EB-2021-0002

ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD

Enbridge 2022-2027 DSM Plan and DSM Framework

POLLUTION PROBE INTERROGATORIES to Small Business Utility Alliance (Green Energy Economics Group)

December 17, 2021

Submitted by: Michael Brophy

Michael Brophy Consulting Inc.

Michael.brophy@rogers.com

Phone: 647-330-1217

28 Macnaughton Road

Toronto, Ontario M4G 3H4

Consultant for Pollution Probe

EB-2021-0002 Pollution Probe Interrogatories to SBUA

Note: Format below is (ISSUE #)-(PARTY ACRONYM)-(Evidence Party)-(IR#)

<u>2-PP-SBUA-1</u>

Reference: PollutionProbe_IR_SBUA_AppendixB_AbacusData_20211217

The referenced attachment shows that Canadians consider climate change issues a high priority. In Green Energy Economics Group's opinion is there benefit to leverage the synergies between DSM and climate change mitigation benefits when engaging stakeholders and developing DSM programs? Please elaborate on any best practices in leveraging these synergies that should be considered for DSM in Ontario.

2-PP-SBUA-2

The small commercial market has received limited attention from DSM in Ontario since it provides less savings per customer than larger customers and is not as simple as prescriptive residential programs. What best practices can be embedded in the DSM Framework or OEB DSM decision to overcome these barriers and increase results for this under-served this sector?

6-PP-SBUA-3

What increase in spending is required to bring Enbridge's DSM portfolio in line with best practice utilities offering small commercial programs?

<u>8-PP-SBUA-4</u>

Are changes required to the Enbridge DSM Scorecards and shareholder incentive approach to align with best practice in delivering small commercial results? If yes, please provide details on what should be modified.

15-PP-SBUA-5

Reference: EB-2020-0091 Decision, page 66

In the recent OEB Decision for gas IRP, the OEB directed Enbridge to establishment a website to facilitate the broad sharing of information and enhance stakeholder collaboration. Would Green Energy Economics Group recommend something similar for DSM to help resolve communication, collaboration and outreach issues?

16-PP-SBUA-6

- a) Is it best practice for DSM programs to be coordinated with, co-delivered with and/or delivered by relevant industry partners to maximize results and cost-effectiveness? If not, why not.
- b) Would EFG recommend that the OEB require Enbridge to develop and deliver its DSM programs with all relevant delivery partners including but not limited to IESO, industry associations, municipalities, etc. If not, why not.
- c) What are the costs and consequences related to delivering DSM programs in a silo without effective partnership coordination?

17-PP-SBUA-7

Reference: PollutionProbe_IR_SBUA_AppendixA_EngagementPrinciples_20211217

SBUA highlights issues related to DSM engagement and communication. IESO developed best practice stakeholder engagement principles as referenced above. Would adding these principles into the DSM Framework be beneficial? Please explain.