

Ms. Christine Long
OEB Registrar
Ontario Energy Board
P.O. Box 2319, 27th Floor
2300 Yonge Street
Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

November 1, 2021

**EB-2021-0205 – Greenstone Pipeline Leave to Construct
Pollution Probe Intervenor Request Letter**

Dear Ms. Long:

Pollution Probe is in receipt of the notice for the above noted proceeding and hereby applies for intervenor status and requests that it be eligible for the recovery of reasonably incurred costs for its participation. Pollution Probe has been an active participant in facility proceedings, including Leave to Construct applications. Pollution Probe represents the direct interests of consumers and an interest and policy perspective relevant to the Board's mandate in this proceeding.

Pollution Probe is a frequent intervenor in Board proceedings and a copy of the current Annual Filing can be found on the Board's website at the following location:

<https://www.rds.oeb.ca/CMWebDrawer/Record/724793/File/document>

Pollution Probe supports Enbridge's request for a written proceeding for this application.

Goals and Objectives

Pollution Probe intends to actively participate in all aspects of this proceeding with a focus on the following areas. Enbridge has requested OEB approval to construct 13 kilometers of NPS 6 XHP ST natural gas pipeline to provide service to the Greenstone Mine Project. The proposed pipeline crosses multiple watercourses and Provincial wetlands. Areas of focus will include financial treatment (including proposed capital treatment and amortization period), project risk, options, environmental and socio-economic impacts related to the proposed pipeline and potential interaction with the Geraldton distribution system.

Section 11¹ of the contract filed by Enbridge includes two redacted lines indicating details related to the customers ability to terminate the contract. The redacted lines appear to provide a date which is material to the OEB's assessment of the contract and this type of information is not typically considered confidential information by the OEB. Additionally, Appendix A provide a redacted schedule of payment for the CIAC and Schedule 1 has redacted information (e.g. estimated daily peak demand). This information is directly related to the OEB's assessment of the application and should be part of the open

¹ Exhibit B, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Attachment 1 Page 6 of 11

public record. Confidential treatment for these elements has not been granted by the OEB. It is requested that the OEB require Enbridge to provide an unredacted version of the contract wording².

Intention to Seek Cost Awards

Pollution Probe is a not-for-profit charitable organization. We receive individual donations as well as funding for consumer and research projects, such as on community energy planning, future of natural gas and regulated utility innovation, from a variety of public and private sources. Without the prospect of an award of costs, Pollution Probe's ability to participate in the consultation process would not be possible. Pollution Probe has been an active and valuable participant in proceedings before the Ontario Energy Board and will continue to coordinate its activities, where appropriate, with other parties which represent consumer, community and environmental interests in Ontario.

Notice

Pollution Probe requests that further communications with respect to this matter be sent to:

Michael Brophy
Michael Brophy Consulting Inc.
Consultant for Pollution Probe
28 Macnaughton Road
Toronto, Ontario M4G 3H4
Phone: 647-330-1217
Email: Michael.brophy@rogers.com

Respectfully submitted on behalf of Pollution Probe.



Michael Brophy, P.Eng., M.Eng., MBA
Michael Brophy Consulting Inc.
Consultant to Pollution Probe
Phone: 647-330-1217
Email: Michael.brophy@rogers.com

cc: Dave Janisse, Enbridge (via EGIRegulatoryProceedings@enbridge.com)
Tania Persad, Enbridge Legal (via email)
Richard Carlson, Pollution Probe (via email)

² Signatures are also redacted and are business information rather than personal information. Pollution Probe has no preference on whether the OEB should require the signature information to be made public.