

1 **CCMBC INTERROGATORY 5**

2 Issue 2.0 Usage Fees

3 2-CCMBC-5

4 **INTERROGATORY**

5 **Reference:** Exhibit C-1-1, Attachment 1

6 a) Please explain why the transmission line losses for 2022 are forecast to be 3.0 TWh
7 when the actual transmission line losses for 2021 were only 1.9 TWh.

8 b) Please explain why the forecast of exports for 2022 is 15.9 TWh which is lower than any
9 of the past 6 years which range from 17.2 TWh to 20.4 TWh.

10 **RESPONSE**

11 a) See response to Schedule 7 – 2.1 EP 10(e).

12 b) See response to Schedule 7 – 2.1 EP 10(d).

1 **OEB STAFF INTERROGATORY 15**

2 Issue 2.1 Is the methodology used to derive the IESO's proposed 2022 Usage Fees of
3 \$1.3329/MWh for domestic customers (including embedded generation) and
4 \$1.0126/MWh for export customers to be paid commencing January 1, 2022
5 appropriate?

6 2-Staff-15

7 **INTERROGATORY**

8 a. Exhibit A / Tab 1 / Schedule 3 / p. 2

9

10 Preamble:

11

12 Table 8 summarizes the IESO usage fees approved in the 2020/2021 IESO proceeding, and the
13 ones proposed in the current application. At the above noted reference, the IESO states, "[t]he
14 2022 proposed usage fees for domestic customers represents a 4.8% increase relative to 2021
15 OEB approved usage fees, and the 2022 proposed usage fees for export customers represents a
16 7.5% decrease relative to 2021 OEB approved usage fees."

17

18

Table 8: Staffing Compensation from Capital Budget

IESO Usage Fees (\$/MWh)	Approved 2021	Proposed 2022	% Change (Approved 2021 vs. Proposed 2022)
Domestic	1.271	1.3329	4.8%
Export	1.0943	1.0126	-7.5%

19

20 Questions:

21

22 a) What are the driving factors leading to the domestic usage fee increase?

23 b) What are the driving factors leading to the export usage fee decrease?

24 **RESPONSE**

25 a) The driving factor leading to a shift in fees from Export to Domestic is the magnitude of
26 costs allocated 100% to Domestic. The components of the IESO's revenue requirement
27 that are allocated 100% to Domestic have increased more than other costs so there is a
28 fee increase for Domestic and decrease for Export.

29 The costs allocated 100% to Domestic increased from 18.5% of the revenue
30 requirement in 2020 to 21.8% in 2022. In particular, there is a new Resource & System

- 1 Adequacy Business Unit that is allocated 100% to Domestic, and the Corporate Affairs
2 department has shifted to 100% Domestic after Elenchus’s cost allocation review.
3 Corporate Affairs was previously allocated by relative energy volumes but this was
4 changed to 100% Domestic because Regulatory Affairs was moved out of the
5 department and Indigenous Relations, which had been a standalone department for the
6 purposes of cost allocation that was allocated 100% to Domestic, was moved into
7 Corporate Affairs. See Exhibit G-1-1 Attachment 5 – IESO Cost Allocation Methodology
8 Review, sections 3.4 and 3.5 for more detail.
- 9 b) See response to a). The share of costs allocated by relative energy volumes, for which
10 Export receives a share of costs, has declined relative to the share allocated 100% to
11 Domestic.

1 **EDA INTERROGATORY 4**

2 Issue 1.1 Is the IESO's Fiscal Year 2022 revenue requirement of \$201.5 million appropriate?

3 Issue 2.1 Is the methodology used to derive the IESO's proposed 2022 Usage Fees of
4 \$1.3329/MWh for domestic customers (including embedded generation) and
5 \$1.0126/MWh for export customers to be paid commencing January 1, 2022
6 appropriate?

7 2.1-EDA-4

8 **INTERROGATORY**

9 **Evidence Reference:** ExB-T1-S2-Pg 8/36 / ExD-T1-S1-Pg 5/6 / ExG-T1-S1 Attachment2
10 "*Innovation Accomplishments*"

11 *Preamble*

12 DERs have been deployed throughout the province and are expected to increase in number.
13 The OEB's Framework for Energy Innovation identified as a priority workstream LDCs use of
14 DERs that the LDC does not have an equity position in to support the provision of distribution
15 service.

16 **Questions**

17 Please assume that an LDC will use a DER situated in its licensed service area to support the
18 provision of distribution services and that the DER will also be used to provide the LDC with a
19 wholesale market service that was previously exclusively provided by the IESO.

- 20 a) Please discuss how the IESO would identify that the LDC had used a DER situated in its
21 service area as an alternative source to IESO provided service.
- 22 b) Please discuss how the IESO will compute that LDC's cost of power bill for that period;
23 please state all facts and assumptions.
- 24 c) Please identify and discuss the consequences (e.g., operational, financial) to the IESO
- 25 d) Please link this projected financial consequence to the IESO's proposed fee.
- 26 e) Please discuss any lessons learned from DER pilot projects or DER demonstration
27 projects that the IESO either participated in or had awareness of.

28 **RESPONSE**

- 29 a) The IESO's 2022 Revenue Requirement Submission is based on a Business Plan that has
30 been reviewed and approved by the Minister of Energy and the review of the IESO's
31 application should be focused on the IESO's OM&A and capital expenditures. Operational
32 and settlement impacts of potential future participation models related to DERs are not
33 within scope of the IESO's 2022 Revenue Requirement Submission. As identified by the

1 EDA, the OEB’s Framework for Energy Innovation¹ engagement would be a more
2 appropriate venue for questions of this nature to be considered. In an effort to be
3 responsive, the IESO is providing the following information.

4 Through its Transmission-Distribution Coordination Working Group (TDWG) the IESO is
5 working with LDCs, DER owners, operators, aggregators, the Ontario Energy Board and
6 other stakeholders/interested parties to establish protocols for the coordination of the
7 operation of DERs in support of the new participation models for DERs that the IESO has
8 committed to put in place as part of the Enabling Resources Program.

9 b) See response to a).

10 c) See response to a).

11 d) See response to a).

12 e) The IESO discusses lessons learned through pilot and demonstration projects in a
13 number of public forums and publicly posted documents. Please see the IESO’s public
14 engagements that relate to DER pilots or demonstrations, including the IESO’s DER
15 Roadmap², the Grid Innovation Fund (GIF)³, the York Region Non Wires Alternatives
16 Demonstration⁴, and the Energy Efficiency Auction Pilot.⁵ In January 2022, the IESO
17 released third-party analysis⁶ from Resource Innovations (formerly Nexant) that
18 evaluates 27 innovation projects that were funded through the IESO’s GIF between
19 2014-2017. The IESO will also share lessons learned from DER integration pilot projects
20 currently underway via the TDWG (referenced in response to a) above).

¹ OEB Framework for Energy Innovation engagement: <https://www.oeb.ca/consultations-and-projects/policy-initiatives-and-consultations/framework-energy-innovation>

² IESO DER Roadmap: <https://www.ieso.ca/en/Sector-Participants/Engagement-Initiatives/Engagements/Distributed-Energy-Resources-Roadmap>

³ IESO Grid Innovation Fund: <https://www.ieso.ca/en/Get-Involved/Funding-Programs/Grid-Innovation-Fund/Overview>

⁴ IESO York Region Non Wires Alternatives Demonstration Project: <https://www.ieso.ca/en/Sector-Participants/Engagement-Initiatives/Engagements/IESO-York-Region-Non-Wires-Alternatives-Demonstration-Project>

⁵ IESO Energy Efficiency Auction Pilot: <https://www.ieso.ca/en/Sector-Participants/Market-Operations/Markets-and-Related-Programs/Energy-Efficiency-Auction-Pilot>

⁶ Resource Innovations Third-Party Analysis of Grid Innovation Fund: <https://www.ieso.ca/en/Sector-Participants/IESO-News/2022/01/Grid-Innovation-Fund-Evaluation-Demonstrates-Potential-for-Cost-Savings>

1

EDA INTERROGATORY 5

2 Issue 2.1 Is the methodology used to derive the IESO’s proposed 2022 Usage Fees of
 3 \$1.3329/MWh for domestic customers (including embedded generation) and
 4 \$1.0126/MWh for export customers to be paid commencing January 1, 2022
 5 appropriate?

6 2.1-EDA-5

7 **INTERROGATORY**

8 Evidence Reference: ExC-T1-S1-Pg 2/4

9 Please break out the proposed IESO fee into the amount that supports MRP and the amount
 10 that supports all other IESO activities in 2022; please state all assumptions.

11 **RESPONSE**

12 a) As described in Exhibit C-1-1 - Revenue Requirement and Usage Fee Methodology, the
 13 IESO Usage Fee is calculated by determining the revenue requirement and then applying
 14 the charge determinants. The portion of the Usage Fee that is allocated to MRP is
 15 \$0.03355 for both domestic and export, using the Elenchus cost allocation methodology
 16 and the MRP budget. See Table 1 below for calculations.

17 **Table 1: Usage Fee Breakdown – MRP and Non-MRP Activities**

Item	Unit	Domestic	Export	Total
Market Renewal	\$	\$4,666,581	\$533,419	\$5,200,000
Volumes	MWh	139,100,000	15,900,000	155,000,000
Rate Contribution	\$/MWh	\$0.03355	\$0.03355	
Non-MRP	\$	\$180,732,881	\$15,567,119	\$196,300,000
Volumes	MWh	139,100,000	15,900,000	155,000,000
Rate Contribution	\$/MWh	\$1.2993	\$0.9791	
Total	\$	\$185,399,462	\$16,100,538	\$201,500,000
Volumes	MWh	139,100,000	15,900,000	155,000,000
Rate	\$/MWh	\$1.3329	\$1.0126	

18

1

EDA INTERROGATORY 6

2 Issue 2.1 Is the methodology used to derive the IESO’s proposed 2022 Usage Fees of
 3 \$1.3329/MWh for domestic customers (including embedded generation) and
 4 \$1.0126/MWh for export customers to be paid commencing January 1, 2022
 5 appropriate?

6 2.1-EDA-6

7 **INTERROGATORY**

8 **Evidence Reference:** ExC-T1-S1-Pg2 / ExC-T1-S1-Attachment 1 *"Load and Forecast Volumes"*
 9 ExB-T1-S2 / ExG-T1-S1 Attachment2 Page 6/17 *"Innovation Accomplishments"*

10 Questions

- 11 a) Please assume that losses increase from 3 TWh to 4 TWh. Please quantify the impact to
 12 the proposed domestic fee and export fee; please provide the derivation of the impact.
 13 b) Please provide the forecast and actual losses incurred by the IESO in 2021 attributable
 14 to the IESO participating in the York Region Project.
 15 c) Please provide the impact to the forecast charge determinants, both in total and of
 16 losses, that are attributable to decarbonization.

17 **RESPONSE**

- 18 a) The sensitivity analysis showing an increase in losses from 3 TWh to 4 TWh is shown in
 19 Table 2 below. It is assumed that domestic demand and exports remain the same, with
 20 the 1 TWh increase in losses allocated as described in Exhibit C-2-1 – 2022 Revenue
 21 Requirement and Usage Fees. As a result, the domestic Usage Fee and export Usage
 22 Fee increase by approximately \$0.0086/MWh and \$0.0069/MWh, respectively.

23 **Table 1: Current Usage Fees**

Load	Usage Fee (\$/MWh)	Energy Volumes (TWh)	IESO Budget (\$M)
Domestic	1.3329	139.4	185.8
Export	1.0126	15.6	15.8
Total		155.0	201.6

24

25 **Table 2: Usage Fee Sensitivity Analysis**

Load	Usage Fee (\$/MWh)	Energy Volumes (TWh)	IESO Budget (\$M)
Domestic	1.3415	138.5	185.8
Export	1.0195	15.5	15.8
Total		154.0	201.6

- 1 b) The IESO does not currently have forecast or actual system loss impacts attributable to
2 the York Region NWA Demonstration. There is a study underway that includes an
3 evaluation of the impact of the participation of DERs in the wholesale energy market on
4 the Transmission-Distribution interface, including losses. This study is scheduled to be
5 completed in Q1 2023.
- 6 c) The impact of decarbonization is implicit within IESO's near-term demand forecast,
7 driven by relevant policy (e.g., carbon pricing) and observed societal preference and
8 trends (e.g., electric vehicle uptake). Generally speaking, to the extent decarbonization
9 increases demand and costs otherwise remain the same, usage fees would decrease.
10 See response to Schedule 8 - 1.1 ED 16(b).

1

EDA INTERROGATORY 7

2 Issue 2.1 Is the methodology used to derive the IESO's proposed 2022 Usage Fees of
3 \$1.3329/MWh for domestic customers (including embedded generation) and
4 \$1.0126/MWh for export customers to be paid commencing January 1, 2022
5 appropriate?

6 2.1-EDA-7

INTERROGATORY

8 **Evidence Reference:** ExB- T1-S1-Attachment 1 "*Elenchus: IESO Cost Allocation Methodology*
9 *Review*" / ExC-T1-S1-Attachment 1 "*Load and Forecast Volumes*" / ExD-T1-S1- Attachment 2-
10 Pg5-6

11 Questions

- 12 a) Please discuss how de-carbonization policies are expected to impact the IESO's 2022
13 charge determinants forecast used to derive the proposed domestic and export usage
14 fees.
- 15 b) Please link this impact on the proposed fee to 2022 revenues.
- 16 c) Please discuss the tactics and strategies available to the IESO if 2022 revenues are
17 lower than the period's projected operating expenses and capital expenses.
- 18 d) Please discuss whether and how the IESO has incorporated these impacts into its 5-year
19 financial forecast.

RESPONSE

- 21 a) See response to Schedule 6 – 2.1 EDA 6(c) and Schedule 8 – 1.1 ED 16(b).
- 22 b) Generally speaking, to the extent decarbonization increases demand and the IESO's
23 costs otherwise remain the same, the IESO's Usage Fees would be expected to
24 decrease.
- 25 c) If 2022 revenues are lower than expenses, the IESO could leverage the FVDA balance of
26 \$8.7 million to manage operational challenges that may arise in the short term to
27 minimize the impact on market participants.
- 28 d) As discussed in response to a), the impact of decarbonization is implicit within the
29 IESO's near-term demand forecast. As discussed in Exhibit C-1-1 – Revenue
30 Requirement and Usage Fee Methodology, pg. 3-4, there is uncertainty with any
31 forecast used to determine the IESO's Usage Fees. The IESO assesses the impacts of
32 changing electricity demand and government policy on the forecasted revenues and
33 expenses when developing the business plan and corresponding revenue requirement
34 submission. As discussed in response to c), the IESO can leverage the FVDA balance of
35 \$8.7 million to manage operational challenges that may arise in the short term to
36 minimize the impact on market participants.

1

EP INTERROGATORY 10

2 Issue 2.1 Is the methodology used to derive the IESO's proposed 2022 Usage Fees of
3 \$1.3329/MWh for domestic customers (including embedded generation) and
4 \$1.0126/MWh for export customers to be paid commencing January 1, 2022
5 appropriate?

6 2.1-Energy Probe-10

INTERROGATORY

8 Ref.: Exhibit C Tab 1 Schedule 1 Plus Attachment 1 - (Excel Spreadsheet)

9 Preamble: The domestic usage fee is calculated using the most recent IESO forecast of
10 withdrawals for use in Ontario, less estimated losses, plus generation embedded in local
11 distribution networks.

12 The export usage fee is calculated using the most recent forecast of exports. Line losses are
13 split between export and domestic customers based on their proportion of the total forecast
14 energy volumes. The domestic forecast for the line losses calculation does not include
15 generation from embedded generation as energy from embedded generation is not transmitted
16 through the IESO-Controlled Grid and, as such, does not yield transmission losses.

- 17 a) Please provide the Standard deviation 2017-2021 for each of
18 a. Ontario Demand
19 b. Exports and
20 c. Total.
- 21 b) Compare the 2021 forecasts [Domestic 132 TWh and Export 17.1TWh] to actuals.
22 Discuss factors affecting deviations.
- 23 c) Please provide the basis for changes to 2022 forecasts from 2021.
- 24 d) Why are Exports forecast to drop to the lowest level in recent history? What are the
25 factors?
- 26 e) Why is IESO forecasting a material increase in line losses to 3 TWh?

RESPONSE

- 28 a) The standard deviation over the 2017-2021 timeframe is:
29 i. Ontario Demand (2.23 TWh)
30 ii. Exports (1.21 TWh)
31 iii. Total (1.85 TWh)
- 32 b) For 2021, actual Ontario demand was 133.8 TWh against a forecast of 132.4 TWh.
33 Although some weather volatility is expected, the significantly warmer temperatures of
34 August accounted for 0.8 TWh of the variance against forecast. The remaining 0.6 TWh
35 of variance is associated with model and explanatory driver variances. Actual exports for

- 1 2021 were 17.2 TWh against a forecast of 17.0 TWh. Exports are impacted by the
2 amount of Ontario generation capacity online as well as market conditions in
3 neighbouring jurisdictions. Either of those factors could account for the 0.2 TWh
4 variance in exports.
- 5 c) The most recent forecast incorporates actual conditions (demand, weather, economic
6 etc.) at the time of production. As well, the forecast includes the most up to date
7 information regarding the state of the electricity system and the projected economic
8 drivers impacting demand.
- 9 d) The amount of nuclear capacity on outage will limit the amount of generation available
10 for export. There is significant nuclear capacity on planned outage throughout 2022.
- 11 e) Transmission losses are forecast to be 2.2% of Ontario demand based on historical
12 analysis at the time of system peak. Actuals vary based on power flows and weather
13 variability.

1

EP INTERROGATORY 11

2 Issue 2.1 Is the methodology used to derive the IESO's proposed 2022 Usage Fees of
3 \$1.3329/MWh for domestic customers (including embedded generation) and
4 \$1.0126/MWh for export customers to be paid commencing January 1, 2022
5 appropriate?

6 2.1-Energy Probe-11

7 **INTERROGATORY**

8 Ref.: Exhibit G-1-1 Attachment 5: Elenchus Cost Allocation Study

9 Preamble: The Elenchus Report in Attachment 5 does not contain the updated Data tables and
10 Allocations for 2022.

11 a) Please provide all of the Elenchus Data tables and Cost allocations for 2022 including
12 specifically allocations to domestic and Export Customers.

13 b) Provide a summary of changes from the 2020 study.

14 **RESPONSE**

15 a) See response to Schedule 13 – 5.1 SEC 19.

16 b) See Exhibit G-1-1 Attachment 5 – IESO Cost Allocation Methodology Review, sections
17 3.4 and 3.5.