

March 14, 2022

Nancy Marconi, Registrar
Ontario Energy Board

VIA RESS AND EMAIL

Dear Ms Marconi:

Re: EB-23021-0002 EGI DSM – GEC Transcript Corrections

Please find below a list of corrections to the transcript for March 2nd (testimony of C. Neme and S. Sherwood).

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'David Poch', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Cc: All parties

Transcript corrections

FILE NO.: EB-2021-0002

VOLUME: Technical Conference

DATE: March 2, 2022

- P. 2 line 1: replace “so we start by saying – that” with “Let me start by saying that...”
- P. 2, line 24: edit “...attached to it, and to understand...” as “...attached to it. To understand...”
- P. 3, line 22: strike the word “the” in the phrase “...am the not sure...”
- P. 7, line 12: replace “and” with “in”
- P. 11, line 1: strike the word “am”
- P. 13, lines 5-6: edit “...which in our one example of which is here, a 40 percent...” to “...one example of which is a 40 percent...”
- P. 13, lines 16-: edit sentence as follows: “I will note that Vermont Gas – as of February 1st the law has not passed; it is moving its way through the legislature- but potentially anticipating that Vermont gas as of February 1st...”
- P. 14, line 21: replace “the EIC” with “VEIC”
- P. 20, line 18: replace “...and expenses of it...” with “...and expensing it...”
- P. 26, line 1: replace “or” with “on”
- P. 27: line 11, replace “until” with “after”
- P. 28, line 16, strike the period after the word “and”
- P. 33, line 11: The word “and” is the start of a new sentence so it should be capitalized.
- P. 33, line 16: replace “Affordable” with “Efficient”
- P. 36, line 2: “lower budget” should be replaced with “a larger budget”
- P. 45, line 17: add a comma before and after “as they’re typically constructed”
- P. 46, line 12: “optimal” should not be capitalized
- P. 48, lines 26-27: replace “a competitor of procurements” with “a competitive procurement”
- P. 50, line 2: replace “competitor” with “competitive”
- P. 57, line 4: Strike the word “not”
- P. 57, line 7: “spill over” should be one word (“spillover”)
- P. 59, lines 5-8: rewrite as follows: “Yes, because there would be more annual savings from retrofitting additional low-income customers with the dollars saved than would be achieve in this way typically.”
- P. 64, replace “P.1.1” with “PY1”