



EXHIBIT 7

COST ALLOCATION

A background image showing a utility worker in a yellow hard hat and safety vest working on a wooden utility pole. The worker is in a bucket truck, and the scene is overlaid with a semi-transparent orange filter. The text 'Your Trusted Utility' is written in large white letters, with 'Utility' underlined in blue. Below it, 'for a Brighter Tomorrow' is written in white.

**Your
Trusted
Utility**
for a Brighter Tomorrow

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXHIBIT 7: COST ALLOCATION.....	4
7.1 COST ALLOCATION STUDY REQUIREMENTS.....	4
7.1.1 Weighting Factors.....	4
7.1.2 Services (Account 1855).....	4
7.1.3 Billing and Collection (Accounts 5315 – 5340, except 5335)	5
7.1.4 Meter Capital (Sheet I7.1).....	5
7.1.5 Meter Reading (Sheet I7.2)	5
7.1.6 Summary of Results and Proposed Changes.....	6
7.1.7 Load Profiles and Demand Allocators.....	6
7.1.7.1 Demand Profile Methodology	8
7.1.8 Specific Customer Classes	13
7.1.8.1 Large General Service and Large User Classes.....	13
7.1.8.2 Embedded Distributor Class	14
7.1.8.3 Unmetered Loads	14
7.1.8.4 microFIT Class	14
7.1.8.5 Standby Rates	14
7.1.9 New Customer Classes.....	14
7.1.10 Eliminated Customer Classes	14
7.2 CLASS REVENUE REQUIREMENTS.....	15
7.3 REVENUE-TO-COST RATIOS.....	15
APPENDIX A – 2023 Cost Allocation Model	17

LIST OF TABLES

1		
2	Table 7-1	Service Weighting Factors
3	Table 7-2	Billing Weighting Factors
4	Table 7-3	Meter Capital Installation Costs
5	Table 7-4	Meter Reading Weighting Factor
6	Table 7-5	2023 Cost Allocation Model Results Using Different Demand Profile Updates
7	Table 7-6	HDD Predicted Purchases
8	Table 7-7	CDD Predicted Purchases
9	Table 7-8	2021 Residential Demand
10	Table 7-9	HDD Weather Related Data
11	Table 7-10	CDD Weather Related Data
12	Table 7-11	Hourly Data Adjusted for HDD & CDD Weather
13	Table 7-12	Demand Snapshot
14	Table 7-13	2023 Load Forecast
15	Table 7-14	Non-Co- Incidence Peak Demand Allocators
16	Table 7-15	Co-Incidence Peak Demand Allocators
17	Table 7-16	Calculated Class Revenue – (Consistent with RRWF, Tab 11 Cost Allocation,
18		Calculated Class Revenues)
19	Table 7-17	Revenue to Cost Ratios – (Consistent with RRWF, Tab 11 Cost Allocation,
20		Proposed & Rebalancing Revenue to Cost Ratios)

EXHIBIT 7: COST ALLOCATION

7.1 COST ALLOCATION STUDY REQUIREMENTS

In this application, PUC Distribution Inc. ("PUC") has used the 2023 version of the cost allocation model released by the OEB on May 27, 2022. The model has been loaded with 2023 Test year costs, customer numbers and demand values. The 2023 demand values were based on the 2023 weather normalized load forecast used to design rates. The various weighting factors used in the 2023 study have been updated and explained below.

7.1.1 Weighting Factors

PUC has reviewed its weighting factors from its 2018 COS Application and discussed with staff to determine that there have been no changes. Labour, materials, and outside costs required to perform the specific tasks below were estimated to determine each rate class factor. PUC assigned a weighting factor of 1 to the Residential rate class and further calculated the associated weighting factors for the remaining rate classes.

7.1.2 Services (Account 1855)

Table 7-1: Service Weighting Factors

Rate Class	Factor
Residential	1.0
General Service < 50 kW	0.7
General Service ≥ 50 kW	0.4
Sentinel Lighting	0.1
Street Lights	0.1
Unmetered Scattered Load	0.1

7.1.3 Billing and Collection (Accounts 5315 – 5340, except 5335)

Table 7-2: Billing Weighting Factors

Rate Class	Factor
Residential	1.0
General Service < 50 kW	1.1
General Service ≥ 50 kW	4.0
Sentinel Lighting	0.8
Street Lights	0.8
Unmetered Scattered Load	0.8

7.1.4 Meter Capital (Sheet I7.1)

Table 7-3: Meter Capital Installation Costs

Meter Type	Installation Cost per Meter
Smart Meter - Residential	\$538
Smart Meter - General Service < 50 kW	\$502
Smart Meter - General Service ≥ 50 kW	\$993

7.1.5 Meter Reading (Sheet I7.2)

Table 7-4: Meter Reading Weighting Factor

Meter Type	Factor
Smart Meter - Residential	1.0
Smart Meter - General Service < 50 kW	0.9
Smart Meter - General Service ≥ 50 kW	1.9

7.1.6 Summary of Results and Proposed Changes

The data used in the updated cost allocation study is consistent with PUC's cost data that supports the proposed 2023 revenue requirement outlined in this application. PUC's assets were broken out into primary and secondary distribution functions using breakout percentages used in PUC's 2013 and 2018 cost of service rate application (EB-2012-0162/EB-2017-0071). The breakout of assets, capital contributions, depreciation, accumulated depreciation, customer data and load data by primary, line transformer and secondary categories were developed from the best data available to PUC, its engineering records, and its customer and financial information systems. An Excel version of the updated cost allocation study has been included with the filed application material. In addition, Appendix A - outlines Input Sheets I-6 & I-8 and Output Sheets O-1 & O-2 (first page only).

Capital contributions, depreciation, and accumulated depreciation by USoA are consistent with the information provided in the 2023 continuity statement shown in Exhibit 2. The rate class customer data used in the updated cost allocation study is consistent with the 2023 customer forecast outlined in Exhibit 3.

7.1.7 Load Profiles and Demand Allocators

PUC used 2021 actual data as the basis of updating its demand profile in the cost allocation model for the 2023 Test year. PUC realizes that 2021 consumption was affected by COVID, however this was the only year PUC was able to compile enough hourly smart meter data to update the demand profiles. PUC compared the results using the load forecast with 2020 and 2021 actual data, the load forecast with 2020 and 2021 normalized data and the 2018 Cost Allocation methodology which used a weighting factor from previous COS applications. Table 7-5 summarizes these results.

Table 7-5: 2023 Cost Allocation Model Results Using Different Demand Profile Updates

2023 Cost Allocation Model Results							
Method	Data Used	Residential	GS<50	GS>50	Street Light	Sentinel Light	USL
1	2020 and 2021 Actual Consumption Data to produce Load Forecast	\$ 17,117,204	\$ 3,616,755	\$ 6,463,068	\$ 363,504	\$ 51,852	\$ 53,105
2	2020 and 2021 COVID Normalized Consumption Data to produce load forecast	\$ 17,128,169	\$ 3,501,771	\$ 6,667,329	\$ 349,542	\$ 52,120	\$ 53,269
3	2018 COS Methodology Allocation Factor (2020 and 2021 Actual COVID Normalized)	\$ 17,661,682	\$ 3,510,521	\$ 6,016,424	\$ 372,677	\$ 52,205	\$ 51,979

Using Method 3, which is the methodology from PUC's 2018 application, is now outdated and allocates \$600,000 more to the residential class. PUC has seen a shift in the contribution to its peak load between the rate classes. Additionally, PUC has winter peaks as compared to Southern Ontario which has summer peaks. This changes the dynamic of what rate classes contribute to PUC's peak load as compared to using the Hydro One report.

Method 1 uses the load forecast prior to PUC normalizing 2020 and 2021 actual data. This results in a very similar demand profile to Method 2, but PUC felt that it was not capturing the demand profile of the rate classes for future years.

PUC opted to use Method 2 to update its demand profile within the cost allocation model. This Method normalizes 2020 and 2021 COVID consumption data within the load forecast to better reflect the demand each rate class will contribute in future years. The following paragraphs will explain the steps involved to produce the demand profile which produced the demand allocators provide in Table 7-6. These demand allocators used the 2023 Load Forecast with regression analysis – filed in live excel format with this application.

7.1.7.1 Demand Profile Methodology

PUC used 2021 smart meter data as the basis of its demand profile update. Using the load forecast regression model filed in live format with this exhibit PUC compared the predicted purchases for 2021 with Heating Degree Days (“HDD”) and Cooling Degree Days (“CDD”) and compared that to predicted purchases in both absence of HDD and CDD. The results are shown in Table 7-6 and 7-7 below.

Table 7-6: HDD Predicted Purchases (in kWh)

	Predicted Purchases with HDD	Predicted Purchases without HDD	% Var
Jan-21	66,744,959	40,842,460	39%
Feb-21	63,702,938	35,631,368	44%
Mar-21	58,934,630	37,889,727	36%
Apr-21	49,897,946	36,108,726	28%
May-21	47,970,685	38,631,044	19%
Jun-21	44,385,446	41,896,981	6%
Jul-21	45,048,626	43,841,247	3%
Aug-21	49,109,188	48,817,873	1%
Sep-21	40,250,874	36,123,321	10%
Oct-21	45,136,066	37,809,309	16%
Nov-21	53,210,197	35,647,039	33%
Dec-21	62,902,728	40,116,953	36%
Total	627,294,284	473,356,048	

Table 7-7: CDD Predicted Purchases (in kWh)

	Predicted Purchases with CDD	Predicted Purchases without CDD	% Var
Jan-21	66,744,959	66,744,959	0%
Feb-21	63,702,938	63,702,938	0%
Mar-21	58,934,630	58,934,630	0%
Apr-21	49,897,946	49,897,946	0%
May-21	47,970,685	47,097,457	2%
Jun-21	44,385,446	41,286,103	7%
Jul-21	45,048,626	41,654,108	8%
Aug-21	49,109,188	40,672,089	17%
Sep-21	40,250,874	39,906,503	1%
Oct-21	45,136,066	44,754,797	1%
Nov-21	53,210,197	53,210,197	0%
Dec-21	62,902,728	62,902,728	0%
Total	627,294,284	610,764,456	

The “% Var” column is used to weather normalize the hourly data for the residential, GS<50 and GS>50 rate classes which is explained further below.

The next step taken was to aggregate all the weather data from the last 10 years to create a 10-year average of HDD and CDD by day for each month. If any data was missing from the weather station, the previous and next day was averaged to get the missing data. The daily results for each month were sorted from most HDD to least and compared yearly to one another to get the 10-year average. For example, if the coldest day in January 2012 was on the 15th and the coldest day in January 2013 was on the 29th, those two days would form part of the 10-year average for comparison purposes for that particular month.

Once this average was obtained it could be used to help weather normalize the hourly data. On January 1, 2021 at 1:00 a.m. PUC’s actual residential demand was 38,446 kWh.

Table 7-8: 2021 Residential Demand

Year	Month	Day	Hour	Residential Demand
				(A)
2021	1	1	1	38,446

To weather normalize this, first the 39% from Table 7-6 above indicates that 39% of the consumption in January is dependent on HDD. This means that 14,920 kWh is the portion of consumption affected by HDD shown below.

Table 7-9: HDD Weather Related Data

HDD Adj	HDD Weather Related Hourly Data	Lookup-Ref	HDD Weather Normal Hourly Data
(B)	(E) = (A) x (B)	(D)	(F) = (E) x (10yr Av/2021 yr)
39%	14,920	1.10	16,418

Then to weather normalize that amount for 10-year average, a ratio of 1.10 was applied to get the HDD weather normal hourly data affected by weather. This results in an increase of 1,497.94 kWh adjustment for HDD. PUC also repeated the same process for cooling degree days. Since January is very cold in Sault Ste. Marie, there is no cooling degree day adjustment.

Table 7-10: CDD Weather Related Data

CDD Weather CDD Adj Related Hourly Data Lookup- Ref			
(B)	(E) = (A) x (B)	(D)	(F) = (E) x (10yr Av/2021)
0%	0.00	0.00	0.00

Once this is all summarized it results in an hourly data adjusted for HDD and CDD weather of 39,944 kWh.

Table 7-11: Hourly Data Adjusted for HDD & CDD Weather

Hourly Data Adjusted For HDD & CDD Weather	
(G) = (A) - (E) + (F) for HDD & CDD	Change
39,944	1,498

This process is repeated for every hour of every day for all rate classes effected by weather.

PUC has three rate classes not affected by weather which include Street Lights, Sentinel Lights and Unmetered Scattered Load. The hourly load profiles of these rate classes have been calculated using internal billing data.

Once the hourly data for each rate class is compiled, it is all aggregated by day into one sheet. A snapshot is provided in Table 7-12.

Table 7-12: Demand Snapshot

Year	Month	Day	Hour	Residential	General Service <50kW	General Service 50-999kW	General Service 1000-4999kW	StreetLights	Sentinel Lights	USL	Total LDC Demand
2021	1	1	1	38,446	9,588	22,660	0	600	36	106	71,436
2021	1	1	2	36,020	9,528	22,162	0	600	36	106	68,452
2021	1	1	3	34,531	9,450	22,274	0	600	36	106	66,997
2021	1	1	4	33,472	9,455	22,070	0	600	36	106	65,739
2021	1	1	5	33,225	9,432	22,234	0	600	36	106	65,633
2021	1	1	6	33,540	9,483	21,998	0	600	36	106	65,763

Table 7-12 is based on 2021 actual data. Next, the 2023 load forecast to ratio that data for what PUC predicts to occur in 2023 which is shown in Table 7-13.

Table 7-13: 2023 Load Forecast

Input: Test Year Load Forecast:						
274,738,681	79,051,528	221,450,388	2,459,994	193,841	878,528	578,772,961
Residential	General Service <50kW	General Service 50-999kW	StreetLights	Sentinel Lights	USL	Total LDC Demand
36,410	8,816	24,987	600	34	106	70,952
34,112	8,761	24,438	600	34	106	68,051
32,702	8,689	24,561	600	34	106	66,692
31,699	8,693	24,337	600	34	106	65,469
31,465	8,673	24,517	600	34	106	65,395
31,763	8,720	24,257	600	34	106	65,480

The final step is to obtain the Non-Co-incident Peak and Co-Incident peak. Table 7-14 summarizes the Non-Co-incident Peaks used and input into Tab I8 of the 2023 Cost Allocation Model. Table 7-15 summarizes the Co-incident Peaks and the demand allocators input into Tab I8 of the 2023 Cost Allocation Model.

Table 7-14: Non-Co-Incident Peak Demand Allocators

	Residential	General Service <50kW	General Service 50-999kW	StreetLights	Sentinel Lights	USL	LDC Monthly Max Demand
Jan	59,195	13,365	35,450	600	34	106	101,018
Feb	61,091	14,407	37,114	600	40	106	103,944
Mar	53,727	13,924	35,952	600	40	106	97,949
Apr	43,761	12,844	33,331	600	48	106	84,548
May	40,637	10,942	30,301	600	52	106	73,410
Jun	48,595	12,278	34,244	600	63	106	91,101
Jul	45,609	13,494	33,058	600	60	106	84,297
Aug	47,568	14,288	33,654	600	53	106	88,591
Sep	34,647	12,205	31,125	600	49	106	69,891
Oct	39,680	18,427	31,894	600	42	106	74,669
Nov	51,538	14,435	30,839	600	38	106	91,666
Dec	57,681	15,216	33,020	600	35	106	99,770
1NCP	61,091	18,427	37,114	600	63	106	
4NCP	231,694	62,486	142,759	2,401	228	423	
12NCP	583,727	165,825	399,980	7,202	554	1,268	

Table 7-15: Co-Incident Peak Demand Allocators

	Residential	General Service <50kW	GS 50 - 999kW	Street Lighting	Sentinel Lighting	USL	LDC Monthly Coincident Peak Demand
Jan	55,229	11,831	33,218	600	34	106	101,018
Feb	57,694	12,145	33,359	600	40	106	103,944
Mar	53,727	11,365	32,112	600	40	106	97,949
Apr	40,874	11,370	32,209	0	0	95	84,548
May	40,637	8,315	24,364	0	0	95	73,410
Jun	48,535	10,781	31,690	0	0	95	91,101
Jul	40,103	12,579	31,520	0	0	95	84,297
Aug	45,195	12,212	31,089	0	0	95	88,591
Sep	31,294	9,841	28,660	0	0	95	69,891
Oct	27,032	18,427	29,114	0	0	95	74,669
Nov	51,309	11,969	28,243	0	38	106	91,666
Dec	57,681	12,704	29,244	0	35	106	99,770
1CP	57,694	12,145	33,359	600	40	106	103,944
4CP	224,331	48,044	127,933	1,800	149	423	402,681
12CP	549,310	143,538	364,822	1,800	187	1,195	1,060,854

7.1.8 Specific Customer Classes

7.1.8.1 Large General Service and Large User Classes

PUC is aware of the transformer allowance contained within the cost allocation model.

1 7.1.8.2 *Embedded Distributor Class*

2 PUC does not have an embedded distributor rate class.

3

4 7.1.8.3 *Unmetered Loads*

5 PUC communicates with unmetered load customers, including Street Lighting customers, to
6 assist them in understanding the regulatory context in which distributors operate and how it
7 affects unmetered load customers. This communication takes place on an on-going basis and is
8 not driven by the rate application process.

9 7.1.8.4 *microFIT Class*

10 PUC is not proposing to include microFIT as a separate class in the cost allocation model in 2023.
11 PUC understands that the cost allocation model will produce a calculation of unit costs which the
12 OEB will use to update the uniform microFIT rate at a future date.

13 7.1.8.5 *Standby Rates*

14 PUC does not charge Standby Rates to any of its customers as of August 31, 2022.

15 7.1.9 *New Customer Classes*

16 PUC is not proposing to include a new customer class.

17

18 7.1.10 *Eliminated Customer Classes*

19 PUC is not proposing to eliminate a rate class.

7.2 CLASS REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

The following Table 7-16 provides information on calculated class revenue. The resulting 2023 proposed base revenue will be the amount used in Exhibit 8 to design the proposed distribution charges in this application.

Table 7-16 Calculated Class Revenue –
(Consistent with RRWF, Tab 11 Cost Allocation, Calculated Class Revenues)

Rate Class	2023 Base Revenue at Existing Rates	2023 Proposed Base Revenue Allocated at Existing Rates Proportion	2023 Proposed Base Revenue	Miscellaneous Revenue
Residential	\$12,939,404	\$15,344,320	\$15,344,320	\$1,774,752
General Service < 50 kW	\$3,189,277	\$3,782,036	\$3,782,036	\$345,328
General Service ≥ 50 kW	\$4,653,058	\$5,517,875	\$5,517,875	\$559,803
Sentinel Lighting	\$36,638	\$43,448	\$43,448	\$8,575
Street Lights	\$222,463	\$263,810	\$263,810	\$53,728
Unmetered Scattered Load	\$42,539	\$50,446	\$50,446	\$8,079
Total	\$21,083,380	\$25,001,934	\$25,001,935	\$2,750,265

7.3 REVENUE-TO-COST RATIOS

The results of a cost allocation study are typically presented in the form of revenue-to-cost ratios. The ratio is shown by rate classification and is the percentage of distribution revenue collected by rate classification compared to the costs allocated to the classification. The percentage identifies the rate classifications that are being subsidized and those that are over-contributing. A percentage of less than 100% means the rate classification is under-contributing and is being subsidized by other classes of customers. A percentage of greater than 100% indicates the rate classification is over-contributing and is subsidizing other classes of customers.

In the OEB's Letter from June 12, 2015¹, the Board established what it considered to be the appropriate ranges of revenue to cost ratios which are summarized in Table 7-17 below. In addition, Table 7-17 provides PUC's revenue-to-cost ratios from the 2018 application and the updated 2023 cost allocation study.

Table 7-17 Revenue-to-Cost Ratios –
(Consistent with RRWF, Tab 11 Cost Allocation, Proposed & Rebalancing
Revenue to Cost Ratios)

Rate Class	2018 Board Approved Cost Allocation Study	2023 Cost Allocation Study	2023 Proposed Ratios	OEB Targets Min to Max	
Residential	92.62%	99.95%	99.95%	85.0%	115.0%
General Service < 50 kW	116.08%	117.87%	117.87%	80.0%	120.0%
General Service ≥ 50 kW	111.07%	91.16%	91.16%	80.0%	120.0%
Sentinel Lighting	97.22%	99.81%	99.81%	80.0%	120.0%
Street Lights	120.00%	90.84%	90.84%	80.0%	120.0%
Unmetered Scattered Load	112.71%	109.87%	109.87%	80.0%	120.0%

The 2023 Cost Allocation Study percentages all fall within the OEB targets, but PUC has decided to adjust them closer to each rate classes portion of revenue-to-costs. Since the demand profile was updated in this rate application, it has caused a shift in the revenue-to-cost percentages for this application compared to the 2018 application.

Three rate classes were chosen to change the revenue-to-cost percentages from the default presented in the 2023 Cost allocation model. First the General Service <50kW rate class was adjusted down to 110%. This amount was allocated to General Service >50kW and Street Light rate class in unity bringing them both up to 95.07%. PUC ran these changes through the bill impacts model and feels that the bill impacts presented in Exhibit 8 are still reasonable for all rate classes.

¹ OEB letter, June 12, 2005, Review of Cost Allocation Policy for Unmetered Loads OEB File No. EB-2012-0383, Issuance of New Cost Allocation Policy for Street Lighting Rate Class

APPENDIX A

2023 Cost

Allocation Model

Input Sheets I-6 & I-8
Output Sheets O-1 & O-2 (first page
only).



Ontario Energy Board

2023 Cost Allocation Model

EB-2022-0059

Sheet I6.1 Revenue Worksheet - Final

Total kWhs from Load Forecast	578,772,961
-------------------------------	-------------

Total kW from Load Forecast	555,454
-----------------------------	---------

Deficiency/sufficiency (RRWF 8, cell F51)	- 3,918,555
---	-------------

Miscellaneous Revenue (RRWF 5, cell F48)	2,750,265
--	-----------

	ID	Total	1	2	3	7	8	9
			Residential	GS <50	GS>50-Regular	Street Light	Sentinel	Unmetered Scattered Load
Billing Data								
Forecast kWh	CEN	578,772,961	274,738,681	79,051,528	221,450,388	2,459,994	193,841	878,528
Forecast kW	CDEM	555,454			547,687	7,200	566	
Forecast kW, included in CDEM, of customers receiving line transformer allowance		112,000			112,000			
Optional - Forecast kWh, included in CEN, from customers that receive a line transformation allowance on a kWh basis. In most cases this will not be applicable and will be left blank.		-						
KWh excluding KWh from Wholesale Market Participants	CEN EWMP	578,772,961	274,738,681	79,051,528	221,450,388	2,459,994	193,841	878,528
Existing Monthly Charge			\$33.72	\$22.32	\$123.27	\$1.47	\$3.83	\$13.67
Existing Distribution kWh Rate				\$0.0268				\$0.0412
Existing Distribution kW Rate					\$7.2479	\$9.6161	\$35.7037	
Existing TOA Rate					\$0.60			
Additional Charges			\$662,626.00	\$160,040.00	\$241,816.00	\$11,454.00	\$1,852.00	\$2,243.00
Distribution Revenue from Rates		\$21,150,579	\$12,939,404	\$3,189,277	\$4,720,258	\$222,463	\$36,638	\$42,539
Transformer Ownership Allowance		\$67,200	\$0	\$0	\$67,200	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net Class Revenue	CREV	\$21,083,379	\$12,939,404	\$3,189,277	\$4,653,058	\$222,463	\$36,638	\$42,539



Ontario Energy Board

2023 Cost Allocation Model

EB-2022-0059

Sheet I6.2 Customer Data Worksheet - Final

			1	2	3	7	8	9
	ID	Total	Residential	GS <50	GS>50-Regular	Street Light	Sentinel	Unmetered Scattered Load
	Billing Data							
Bad Debt 3 Year Historical Average	BDHA	\$366,575	\$275,704	\$54,065	\$36,805	\$0	\$0	\$0
Late Payment 3 Year Historical Average	LPHA	\$257,333	\$189,877	\$36,868	\$30,588			
Number of Bills	CNB	409,368	364,080	40,800.00	4,128.00	48.00	12.00	300.00
Number of Devices	CDEV					8,037		
Number of Connections (Unmetered)	CCON	8,649				8,037	317	295
Total Number of Customers	CCA	34,114	30,340	3,400	344	4	1	25
Bulk Customer Base	CCB	-						
Primary Customer Base	CCP	34,418	30,340	3,435	303	314	1	25
Line Transformer Customer Base	CCLT	34,368	30,340	3,435	253	314	1	25
Secondary Customer Base	CCS	33,341	30,340	2,840	135		1	25
Weighted - Services	CWCS	32,760	30,340	1,931	57	402	16	15
Weighted Meter -Capital	CWMC	18,226,142	16,252,980	1,683,206	289,956	-	-	-
Weighted Meter Reading	CWMR	40,555	30,340	3,400	6,815	-	-	-
Weighted Bills	CWNB	426,322	364,080	45,288	16,677	37	9	231

Bad Debt Data

Historic Year:	2019	378,475	286,175	54,008	38,291			
Historic Year:	2020	354,696	266,022	53,204	35,470			
Historic Year:	2021	366,554	274,915	54,983	36,655			
Three-year average		366,575	275,704	54,065	36,805	-	-	-

Street Lighting Adjustment Factors

NCP Test Results	4 NCP
------------------	-------

Class	Primary Asset Data		Line Transformer Asset Data	
	Customers/ Devices	4 NCP	Customers/ Devices	4 NCP
Residential	30,340	231,694	30,340	231,694
Street Light	8,037	2,401	8,037	2,401

Street Lighting Adjustment Factors	
Primary	25.5668
Line Transformer	25.5668

2023 Cost Allocation Model

EB-2022-0059
Sheet O1 Revenue to Cost Summary Worksheet - Final
Instructions

Please see the first tab in this workbook for detailed instructions

Class Revenue, Cost Analysis, and Return on Rate Base

	Total	1	2	3	7	8	9
		Residential	GS <50	GS>50-Regular	Street Light	Sentinel	Unmetered Scattered Load
Rate Base Assets							
crev Distribution Revenue at Existing Rates	\$21,083,379	\$12,939,404	\$3,189,277	\$4,653,058	\$222,463	\$36,638	\$42,539
mi Miscellaneous Revenue (mi)	\$2,750,265	\$1,774,752	\$345,328	\$559,803	\$53,728	\$8,575	\$8,079
	Miscellaneous Revenue Input equals Output						
Total Revenue at Existing Rates	\$23,833,644	\$14,714,155	\$3,534,605	\$5,212,861	\$276,191	\$45,214	\$50,618
Factor required to recover deficiency (1 + D)	1.1859						
Distribution Revenue at Status Quo Rates	\$25,001,935	\$15,344,320	\$3,782,036	\$5,517,875	\$263,810	\$43,448	\$50,446
Miscellaneous Revenue (mi)	\$2,750,265	\$1,774,752	\$345,328	\$559,803	\$53,728	\$8,575	\$8,079
Total Revenue at Status Quo Rates	\$27,752,200	\$17,119,072	\$4,127,364	\$6,077,678	\$317,538	\$52,023	\$58,525
Expenses							
di Distribution Costs (di)	\$6,612,535	\$3,972,990	\$850,817	\$1,674,974	\$80,612	\$16,670	\$16,473
cu Customer Related Costs (cu)	\$1,958,371	\$1,570,497	\$201,427	\$126,331	\$65,561	\$2,202	\$2,353
ad General and Administration (ad)	\$5,378,385	\$3,440,394	\$664,878	\$1,168,603	\$81,468	\$11,511	\$11,532
dep Depreciation and Amortization (dep)	\$5,425,413	\$3,298,583	\$688,272	\$1,361,363	\$59,043	\$8,895	\$9,257
INPUT PILs (INPUT)	\$574,141	\$332,095	\$75,139	\$160,099	\$4,993	\$880	\$936
INT Interest	\$3,089,225	\$1,786,867	\$404,292	\$861,428	\$26,867	\$4,736	\$5,035
Total Expenses	\$23,038,070	\$14,401,425	\$2,884,825	\$5,352,798	\$308,543	\$44,893	\$45,586
Direct Allocation	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NI Allocated Net Income (NI)	\$4,714,129	\$2,726,744	\$616,946	\$1,314,531	\$40,998	\$7,227	\$7,683
Revenue Requirement (includes NI)	\$27,752,200	\$17,128,169	\$3,501,771	\$6,667,329	\$349,542	\$52,120	\$53,269
	Revenue Requirement Input equals Output						
Rate Base Calculation							
Net Assets							
dp Distribution Plant - Gross	\$186,612,420	\$110,607,083	\$24,090,003	\$49,359,589	\$1,870,666	\$336,932	\$348,147
gp General Plant - Gross	\$5,516,178	\$3,228,356	\$718,741	\$1,495,693	\$53,049	\$10,037	\$10,301
accum dep Accumulated Depreciation	(\$36,460,701)	(\$22,560,605)	(\$4,539,970)	(\$8,837,892)	(\$403,804)	(\$56,595)	(\$61,835)
co Capital Contribution	(\$25,236,014)	(\$15,804,439)	(\$3,201,121)	(\$5,675,940)	(\$382,045)	(\$89,334)	(\$83,134)
Total Net Plant	\$130,431,883	\$75,470,395	\$17,067,653	\$36,341,450	\$1,137,866	\$201,040	\$213,479
Directly Allocated Net Fixed Assets	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
COP Cost of Power (COP)	\$61,481,413	\$29,258,624	\$8,391,185	\$23,457,434	\$260,578	\$20,533	\$93,059
OM&A Expenses	\$13,949,291	\$8,983,880	\$1,717,122	\$2,969,908	\$217,640	\$30,383	\$30,358
Directly Allocated Expenses	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subtotal	\$75,430,704	\$38,242,504	\$10,108,307	\$26,427,342	\$478,218	\$50,916	\$123,417
Working Capital	\$5,657,303	\$2,868,188	\$758,123	\$1,982,051	\$35,866	\$3,819	\$9,256
Total Rate Base	\$136,089,186	\$78,338,583	\$17,825,776	\$38,323,500	\$1,173,732	\$204,859	\$222,736
	Rate Base Input equals Output						
Equity Component of Rate Base	\$54,435,674	\$31,335,433	\$7,130,310	\$15,329,400	\$469,493	\$81,944	\$89,094
Net Income on Allocated Assets	\$4,714,129	\$2,717,647	\$1,242,539	\$724,880	\$8,995	\$7,130	\$12,938
Net Income on Direct Allocation Assets	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net Income	\$4,714,129	\$2,717,647	\$1,242,539	\$724,880	\$8,995	\$7,130	\$12,938
RATIOS ANALYSIS							
REVENUE TO EXPENSES STATUS QUO%	100.00%	99.95%	117.87%	91.16%	90.84%	99.81%	109.87%
EXISTING REVENUE MINUS ALLOCATED COSTS	(\$3,918,555)	(\$2,414,013)	\$32,834	(\$1,454,468)	(\$73,350)	(\$6,907)	(\$2,651)
	Deficiency Input equals Output						
STATUS QUO REVENUE MINUS ALLOCATED COSTS	(\$0)	(\$9,097)	\$625,593	(\$589,651)	(\$32,003)	(\$97)	\$5,256
RETURN ON EQUITY COMPONENT OF RATE BASE	8.66%	8.67%	17.43%	4.73%	1.92%	8.70%	14.52%



2023 Cost Allocation Model

EB-2022-0059

Sheet 02 Monthly Fixed Charge Min. & Max. Worksheet - Final

Output sheet showing minimum and maximum level for Monthly Fixed Charge

Summary

	1	2	3	7	8	9
	Residential	GS <50	GS>50-Regular	Street Light	Sentinel	Unmetered Scattered Load
Customer Unit Cost per month - Avoided Cost	\$4.45	\$4.03	\$15.88	\$0.57	\$0.56	\$0.64
Customer Unit Cost per month - Directly Related	\$6.63	\$6.29	\$30.10	\$0.92	\$0.92	\$1.05
Customer Unit Cost per month - Minimum System with PLCC Adjustment	\$20.40	\$19.84	\$53.50	\$2.82	\$13.39	\$13.55
Existing Approved Fixed Charge	\$33.72	\$22.32	\$123.27	\$1.47	\$3.83	\$13.67

Information to be Used to Allocate PILs, ROD, ROE and A&G

		1	2	3	7	8	9
	Total	Residential	GS <50	GS>50-Regular	Street Light	Sentinel	Unmetered Scattered Load
General Plant - Gross Assets	\$5,516,178	\$3,228,356	\$718,741	\$1,495,693	\$53,049	\$10,037	\$10,301
General Plant - Accumulated Depreciation	(\$1,701,790)	(\$995,977)	(\$221,738)	(\$461,435)	(\$16,366)	(\$3,097)	(\$3,178)
General Plant - Net Fixed Assets	\$3,814,387	\$2,232,379	\$497,003	\$1,034,258	\$36,683	\$6,941	\$7,123
General Plant - Depreciation	(\$121,558)	(\$71,142)	(\$15,839)	(\$32,960)	(\$1,169)	(\$221)	(\$227)
Total Net Fixed Assets Excluding General Plant	\$126,617,496	\$73,238,016	\$16,570,649	\$35,307,191	\$1,101,183	\$194,100	\$206,356
Total Administration and General Expense	\$5,378,385	\$3,440,394	\$664,878	\$1,168,603	\$81,468	\$11,511	\$11,532
Total O&M	\$8,414,934	\$5,442,607	\$1,033,095	\$1,768,525	\$133,694	\$18,528	\$18,484