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**Staff Question-1**

**Ref:**

1. Rate Generator Model
2. 2023 GA Analysis Workform

**Question:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| GA Analysis Workform (GA 2021 tab) | Net Change in Principal Balance in the GL (i.e. Transactions in the Year) | - $5,286,312 |
| DVA Continuity Schedule | Transactions Debit/Credit during 2021 | -$5,189,526 |
|  | Difference | - $ 96,486 |

Please reconcile and explain the above noted difference in Transaction in cell BD29 at reference 1) Tab ‘3. DVA Continuity Schedule’ to the value at reference 2) Tab ‘GA 2021’, cell C75.

**Staff Question-2**

**Ref:**

1. Rate Generator Model

Rate Generator Model

**Question:** Please indicate how the proportions in Tab ‘4. Billing Det. For Def-Var’ are derived in column O.

**Staff Question-3**

**Ref:**

1. Rate Generator Model
2. 2023 GA Analysis Workform

**Question:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **DVA Continuity Schedule** | **GA Analysis Workform (Account 1588 tab)** | **Difference** |
| Transactions | -$216,048 | $106,405 | -$322,453 |
| Principal Adjustments | -$ 477,584 | -$ 477,584 | $0 |
| Total Activity | -$693,632 | -$48,726 | -$400,616 |

Please reconcile Transactions at reference 2) Tab ‘Account 1588’ to the values at reference 1) Tab ‘3. Continuity Schedule’.

**Staff Question-4**

**Ref:**

1. Rate Generator Model

**Question:** Please reconcile the highlighted cells and input data in column H and J (Hydro One Line Connection) in Tab ‘12.RTSR – Historical Wholesale’ at reference 1).

**Staff Question-5**

**Ref:**

1. Rate Generator Model

**Question:** At the above reference Tab ‘6.Class A Consumption Data’, Customer 7’s kW and kWh values in 2021 decrease from 1,121,260 kWh and 3168 kW to 358,332 kWh and 911 kW, respectively. Please confirm that the values noted are accurate and, if possible, explain the substantive change in this customer’s consumption and demand.

**Staff Question-6**

**Ref:**

1. 2023 GA Analysis Workform

**Question:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **RRR** | **GA Analysis Workform (GA 2021 tab)** | **Difference** |
| Non-RPP Class A | 196,664,453 | 191,011,654 | 5,652,799 |
| Non-RPP Class B\* | 496,009,593 | 501,662,393 | - 5,652,800 |

Please reconcile and explain the above noted difference in the RRR data and consumption data entered at reference 1) tab ‘GA 2021’ cell D17 and D18. Please update the GA analysis workform accordingly.

**Staff Question -7**

**Ref:**

1. 2023 GA Analysis Workform
2. Rate Generator Model, Tab 3 – DVA Continuity Schedule

For Accounts 1589 and 1588, the variance between RRR vs. 2021 Balance (column BW) in the DVA Continuity Schedule typically equals the reversed sign of “Total Current Year Principal Adjustments” in the principal adjustment tab of the GA Analysis Workform as principal adjustments are typically timing differences that will reverse. The table below shows the difference between the two items noted above. Please reconcile and explain the difference between the Current Year Principal Adjustments shown in the GA Analysis Workform to the variance between the RRR vs. 2021 Balance in the DVA Continuity Schedule.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Account 1589** | **Account 1588** |
| GA Analysis Workform - Principal Adjustment Tab | 3,660,722 | 223,477 |
| DVA Continuity Schedule - column BW | 125 | 795,393 |
|  | 3,660,597 | -   571,916 |

**Staff Question -8**

**Ref:**

1. Rate Generator Model – Tab 17, 19

Question: OEB staff has updated the Smart Meter Entity Charge to $0.42 in Tab 17 and reflected in Tab 19. Please confirm this update in the attached model.

**Staff Question -9**

**Ref:**

1. LRAMVA Workform – Tab 2

Question: Oakville Hydro indicates it last rebased in 2014 with a new LRAMVA threshold approved at that time. As part of its LRAMVA request, it has included persisting amounts from 2013 and 2014 into 2021 and 2022, as well as the prospective LRAM-eligible amounts from 2023-2027. Please discuss the appropriateness of including persisting amounts from 2013 and 2014 when these amounts were built into rates with the approval of the rebasing application and new load forecast in 2014.

**Staff Question -10**

**Ref:**

1. LRAMVA Workform – Tab 5

Question: Please discuss, with clear cell references to the supporting documentation files submitted with the application, where the net energy and net demand savings were derived from to populate the following tables:

1. Table 5-d – 2018 program savings
2. Table 5-e – 2019 program savings
3. Table 5-f – 2020 program savings