

REF: Exhibit B, Tab 1, Schedule 1, p.2 , Figure 1

Preamble: We would like to understand the alternatives considered in providing Ridge Landfill with access to the EGI system.

- 1) Please expand the map and show all HP pipelines (over 420 kPa) within a 20 km radius of the landfill.
 - a) Please provide the Maximum Operating Pressure of the lines shown.
 - b) Please identify if any of the lines shown were considered as potential alternatives to the connection at Communications Rd.
 - c) If any of these lines were assessed, please provide analysis that was undertaken (i.e., pressure, flow, sizing and cost) of the alternative connection including the Blenheim distribution system.

REF: Exhibit C, Tab 1, Schedule 1

Preamble: In setting out the alternatives, EGI states:

The injection volumes of renewable natural gas that are projected to be delivered by the Customer limits the possible connection locations to Enbridge Gas's existing natural gas system as the demand on the associated network must be equal to or greater than the proposed injection volume of RNG.

We would like to understand the process by which Enbridge assesses the demand available in the market to determine if a project's supply could be utilized effectively.

- 2) Please file the study which EGI undertook to determine the feasibility of using the Blenheim market as a potential source of demand as a destination for the Project supply.
 - a) Please ensure that the study provides the assessment on a seasonal basis.
 - i) If the seasonality of load was not included, please provide the minimum and maximum daily consumptions for the Blenheim market for each of:
 - (1) Winter
 - (2) Spring
 - (3) Summer
 - (4) Fall

We would like to understand that if the output of supply from the Project were seasonally adjusted to feed Blenheim, what facilities would be required and the relative cost of those facilities.

- 3) Using the maximum daily demand that could be provided by the Project which could be used by the Blenheim system in the winter, please provide:
 - a) The feasible daily demand that could be provided

- b) The size of the pipe using:
 - i) High Pressure PE
 - ii) High Pressure Steel
- c) For each material example in b), please provide:
 - i) the resulting design inlet pressure at Landfill site and outlet pressure into the connecting station feeding the Blenheim IP system
 - ii) the cost of each pipeline broken out in a comparable fashion to Table 1 in Exhibit E, Tab 1, Schedule 1

REF: Exhibit D, Tab 1, Schedule 1, p.4 and Exhibit E, Tab 1, Schedule 1, p. 1-3

Preamble: We would like to understand how the qualified contractors would be chosen for this project and the cost consequences of the construction.

- 4) Does EGI intend to perform an RFP to choose the qualified contractor?
 - a) If not, why not? Please explain fully.
- 5) Will the project proponent have the option to undertake hiring a pre-qualified contractor to do any of the work (e.g., pipeline, station on customer property, station feeding connection to EGI system) ?
 - a) If not, why not? Please explain fully.
- 6) If there is a cost overrun, who is responsible for the difference?
 - a) If the construction is completed a lower cost than estimate, including contingency, will the project proponent only pay for the actual cost?
- 7) Please describe the factors that would escalate the unit cost estimate for the Ridge Landfill project vs. the other two comparators.

REF: Exhibit E, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Attachment 1

Preamble: We would like to understand EGI's views around the contractual provisions related to costs associated with facilitating sale of gas at Dawn

- 8) Is EGI requiring any payment associated with the cost of transporting volumes to the delivery point?
 - a) If so, how is that calculated in terms of actual costs such as facilities and fuel. Please explain fully.
 - b) Please describe the fundamentals that necessitate C1 or M13 transport from the location of production to the delivery point at Dawn:
 - c) What actual costs are associated with that transport e.g., fuel, compression, pipe capacity? Please describe these costs and their cost causality to support an M13 or C1 rate (e.g., please describe how the daily/monthly fuel will be calculated)

REF: Exhibit E, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Attachment 1, SCHEDULE 1 and 2

Preamble: Given that this contract may be a precedent agreement for future RNG projects, we would like to understand how the revenues and costs were analyzed for the purposes of establishing the Prepayment/Aid-to-Construction.

- 9) Were revenues associated with M13 or C1 rates forecasted for the customer included for the purposes of off-setting the cost of the project on a discounted cash flow basis?
- 10) What DCF model was used, i.e., EBO 188, EBO 134, or other?
- Please describe fully the basis for that choice of model.
 - In analyzing the costs and benefits in the model chosen, was there any benefit associated with the avoided costs of transport, fuel or carbon costs to recognize the distribution benefit of displacement?

Preamble: EGI evidence states: *The maximum gross heating value of the gas delivered to/by Enbridge hereunder shall be forty-one point three (41.3) megajoules per cubic metre. The gas to be delivered hereunder to Enbridge may be a commingled supply from Shipper's gas sources of supply. The gas to be delivered by Enbridge may be a commingled supply from Enbridge's sources of gas supply; provided, however, that helium, natural gasoline, butane, propane and other hydrocarbons, except methane, may be removed prior to delivery to Shipper.*

We would like to understand better the standardization elements of the special provisions as they relate to Heating Value and appropriate compensation.

- 11) Will EGI employ a calorimeter or other device to measure the actual heat value of the gas entering the system?
- If not, how will this criteria be monitored?
 - If so, will Ridge Landfill be paid appropriately for the actual amount of energy that enters the system?
- 12) A strict reading of this provision would suggest that the gas must be free of ethane. Is that intended?
- If so, how would that elimination be performed?
 - In general, is the threshold for the other name constituents 0.0% or is there some other maximum threshold?

Preamble: We would like to understand the Service Terms and Conditions in Schedule 2 particularly around balancing.

- 13) We note that an M12 or C1 contract for storage nor a Hub contract has not been filed.
 - a) Is there a companion storage contract?
 - i) If so, please file.
 - ii) If not, how is the cost of storage, up to 122,000 GJ maximum balance, recovered?

- 14) Please provide the cost basis for \$3.00/GJ charge for excess variance in the fall and deficiency variance in the spring.
 - a) Using the 1,050 GJ/day maximum variance, please calculate and provide that maximum variance figure as:
 - i) A percentage of the linepack in the Chatham East line from the Panhandle line take-off to the eastern most point the gas travels.
 - ii) A percentage of the daily flow in the Chatham East line for both:
 - (1) Maximum winter flow
 - (2) Minimum normal operations (i.e., no planned or unplanned changes for maintenance or other event) summer flow

- 15) Please provide the cost basis for \$0.05/GJ charge for quantities debited from or credited to the account. Please describe fully.

- 16) Please identify all changes that EGI made to the standard M13 contract including the schedules that would be different from a standard M13 contract has been executed historically (i.e., M13 contracts signed prior to January 1, 2021).
 - a) For each change, please describe the driver behind the change