 Hydro One informed the OEB that Hydro One and the IESO will be in a position to file their submission on the ETS rate by October 14, 2021. The OEB will communicate the next steps in the generic proceeding in due course.

Hydro One also requested clarification on the scope of issues related to the implementation of OEB's decision to eliminate Hydro One's seasonal rate class. The OEB believes that the breakdown of the scope of the issues provided by Hydro One in its letter is directionally reasonable, but a determination of this matter is subject to the details of the impending OEB decision on the implementation of the OEB's decision to eliminate Hydro One's seasonal rate class.¹ The OEB may provide further comment in this regard at a later date.

With respect to clarification on the format for numbering interrogatories, the OEB accepts Hydro One's request to separate Exhibit B into its four noted components. Hydro One also stated that it "requests that interrogatories be grouped by exhibit sequentially." To clarify, the OEB's PO#1, stated that the "numbering for each interrogatory should be continuous" and that parties "should not restart the numbering for interrogatories associated with each exhibit." Please refer to sections 26 and 27 of the OEB's [Rules of Practice and Procedure](#) additional information on the format for interrogatories.

Hydro One also requested clarification that OEB staff and intervenors are expected to use the acronyms for intervenors provided in PO#1 and that the OEB provide the acronyms that are to be used to identify parties that receive late intervenor status. All parties are expected to use the acronyms and the OEB is directing OEB staff to provide the requested information to all parties.

Yours truly,

Original Signed By

Christine E. Long
Registrar

c. All Parties in EB-2021-0110

¹ EB-2020-0246