## **Suggested Submission Topics**

- 1. Are the three ICM projects proposed mutually exclusive? If not, how should the OEB consider common/overlapping elements?
- 2. Has the Customer Engagement for these projects been appropriate?
- 3. For the Sustainable Brooklin project, is the requested exemption to the Distribution System Code
  - appropriate
  - o in the best interest of ratepayers
  - o in the public interest
- 4. Is the requested timeline for approval appropriate for the Whitby Smart Grid project/Sustainable Brooklin project?
  - Should the OEB allow an exception to the ICM policy?
  - o Are proposed illustrative rate riders on an interim basis appropriate?
- 5. Have the OEB's ICM criteria been met for the Whitby Smart Grid and Sustainable Brooklin projects?
  - Materiality
    - Is the proposed eligible amount appropriate?
    - Does it have a significant influence on the operation of the distributor
    - Other
  - Need
    - Is the evidence sufficient to approve ICM funding in 2023?
    - Are the project's components severable? If so, should the components be phased in over time?
    - Other
  - Prudence
    - Are the risk/cost vs. benefits appropriate?
    - Is the proposal the most cost-effective option for ratepayers?
    - Other
- 6. Is the proposed cost allocation for each project appropriate?
- 7. What Conditions of Approval would be appropriate for each project?
- 8. If ICM funding is approved, what future reporting and metrics would be appropriate for each project?
- 9. Other?