Orangeville Hydro Limited
EB-2021-0049

Please note, Orangeville Hydro Limited (Orangeville Hydro) is responsible for ensuring that all documents it files with the OEB, including responses to OEB staff questions and any other supporting documentation, do not include personal information (as that phrase is defined in the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*), unless filed in accordance with rule 9A of the OEB's *Rules of Practice and Procedure*.

OEB Staff-1

Reference: (1) 2022 IRM Rate Generator Model, Tab 3 (Continuity Schedule)

Orangeville Hydro has not provided inputs at Reference 1 for the "Principal Disposition during 2020- instructed by OEB" and the "Interest Disposition during 2020- instructed by OEB" in Account 1580 Variance WMS- Sub-account CBR Class B. OEB staff notes that as per the 2020 IRM Decision and Rate Order, the principal and interest disposition in this account was a credit balance of $5,001 and a debit balance of $117, respectively.

Questions:

a) Please review the Account 1580 Variance WMS- Sub-account CBR Class B disposition amounts in 2020 and provide an explanation for the noted discrepancies.
b) If required, please make any necessary adjustments to the Continuity Schedule in the 2022 IRM Rate Generator Model.

OEB Staff-2

Reference: (1) 2022 IRM Rate Generator Model, Tab 6 (Class A Consumption Data)

At Reference 1, Orangeville Hydro identified that it had eight transition customers during the period the Account 1589 GA or Account 1580 CBR B balance accumulated. OEB staff notes that customers one through six were full year Class A customers in 2020, as shown below.

Transition Customers - Non-loss Adjusted Billing Determinants by Customer

Customer	Rate Class		2020	
			July to December	January to June
Customer 1	GENERAL SERVICE 50 to 4,999 kW SERVICE CLASSIFICATION	kWh	2,496,893	2,326,195
		kW	5,350	5,098
		Class A/B	A	A
Customer 2	GENERAL SERVICE 50 to 4,999 kW SERVICE CLASSIFICATION	kWh	11,417,781	8,874,947
		kW	19,351	17,380
		Class A/B	A	A
Customer 3	GENERAL SERVICE 50 to 4,999 kW SERVICE CLASSIFICATION	kWh	2,842,191	2,901,378
		kW	5,358	5,140
		Class A/B	A	A
Customer 4	GENERAL SERVICE 50 to 4,999 kW SERVICE CLASSIFICATION	kWh	6,329,928	6,025,475
		kW	10,567	10,350
		Class A/B	A	A
Customer 5	GENERAL SERVICE 50 to 4,999 kW SERVICE CLASSIFICATION	kWh	5,776,463	4,822,114
		kW	10,360	9,300
		Class A/B	A	A
Customer 6	GENERAL SERVICE 50 to 4,999 kW SERVICE CLASSIFICATION	kWh	1,610,942	1,521,630
		kW	4,671	4,298
		Class A/B	A	A
Customer 7	GENERAL SERVICE 50 to 4,999 kW SERVICE CLASSIFICATION	kWh	-	273,519
		kW	-	2,366
		Class A/B	B	A
Customer 8	GENERAL SERVICE 50 to 4,999 kW SERVICE CLASSIFICATION	kWh	2,224,104	1,863,158
		kW	6,359	5,807
		Class A/B	B	A

Questions:

a) Please confirm the following or provide further explanations:
   i. Customers one through six were inputted to calculate the total Class A consumption for full year customers at 3b at Reference 1.
   ii. Customer seven was no longer a customer from July to December of 2020
   iii. Customer eight was the only transition customer in 2020

b) If required, please make any necessary adjustments to Tab 6 (Class A Consumption Data) in the 2022 IRM Rate Generator Model, so that only customers that have consumption as both Class A and Class B customers are reflected.

OEB Staff-3

Reference: (1) EB-2018-0060, 2019 IRM Decision and Rate Order, March 28, 2019, page 11
(2) EB-2020-0046, 2021 IRM Decision and Rate Order, March 25, 2021, page 13

As per Reference #1, the OEB ordered a compliance review to be conducted by the OEB relating to a Class A Global Adjustment (GA) administrative error.

As per Reference #2, the OEB ordered an additional inspection to be conducted by the OEB relating to Account 1588 and Account 1589.

In the question below, OEB staff has referred to the OEB's compliance review (as per the 2019 IRM decision) and the additional OEB inspection (as per the 2021 IRM decision) collectively as "the Inspection".

Question:

a) Given that the commodity account balances may be impacted by the outcomes of the Inspection, would Orangeville Hydro be agreeable to withdrawing its request to clear any Account 1588 and Account 1589 balances (or alternatively, all Group 1 accounts) in the current proceeding, in the event that the Inspection is not completed before the issuance of the decision?

OEB Staff-4

Reference: (1) EB-2019-0060, 2020 IRM Rate Generator Model, Tab 3, February 19, 2020
(2) EB-2019-0060, 2020 IRM Decision and Rate Order, April 16, 2020, page 9
(3) EB-2020-0046, 2021 IRM Rate Generator Model, Tab 3, March 25, 2021
(4) 2022 IRM Rate Generator Model, Tab 3, October 13, 2021

In the 2020 IRM Rate Generator Model and decision, the OEB disposed of the December 31, 2016 Account 1588 and Account 1589 balances (on a final basis), while deferring disposition of the 2017 and 2018 balances.

OEB staff notes discrepancies between the Account 1588 and Account 1589 December 31, 2016 principal balances in the 2022 IRM Rate Generator Model (Reference #4), and each of the 2020 and 2021 IRM Rate Generator Models (References #1 and #3).

OEB Staff Table 1 –
Discrepancies in December 31, 2016
Account 1588 and Account 1589 Principal Balances

					As Per 2022 IRM Rate Generator Model, cell S28 and S29	As Per 2020 IRM Rate Generator Model, cell AM28 and AM29; As Per 2021 IRM Rate Generator Model, cell AC28 and AC29		Discrepancy
					A	B		C = A - B
Principal								
Account 1588 December 31, 2016 balance					967,059	203,157		763,901
Account 1589 December 31, 2016 balance					298,703	55,971		242,733

OEB staff further notes that Orangeville Hydro confirmed the balances above (in Column B), in response to Staff Question-8 in its 2021 IRM proceeding, and that the current Manager's Summary (October 13, 2021, page 12) confirmed no adjustments have been made to DVA balances previously disposed on a final basis.

Questions:

a) Please confirm whether Orangeville Hydro is in agreement with OEB staff's calculations in OEB Staff Table 1.
b) If this is the case, please update the 2022 IRM Rate Generator Model to reflect the balances in Column B, or explain why that is not appropriate, including the discrepancy in Column C.

OEB Staff-5

Reference: (1) EB-2020-0046, 2021 IRM Decision and Rate Order, March 25, 2021
(2) 2022 IRM Rate Generator Model, Tab 3, October 13, 2021

OEB staff notes the following discrepancies between the Account 1589 2021 OEB-approved interest balance in the 2022 IRM Rate Generator Model (Reference #2), and the 2021 IRM Decision and Rate Order (Reference #1).

OEB Staff Table 2 –
Discrepancies in 2021 OEB-Approved
Account 1589 Interest Balance

	As Per 2022 IRM Rate Generator Model, cell BN29	As Per 2021 IRM Decision and Rate Order	Discrepancy
	D	E	F = D - E
Interest			
Account 1589 Disposition During 2021	30,048	33,262	(3,214)

Questions:

a) Please confirm whether Orangeville Hydro is in agreement with the calculations in OEB Staff Table 2.
b) If so, please update the 2022 IRM Rate Generator Model to reflect the balances in Column E.
c) If not, please explain the discrepancy in Column F.

OEB Staff-6

Reference: (1) 2022 IRM Rate Generator Model, Tab 3, October 13, 2021
(2) GA Analysis Workform, October 13, 2021, Tab GA 2020

At Reference #1, Orangeville Hydro has included an Account 1589 debit of $377,958 in cell BD29 “Transactions Debit / (Credit) during 2020.” However, at Reference #2, Orangeville Hydro has included a debit of $392,999 in cell C75 for the Account 1589 “Net Change in Principal Balance in the GL (i.e. Transactions in the Year)”.

Question:

a) Please clarify which should be the correct number.

OEB Staff-7

Reference: (1) LRAMVA Workform, Tab 5 (2015-2020 LRAM)

2018

The energy savings and persisting energy savings reported for 2018 & 2019 in Tab 5 of the LRAMVA Workform could not be reconciled to the IESO Participation and Cost report, however, is consistent with the prior year LRAMVA Workform without exception. The energy savings for 2019 also reconcile to the “OHL_2019 CDM Project List_No Customer Info” excel filed with Orangeville Hydro’s 2021 IRM application.

Please clarify why the 2018 data cannot be reconciled to the IESO Participation and Cost report and provide details and calculations used to arrive at the energy savings and persisting energy savings from 2018 for the following programs:

- Save on Energy Coupon Program
- Save on Energy Retrofit Program
- Save on Energy Small Business Lighting Program
- Business Refrigeration Local Program

2020

The energy savings reported for 2020 in Tab 5 of the LRAMVA Workform reconcile to the “OHL_2020 CDM Project List_No Customer Info” excel filed in the current proceeding. Please clarify why the contents of the working paper include data pertaining to programs led by Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited.