













Enbridge Gas Inc.

**EB-2022-0200
Technical Conference
March 22 – March 31, 2023**

Undertaking List

Undertakings JT	Day 1 – March 22, 2023	
	Undertaking Responses from Enbridge Inc.  EGI Undertakings Exhibit JT 2024 Rebasing 20230421	April 21, 2023
JT1.1	TO PROVIDE A GEOGRAPHIC BREAKDOWN OF THE 2 PER CENT INDIGENOUS RESPONDENTS BASED OFF OF GEOGRAPHY.	
JT1.2	TO PROVIDE A STATUS UPDATE, INCLUDING THE PROJECTED RELEASE DATE OF THE SUSTAINABILITY REPORT. ALSO TO ADVISE WHAT ENBRIDGE CURRENTLY EXPECTS WILL BE INCLUDED IN THE SUSTAINABILITY REPORT, IN TERMS OF MATTERS THAT ARE SPECIFICALLY RELEVANT TO INDIGENOUS CUSTOMERS AND PARTNERS IN THE CONTEXT OF THIS APPLICATION. ALSO TO ADVISE OF THE HIGH-LEVEL PROCESS SUMMARY OF WHO THE COMPANY HELD DISCUSSIONS WITH IN PREPARING THE REPORT.	
JT1.3	TO ADVISE OF THE MARGIN OF ERROR.	
JT1.4	TO ADVISE OF THE PRECISE FIGURE THAT LED TO THE ROUNDED 2 PERCENT.	
JT1.5	TO ADVISE, AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL SPEND, HOW MUCH ARE THE THREE PROJECTS.	
JT1.6	TO CONFIRM CHARGES USED IN THE CALCULATION OF THE GREY AREA, THE 1.9 PERCENT.	
JT1.7	WITH MR. O'LEARY'S CAVEATS TO THE INQUIRY, TO PROVIDE A COPY OF THE ANNUAL BENCHMARKING STUDIES FROM THE CGA AND THE AGA, AS WELL AS THOSE LISTED; TO PROVIDE A LIST OF WHAT IS BENCHMARKED, WHAT IS ACTUALLY THE CONTENTS OF THOSE BENCHMARKING STUDIES.	
JT1.8	TO FILE THE 2022 AND 2023 SCORECARDS.	
JT1.9	TO THE BEST OF ENBRIDGE'S ABILITY OR USING THE BEST AVAILABLE INFORMATION, FOR EACH OF THE INTEGRATION ACITIVITES, TO ADVISE HOW THE SAVINGS	


	WERE CALCULATED, WHAT THE CALCULATIONS WERE. HOW IT WAS DONE.	
JT1.10	TO PROVIDE THE CALCULATION OF THE PROCESS OPTIMIZATION UNDER THE CUSTOMER CARE LINE ITEM.	
JT1.11	TO ADVISE THE DERIVATION OF THE AVERAGE COST ADJUSTMENT AT CURRENT RATES.	
JT1.12	TO RECONCILE THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE 178.5 MILLION AND THE 163 MILLION. ALSO TO ADVISE WHY ARE THE NEW DEPRECIATION RATES NOT BEING APPLIED IN THIS CALCULATION OF THE 2024 REVENUE REQUIREMENT. ALSO TO ADVISE WHAT ENBRIDGE IS SEEKING TO RECOVER FROM CUSTOMERS ON AN ANNUAL BASIS IN TERMS OF REVENUE REQUIREMENT RELATED TO THE INTEGRATION CAPITAL.	
JT1.13	TO PROVIDE THE UNDERLYING FIGURES AND CALCULATIONS IN ORDER TO UNDERSTAND HOW THE NUMBERS THAT WERE PROVIDED IN RESPONSE TO THE MARCH 19TH LETTER WERE ARRIVED AT.	
JT1.14	TO ADVISE WHETHER THIS TABLE IN THEIR UNDERSTANDING IS INCLUSIVE OF AN INCREASE BY 1,500 MEGAWATTS OR WHETHER THAT WOULD BE IN ADDITION TO OR WHETHER IT'S PART OF; TO ALSO ASK THEM IF THEY HAVE ANY INFORMATION ABOUT THE LOCATION OF THE GAS-FIRED GENERATION THAT LED TO THE INCREASE.	
JT1.15	UNDER ADVISEMENT, TO REACH OUT TO TORCHLIGHT FOR THE FEASIBLE RNG POTENTIAL IN ONTARIO; TO EITHER ANSWER OR PROVIDE REASONS WHY NOT.	
JT1.16	TO PRODUCE THE TABLE WITH AN ADDITIONAL COLUMN ON A BEST-EFFORTS EFFORTS BASIS	
JT1.17	UNDER ADVISEMENT, TO RERUN THE MODEL USING CERTAIN INPUTS FROM THE HOWARTH STUDY.	
JT1.18	TO CONFIRM THAT THE FULL SET OF INPUTS AND THE FULL SET OF OUTPUTS WOULD BE PROVIDED.	
JT1.19	TO PREPARE A TABLE COMPARING HYDROGEN COSTS SHOWN IN KT1.3 TO THE HYDROGEN COST FIGURES IN ED-131 ON A BEST-EFFORTS BASIS.	
JT1.20	UNDER ADVISEMENT, TO PROVIDE THE SPREADSHEETS FOR THE LCP MODEL.	
JT1.21	TO PROVIDE A COPY OF THE DRAFT USER GUIDE.	


JT1.22	TO PROVIDE A COPY OF THE TRAINING VIDEO.	
JT1.23	TO CONFIRM WHAT THE EMISSIONS REDUCTIONS FROM BUILDINGS ARE UNDER CANADA'S 2030 EMISSIONS REDUCTIONS PLAN.	
JT1.24	ON A BEST-EFFORTS BASIS, TO PROVIDE THE CUMULATIVE NUMBERS FOR THE TABLES IN ED-40.	
JT1.25	TO CALCULATE THE UNITS' COSTS IN THE TABLES IN ED-44, ON A BEST-EFFORTS BASIS, INCLUDING ONLY THOSE COSTS COVERED BY THE GUIDEHOUSE REPORT.  EGJ_JT1.25-Attachment-1_20230412	April 21, 2023
JT1.26	TO COMPLETE THE TABLE IN ED-54 WITH IMPLIED VALUES ON A BEST-EFFORTS BASIS COVERING ONLY THE COSTS ACCOUNTED FOR IN THE GUIDEHOUSE REPORT.	
JT1.27	TO REPRODUCE THE TABLE IN ED-56 ADDING A COLUMN LISTING OF THE PARAMETERS THAT GUIDEHOUSE DETERMINED WHICH PARAMETERS WERE DETERMINED UPSTREAM OF THE MODEL OR DOWNSTREAM OF THE MODEL, AND HOW.	
JT1.28	WITH REFERENCE TO THE TABLE IN ED-56, FOR ALL OF THOSE PARAMETERS THAT WERE PRODUCED UPSTREAM OF THE MODEL OR DOWNSTREAM OF THE MODEL TO PROVIDE THE UNDERLYING CALCULATIONS AND ASSUMPTIONS, AND TO DO THAT WITH THE ACTUAL SPREADSHEETS THAT WERE USED, (UNDER ADVISEMENT.)  EGJ_JT1.28-Attachment 1_20230406  EGJ_JT1.28-Attachment 2_20230406  EGJ_JT1.28-Attachment 3_20230406  EGJ_JT1.28-Attachment 4_20230406  EGJ_JT1.28-Attachment 5_20230406  EGJ_JT1.28-Attachment 6_20230406  EGJ_JT1.28-Attachment 7_20230406  EGJ_JT1.28-Attachment 8_20230406  EGJ_JT1.28-Attachment 9_20230406  EGJ_JT1.28-Attachment 10_20230406	April 6, 2023


JT1.29	TO PROVIDE CONFIRMATION ABOUT WHETHER THE CAPITAL COSTS OF GENERATION RESOURCES AND TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION ASSETS WERE LEVELLIZED; I.E., ANNUALIZED OR NOT.	
JT1.30	TO CONFIRM WHETHER ANYONE ON THE TEAM IS AN EXPERT IN THAT AREA, AND TO PROVIDE THAT EXPERTISE.	
JT1.31	TO CONFIRM WHETHER ANYONE ON THE ENBRIDGE PANEL IS AN EXPERT IN THAT AREA, AND TO PROVIDE THAT EXPERTISE.	
JT1.32	GUIDEHOUSE TO CLARIFY FOR GAS SYSTEM COSTS AND ELECTRIC SYSTEM COSTS IF THE COSTS SHOW UP IN THEIR ENTIRETY IN THE YEAR IN WHICH THE MODEL DETERMINES THAT THEY NEED TO BE BUILT; LIKE KIND OF AN OVERNIGHT COST, WITH A SALVAGE VALUE THEN APPLIED FOR THE REMAINING VALUE THAT IS POST-2050, THAT'S OPTION 1. OR ALTERNATIVELY, WHETHER THEY ARE LEVELLIZED OR ANNUALIZED, WHICH THE RESPONSE TO GEC-25 WOULD SEEM TO SUGGEST THEY WERE, SUCH THAT IF THEY WERE BUILT IN 2030, FOR EXAMPLE, WHERE DID THE OVERNIGHT CAPITAL COST FOR THIS 50-YEAR MEASURE INTO, YOU KNOW, THAT THEY LEVELLIZED 50-YEAR VALUE AND THEN MULTIPLIED IT BY 10 FOR THE 2030S AND THEN PRESUMABLY INCLUDED AGAIN IN 2040S MULTIPLIED BY 10.	
JT1.33	TO EXPLAIN HOW THE RESIDENTIAL SECTOR FOR THE PURPOSES OF HOW THESE TABLES ARE PUT TOGETHER WAS DEFINED. ALSO TO PROVIDE ANOTHER COLUMN TO ONE OF THE TABLES THAT SHOWS THE NUMBER OF RESIDENTIAL HOUSEHOLDS THAT IT IS BELIEVED THE RESIDENTIAL SECTOR SALES AND PEAK DEMAND VALUES ARE CONNECTED TO.	
	Day 2 - March 23, 2023	
JT2.1	TO PROVIDE THE UEC'S TOTAL CONSUMPTION BY RESIDENTIAL BUILDING TYPE FOR EVERY YEAR FROM '23 TO 2050, AND THE SPACE-HEATING UEC AND WATER HEATING BY RESIDENTIAL BUILDING TYPE ONLY FOR THOSE CUSTOMERS WHO HAVE GAS SPACE HEATING FOR EVERY YEAR FROM 2023 THROUGH TO 2050. ALSO, FOR THE SAME RESIDENTIAL BUILDING TYPES ONLY, FOR SPACE HEATING ONLY, TO ADVISE WHAT IS THE AVERAGE COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND IN CUBIC METRES PER HOUR	

	IN THE TYPICAL PEAK HOUR.	
JT2.2	TO ADVISE IF THE VALUES IN TABLE 1 ARE THE CUMULATIVE PERSISTING SAVINGS IN 2030 THAT RESULT FROM ALL EFFICIENCY IMPROVEMENTS THAT WERE MADE IN THE PRIOR DECADE. ALSO TO CONFIRM WHETHER IT IS A REASONABLE ASSUMPTION THAT A PETAJOULE OF METHANE IS ABOUT 26 MILLION CUBIC METRES OF ENERGY CONTENT OF FOSSIL GAS. ALSO TO CONFIRM THE BASIS FOR THE NUMBERS IN ROW 3.	
JT2.3	TO CONFIRM WHETHER THE HYDROGEN TRANSPORTATION OR TRANSMISSION COST INCLUDES STORAGE. HOW MANY PJS OF HYDROGEN STORAGE IS ASSUMED FOR EACH OF 2020, 2030, 2040, 2050.	
JT2.4	TO CHECK AND ADVISE WHETHER THE NUMBERS IN THE TABLE ARE EXPRESSED IN NET PRESENT VALUE TERMS.	
JT2.5	SUPPLEMENTAL TO UNDERTAKING JT1.32.	
JT2.6	TO PROVIDE A RESPONSE TO GEC-54.	
JT2.7	TO PROVIDE INFORMATION ON THE PROJECTS THAT MS. MURPHY IS REFERENCING.	
JT2.8	TO REPORT ON WHAT, IF ANYTHING, WAS CONVEYED TO THE RECIPIENT OF THIS PRESENTATION THAT PROVIDED MORE DETAILS AROUND THE IMPACTS OF ENERGY TRANSITION ROW ON THE KEY SENSITIVITIES SLIDE.	
JT2.9	TO REVIEW 1.3-SEC-7, ATTACHMENT 1.3, PAGE 7 OF 14, UNDER THE DIVERSIFIED AND ELECTRIC PATHWAYS THAT GUIDEHOUSE HAS CONSIDERED, INCLUDED, OR NOT INCLUDED WITHIN ITS STUDY.	
JT2.10	TO PROVIDE THE EXISTING DOCUMENTATION ON SCENARIO ASSUMPTIONS AND MODELLING APPROACHES THAT WERE SHARED WITH THE FORECASTING, FINANCE, AND CONTRACT TEAMS.	
JT2.11	TO PROVIDE WRITTEN RESPONSES TO MR. DAUBE'S QUESTIONS: (1) PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW POSTAGE STAMP RATE-MAKING, AS REFERENCED IN THE ORIGINAL ANSWER, WOULD HINDER ENBRIDGE FROM EXAMINING THE IMPACT ON INDIGENOUS GROUPS AND COMMUNITIES. (2) WHETHER THERE'S ANYTHING PREVENTING ENBRIDGE FROM EXAMINING THE IMPACT OF THIS DEATH SPIRAL SCENARIO ON THE FOLLOWING GROUPS, NUMBER ONE, INDIGENOUS COMMUNITIES, NUMBER TWO, INDIGENOUS CUSTOMERS GENERALLY, NUMBER THREE, REMOTE COMMUNITIES, NUMBER FOUR, LESS AFFLUENT/LOWER	

	INCOME CUSTOMERS.	
JT2.12	WITH REFERENCE TO I.5.3-STAFF-215, ATTACHMENT 1, LINE 5, ON A BEST-EFFORTS BASIS, TO PROVIDE THE NUMBER OF CONTRACT CUSTOMERS, AND A BREAKDOWN OF THE TYPE OF CONTRACTS BETWEEN COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL, AND THE VOLUME FOR COMMERCIAL AND THE VOLUME FOR INDUSTRIAL	
JT2.13	TO TAKE A LOOK AT THE FACT THAT GREENHOUSE OPERATIONS, WHICH ARE CAPTURED UNDER THE SEGMENT AGRICULTURE UNDER THE INDUSTRIAL SECTOR, ACTUALLY AT THIS POINT IN TIME PREFER TO USE CARBON-EMITTING NATURAL GAS AS DIRECT FEEDSTOCK FOR ITS PRODUCTION OF CARBON DIOXIDE IN TERMS OF FEEDING ITS CROPS, AND HOW THAT FACT MAY OR MAY NOT CHANGE DIRECTIONALLY THE POSTERITY STUDY.	
JT2.14	TO PROVIDE AN EXAMPLE OF HOW, FROM A REGULATORY AND [AUDIO DROPOUT] PERSPECTIVE, A SITUATION WHERE A SORT OF AVERAGE RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMER WITH ASSETS CONNECTING INTO A SYSTEM THAT HAVEN'T BEEN FULLY DEPRECIATED COMPLETELY DISCONNECTS FROM THE SYSTEM, AND THOSE ASSETS THEN BECOME STRANDED IN THE SENSE THAT THEY'RE NO LONGER USED OR USEFUL BY THE COMPANY, TO ADVISE WHAT ARE THE STEPS THAT FOLLOW FROM THAT FROM A REGULATORY AND ACCOUNTING PERSPECTIVE.	
JT2.15	TO PROVIDE THE NUMBER OF CUSTOMERS AND THE COST FOR THE LOW CARBON ENERGY PROJECT, PHASE I	
JT2.16	TO CONFIRM FOR EACH CUSTOMER SEGMENT IN THE POSTERITY MODEL THAT IS IDENTIFIED AS HAVING CCS APPLIED, WHAT'S THE PERCENT OF THE CUSTOMERS IN THAT SEGMENT THAT CCUS IS APPLIED TO, OR IF THERE'S OTHER RULES APPLIED AS WELL, INCLUDING ANY SCREENING DONE.	
JT2.17	FOR GUIDEHOUSE TO CONFIRM WHAT THEIR COST IN THE MODEL IS IN RELATION TO CCUS AND WHAT ELEMENTS OF CO2 CAPTURE, TRANSPORTATION, AND STORAGE COSTS ARE REFLECTED IN THAT VALUE.	
JT2.18	FOR GUIDEHOUSE TO PROVIDE THE EMISSIONS RELATED TO THE 95 PER CENT.	


	Day 3 - March 24, 2023	
JT3.1	TO PROVIDE A FIGURE FOR EMISSIONS PRODUCED FROM POWER PRODUCTION IN 2020 OR 2030.	
JT3.2	TO PROVIDE A COPY OR ACCESS TO A COPY OF THE ENBRIDGE RESILIENT ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE REPORT.	
JT3.3	TO CONFIRM THE FIGURES OF TONNES CO2 PER VCF REFERENCED IN THE 2019 REPORT HIGHLIGHTED IN FOOTNOTE 1 OF EXHIBIT I.1.10-PP-4.	
JT3.4	TO CONFIRM THAT THE RNG STRATEGY DOESN'T EXIST, AND IF IT TURNS OUT IT DOES, PROVIDE A COPY	
JT3.5	TO ADD TO EXHIBIT KT3.2 ONE COLUMN INDICATING WHETHER IT IS THE TCS OR THE SES THAT IS BEING APPLIED. A SECOND COLUMN SAYING WHETHER THESE ARE NEW BUILDS OR EXISTING ADDRESSES. THEN A THIRD COLUMN SAYING THE NUMBER OF CUSTOMERS.  EGI_JT3.05-Attachment 1_20230418	April 18, 2023
JT3.6	A BEST EFFORTS BASIS, TO PROVIDE A RESPONSE TO ED-86.	
JT3.7	ON A BEST-EFFORTS BASIS TO DETERMINE THE NUMBER OF ACCOUNT ENCLOSURES FOR GENERAL-SERVICE CUSTOMERS.	
JT3.8	TO ADVISE WHETHER THERE IS ANY UPDATE TO ED-95(D) WITHOUT COMMITTING THAT THERE WILL ACTUALLY BE AN UPDATE.	
JT3.9	TO CONFIRM WHETHER ENBRIDGE HAS ANY MORE GRANULAR BREAKDOWN OF THE 2019 COLUMN IN ATTACHMENT 3 OF ED-133.	
JT3.10	TO COMMENT ON THE REASONABLENESS OF CONDUCTING AERIAL TESTING IN THE FUTURE TO GET A BETTER OR COMPLETE UNDERSTANDING OF UFG, INCLUDING LEAKS AND EMISSIONS.	
JT3.11	TO ADVISE HOW MUCH IT COSTS TO CONNECT A HOME TO THE GAS GRID, ON AVERAGE, FOCUSING ONLY ON THOSE COSTS PAID FOR VIA RATES, AND ALSO HOW LONG IT WOULD TAKE FOR THE RATES FROM THAT SINGLE HOME TO PAY OFF THOSE CONNECTION COSTS FOR AN	



	AVERAGE HOME, WITHOUT TCS OR SES. ON AVERAGE HOW MUCH ARE THE CONNECTION COSTS AND ON AVERAGE HOW LONG WOULD IT TAKE WITH RATES TO PAY OFF THOSE CONNECTION COSTS. NOT INCLUDING THE SES OR TCS COSTS.	
JT3.12	WITH REFERENCE TO THE RESIDENTIAL GAS END USE STUDY, ATTACHMENT 5, PAGE 19, TO PROVIDE A TABLE TRACKING THE PREFERENCE FOR NATURAL GAS IN A NEW HOME SINCE 2015	
JT3.13	TO COMMENT ON WHETHER ENBRIDGE WOULD AGREE TO UPDATE THIS SURVEY TO DIFFERENTIATE BETWEEN ELECTRIC BASEBOARD HEATERS AND COLD-CLIMATE HEAT PUMPS.	
JT3.14	IN 3.2 GEC 29, TO PROVIDE THE ATTACHMENT TO THIS INTERROGATORY IN EXCEL.  EGI JT3.14-Attachment 1_20230406	April 6, 2023
JT3.15	TO PROVIDE, FOR EACH OF THE CATEGORIES AND THE SUB-CATEGORIES, THE ACTUAL 2022 CUSTOMER ADDITIONS.	
JT3.16	SUBJECT TO DATA AVAILABILITY, TO PROVIDE RESPONSES TO THE PORTIONS OF SEC-119(A) THAT WERE PREVIOUSLY DECLINED.	
JT3.17	TO PROVIDE THE FULL UNDERLYING CALCULATIONS FOR THE PIS FOR THE YEARS AS REQUESTED IN THE ORIGINAL INTERROGATORY.	
JT3.18	REFERRING TO 4.3-SEC-167, ATTACHMENT 2, ON A BEST-EFFORTS BASIS TO ADVISE THE BASIS FOR THE ANNUAL UPDATE, WHETHER IT IS MULTI-YEAR AVERAGE OF HISTORICAL ACTUALS, A REVISED FORECAST OR SOME OTHER FORMULA	
JT3.19	TO PROVIDE WHAT AMOUNT OF CAPITAL DOES THE MARGIN THAT YOU GET FROM ONE RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMER SUPPORT TO ACHIEVE A PI OF 1. ALSO TO DO IT FOR .7, SO THE SAME PROCESS, BUT ESTABLISHING THE PI LEVEL AS .7, OPPOSED TO 1, JUST SO WE GET SOME SENSITIVITY ON THAT.	
JT3.20	TO DEMONSTRATE HOW ENBRIDGE DOES UFG, USING THE EXAMPLE OF THE ENBRIDGE EDA, TO INCLUDE WHETHER IT IS DONE REGIONALLY OR NOT, WHETHER IT IS DONE ON A MONTHLY BASIS, IS IT RECONCILED TO THE HEAT VALUES THAT ARE PREVALENT ON EITHER THE CONSUMPTION OR PURCHASE SIDE TO ENSURE THAT MATCH IS NOT ARTIFICIALLY CREATING UFG.	

JT3.21	TO PROVIDE A CORRECTION FOR THE 2020 NUMBER.	
JT3.22	TO ADVISE IF THERE'S ANY ADDITIONAL OR DIFFERENT INFORMATION ABOUT THE CUT-OFF AT MAIN CHARGES FOR RESIDENTIAL AND OTHER CUSTOMERS THAT WOULD BE APPLICABLE, ANY DIFFERENT INFORMATION FROM WHAT MR. MACPHERSON HAS EXPLAINED. AND ALSO, IF THE COMMERCIAL WAS THE SAME, TO PROVIDE THE SMALL COMMERCIAL CHARGE IF IT IS DIFFERENT THAN THE 1,300.	
JT3.23	Number Missed	
JT3.24	TO PROVIDE THE SOURCE DATA FOR THE TABLE 1 THAT SHOWS THE DAILY DATA AND THE AGGREGATION INTO THE YEARLY DATA.  EGI JT3.24-Attachment 1 20230412	April 18, 2023
JT3.25	IN RELATION TO EXHIBIT 4, TAB 3, SCHEDULE 1, PARAGRAPH 24, TO PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION FOR THE STATEMENT IN THE SECOND SENTENCE.	
JT3.26	TO CLARIFY HOW IN YOUR VIEW THE ACCOUNT WOULD OPERATE AND TO ADDRESS WHAT WOULD BE TREATED AS INCREMENTAL BAD DEBT; TO EXPLAIN HOW INCREMENTAL BAD DEBT RELATED SPECIFICALLY TO GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS WOULD BE IDENTIFIED. WITH REFERENCE TO 9.1-CCC-101 ADDRESS HOW INCREMENTAL BAD DEBT RELEVANT TO GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS REQUIREMENTS WOULD BE DETERMINED AND CONFIRM THAT THE MATERIALITY THRESHOLD FOR THE ACCOUNT IS \$1 MILLION.	
JT3.27	TO EXPLAIN WHAT IS MEANT BY THE POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE NUMBERS SHOWN ON TABLE 1 AND HOW THE TOTAL VOLUME VARIANCE AMOUNTS ARE CALCULATED USING 2021 AS AN EXAMPLE.	
JT3.28	ON A BEST-EFFORTS BASIS TO PROVIDE A FORECAST, SO TO DETERMINE, ONE, THE MATERIALITY OF THE ACCOUNT, BUT ALSO TO HAVE A BETTER SENSE OF WHAT THAT AMOUNT MAY BE.	
JT3.29	TO CONFIRM WHERE IN THE 2018 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS THAT AMOUNT RESIDED; WHETHER THERE IS A NOTE IN THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS; TO PROVIDE THE 2017 UNION FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
JT3.29	TO CONFIRM WHERE THE BALANCES RESIDED BETWEEN THE ENBRIDGE SPECTRA MERGER AND THE ENBRIDGE UNION. TO PROVIDE THE STATEMENTS FOR 2017 AND TO	

	2018.	
JT3.30	AFTER THE MERGER, IF THERE HAD BEEN NO ACCOUNTING POLICY CHANGES DEFERRAL ACCOUNT, WHAT WOULD HAVE OCCURRED TO THE BALANCE, BOTH ON THE FINANCIAL AND REGULATORY PURPOSES, THE AMORTIZED EXTERNAL GAINS AND LOSSES AND PAST SERVICE COSTS REMAINING BALANCE.	
JT3.31	TO SEE WHAT EXISTS AND PRODUCE WHATEVER IS RESPONSIVE TO THE QUESTION.	
JT3.32	TO PROVIDE DETAILS OF THE \$28 MILLION FORECAST AMORTIZATION ACCUMULATED ACTUARIAL GAINS AND LOSSES AND PAST SERVICE COSTS THAT ARE EMBEDDED IN THE 47. [AUDIO DROPOUT] MILLION DOLLAR [AUDIO DROPOUT]	
JT3.33	TO DESCRIBE THE SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS NEEDED FROM THE BOARD TO ALLOW A DVA OR THE RECOVERY OF \$155 MILLION	
JT3.34	TO MAKE BEST EFFORTS TO PROVIDE WHATEVER DATA IS AVAILABLE ON INACCESSIBLE METERS FROM 2018 TO 2022.	
JT3.35	TO DESCRIBE MECHANISMS IN PLACE TO FLAG CUSTOMERS WITH UNUSUALLY LARGE BILLS.	
JT3.36	ON A BEST-EFFORTS BASIS WILL ADVISE AS TO HOW MANY MONTHLY BILLS WERE MISSED, THAT IS NOT DELIVERED WITHIN THE RELEVANT MONTH, FOR EACH YEAR FROM 2019 TO 2022, DIVIDED BY RATE ZONE OR BY LEGACY UTILITY, IF POSSIBLE.	
JT3.37	WITH REFERENCE TO I.4.4-STAFF-133, PAGE 3 OF THE ATTACHMENT, TO ADD A COLUMN FOR BOARD APPROVED.	
JT3.38	TO CONFIRM IN WRITING ENBRIDGE'S POSITION ON APPROVAL OF THE CHARGE.	
	Day 4 – March 27, 2023	
JT4.1	TO CALCULATE THE CAPITALIZED AMOUNT FLOWING THROUGH THE IMPACTS OF ALL THE AFFECTED COST CATEGORIES.	
JT4.2	TO ADVISE WHAT TYPE OF CHANGES TO CAPITALIZATION WOULD REQUIRE OEB APPROVAL UNDER ASC 980	

JT4.3	TO PROVIDE THE EXACT ALLOCATION OF THE TOTAL ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION BOOKED AMOUNT.	
JT4.4	TO FILE THE UPDATED SCHEDULES OR UPDATED FIGURES.	
JT4.5	BEST EFFORTS TO PROVIDE THE CALCULATION FOR AVERAGE AGE AT RETIREMENT USED IN	
JT4.6	EXHIBIT I.4.5-STAFF-171, ATTACHMENT 3, ACCOUNTS 47301 AND 47302, TO EXPLAIN THE NET SALVAGE AMOUNTS.	
JT4.7	TO PROVIDE THE ALG EXAMPLE FOR THAT COMBINED 47300.	
JT4.8	TO PROVIDE THE CORRECT FIGURES AND WHAT ATTACHMENT 1 IS SHOWING.	
JT4.9	TO PROVIDE UPDATED TABLES WITH THE HEADINGS CORRECTED FOR STAFF 168 ATTACHMENT 1	
JT4.10	TO SUPPLY REQUESTED MATERIAL FOR STAFF 178F AND G	
JT4.11	TO PROVIDE THE CORRECTED INFORMATION FOR THE FIRST TWO COLUMNS IN THE TABLE.	
JT4.12	TO PROVIDE THE REVENUE-REQUIREMENT IMPACT ASSOCIATED WITH THE INCREASE OF \$120.7 MILLION IN 2024 DEPRECIATION EXPENSE.	
JT4.13	TO DEMONSTRATE TO THE HEARING PANEL THAT THE DEPRECIATION EXPENSE THAT YOU PROPOSE TO RECOVER FROM MY CLIENT AND OTHERS' CUSTOMERS IS THE RIGHT AMOUNT OF MONEY.	
JT4.14	TO CALCULATE FOR 2024 TO 2028 THE AMOUNT LIKELY TO BE COLLECTED IN SITE RESTORATION COSTS, ON A BEST-EFFORTS BASIS.	
JT4.15	TO PROVIDE THE COST TO DECOMMISSION ALL ASSETS, TODAY, ON A BEST-EFFORTS BASIS.	
JT4.16	TO PROVIDE AN IDEA OF THE MAGNITUDE OF SITE RESTORATION COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH EXISTING FACILITIES.	
JT4.17	TO PROVIDE THE DETAILED TABLES FOR THE DOLLAR IMPACT ON DEPRECIATION EXPENSE IF A 2050 TRUNCATE DATE WAS IMPLEMENTED FOR 2028, 2030 AND 2035.	
JT4.18	TO PROVIDE A COPY OF THE TEXTBOOK CALLED "DEPRECIATION SYSTEMS".	
JT4.19	IN A DEFERRED REBASING PERIOD, IF THERE IS A CHANGE	

	TO THE OVERHEAD CAPITALIZATION AND IT CHANGES THE RESULTING LINE 4 OF 323, IF O&M IS STILL AT 1356 AS A TOTAL, THE RESULT OF THE TWO STREAMS WILL NOT EQUATE TO A REVENUE REQUIREMENT THAT IS PRECISELY EQUAL TO 1356, BECAUSE THERE'S NO ABILITY TO ADJUST YOUR O&M, AS THE RATES ARE BEING SET BY SOME FORM OF MECHANISTIC PRICE CAP FORMULATION.	
JT4.20	TO DO THE UPDATES BASED ON THE COLOUR CODING YOU INDICATED HADN'T BEEN APPLIED PROPERLY EARLIER, AND THEN HAD A COLUMN AT THE END WITH THE AMORTIZATION PERIOD PER THE LEAVE TO CONSTRUCT APPLICATION, AND THEN WHERE IT'S DIFFERENT BETWEEN THE LEAVE TO CONSTRUCT APPLICATION AND WHAT YOU ARE PROPOSING NOW, IF IT YOU CAN JUST DESCRIBE WHAT THAT MEANS AND WHY THAT COULD ALL BE WRAPPED UP IN ONE UNDERTAKING IF POSSIBLE.	
JT4.21	TO PROVIDE A TABLE FOR EACH YEAR BETWEEN 2013 AND 2022 THAT PROVIDES THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION.	
JT4.22	TO FILE A WRITTEN RESPONSE TO 1.2-FRPO-25 SHOWING HOW THE SHARING BETWEEN THE ALLIANCE PARTNER AND ENBRIDGE WORKS	
JT4.23	FOR THE LAST THREE YEARS OF ACTUALS FOR 2020 TO 2022, TO PROVIDE, BASED ON CAPITAL SPEND IN THAT YEAR, WHAT PERCENTAGE IS UNDERTAKEN BY CONTRACTORS, WHAT PERCENTAGE IS UNDERTAKEN BY INTERNAL LABOUR; THEN IN THE CONTRACT, BROKEN DOWN INTO THOSE THAT WOULD FALL UNDER THIS ALLIANCE PARTNERSHIP CATEGORY, AND THE REMAINDER AMOUNT	
JT4.24	TO TAKE UNDER ADVISEMENT AS WHEN BY ADDITIONAL YEARS.	
JT4.25	TO PROVIDE THE MODELS FOR HOW THE WE DERIVED THE DATA IN THE TABLE.	
Day 5 – March 28, 2023		
JT5.1	TO PROVIDE THE SAME INFORMATION AS REQUESTED YESTERDAY IN JT4.24 BUT THIS TIME IN RELATION TO PANEL 2.	
JT5.2	TO CONFIRM WHEN 2023 AND 2024 EXPENDITURES THAT HAVE BEEN DELAYED WILL TAKE PLACE.  EGL JT5.02 - Attachment 1_20230406	April 6, 2023

JT5.3	TO PROVIDE A PRE-OPTIMIZATION VERSION OF ATTACHMENT 1, 2.6-CCC-50.  EGI JT5.03-Attachment 1 20230406	April 6, 2023
JT5.4	TO PROVIDE THE REVENUE REQUIREMENT IN 2024 OF THAT CHANGE OF A SHIFT IN ONE YEAR.	
JT5.5	TO PROVIDE SLIDE DECKS OUTLINING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE TOOL.	
JT5.6	TO EXPLAIN IN 2.6-SEC-123, ATTACHMENT 4, FOR THE VARIOUS BUDGET METRICS, WHAT THE ASTERISK 8 PLUS 4 FORECAST OR ASTERISK 9 PLUS 3 FORECAST, WHAT DO THOSE WORDS MEAN.	
JT5.7	TO PROVIDE THE YEAR END VERSIONS OF THE annual asset health report, AS FAR BACK AS THEY GO, FOR THE YEARS THAT IT HAS BEEN IN PLACE.	
JT5.8	TO PROVIDE AN ESTIMATE OF THE PROCEEDS OF DISPOSITION FOR THE FOUR PROPERTIES YOU ARE PLANNING TO DISPOSE IN 2024.	
JT5.9	FOR THE NUMBERS IN TABLE 1 AT SEC-120, TO RECONCILE THE AMP AND THE USP, THE CAPITAL FORECAST THAT FLOW TO THE REVENUE REQUIREMENT, FOR THE YEARS 2013 TO 2028.	
JT5.10	TO FILE THE ENTERPRISE STANDARD DOCUMENT, SUBJECT TO CONFIDENTIALITY CONCERNS.	
JT5.11	TO PROVIDE THE INPUT VALUES THAT ARE USED IN THE CALCULATIONS, AND THEN THE VALUE FRAMEWORK STANDARD WILL PROVIDE THE BASIS FOR THE CALCULATIONS AND THE FORMULA, ET CETERA.	
JT5.12	TO REVIW WHAT IS AVAILABLE TO DETERMINE WHETHER THE REQUESTED INFORMATION ABOUT INVESTMENTS PRE- AND POST-OPTIMIZATION IS AVAILABLE, AND TO PROVIDE WHAT'S AVAILABLE.	
JT5.13	TO PROVIDE A VERSION OF 2.6-CME-23 THAT PROVIDES A BREAKDOWN NOT JUST OF THE VALUE SCORE BUT EACH OF THE INDIVIDUAL VALUE FUNCTION MEASURES, INCLUDING PROJECT NUMBERS, AS POSSIBLE. (IN EXCEL FORMAT)  EGI JT5.13-Attachment 1 20230406	April 6, 2023
JT5.14	TO CONFIRM THE ACCURACY OF THE FIGURES AND LABELLING IN THE ATTACHMENTS TO SEC-140 AND SEC-	

	141.	
JT5.15	RE 2.6-SEC-110 ATTACHMENT 1, PAGE 14, TO CONFIRM WITH ENBRIDGE INC. WHETHER THE 2018 ASSESSMENT(S) ARE AVAILABLE, AND IF SO TO PROVIDE THEM.	
JT5.16	TO REVIEW 2.6-ED-100 TO PROVIDE THE ALL-IN FULL COST OF THE WILSON AVENUE REPLACEMENT, INCLUDING ALLOCATED OVERHEAD AND ABANDONMENT, AS WELL AS A BREAKDOWN OF THE COST COMPONENTS.	
JT5.17	FOR THE MAP AT 2.6-ED-101, ATTACHMENT 1, TO PROVIDE A COPY WITH A LEGEND AND A TITLE, SHOWING GAS FLOW DIRECTIONS, AND SHOWING CUSTOMERS SERVED AND PIPES SERVED, WITH ANY NECESSARY CAVEATS, USING WINTER PEAK DAY AS A REFERENCE POINT.	
JT5.18	TO ADVISE ON A BEST-EFFORTS BASIS WHEN THE EDIMP AND THE IRP PROCESS IS EXPECTED TO TAKE PLACE AND BE COMPLETED.	
JT5.19	<p>FOR THE TABLE AT 2.6-ED-102, PAGE 3, ON A BEST-EFFORTS BASIS, TO PROVIDE THE CAPACITY FOR AN NPS12 VERSUS THE NEXT SIZE SMALLER; TO PROVIDE THE THRESHOLD ON WHICH AT THE PIPE COULD BE DOWNSIZED.</p> <p>MR MADRID: Byron Madrid, Mr. Elson. I'll have to take that back and confirm exact details. If you don't mind just repeat the undertaking, that will be great.</p>	
JT5.20	WITH REFERENCE TO AN EASEMENT BEING REQUIRED FOR THE HUMBER RIVER CROSSING, TO CONFIRM WHO THAT EASEMENT WOULD BE TO COME FROM, WHY IT CAN'T USE AN EXISTING EASEMENT, WHAT HAPPENS IF IT ISN'T PROVIDED VOLUNTARILY AND CONFIRM WHY -- WHETHER THIS WOULD TRIGGER THE NEED FOR A LEAVE TO CONSTRUCT ON THE BASIS OF IT BEING AN AUTHORITY TO USED ADDITIONAL LAND.	
JT5.21	TO ADVISE ON WHAT ENBRIDGE'S POSITION IS ON WHAT THE REQUIREMENTS ARE UNDER EBO-188, AS IT REFLECTS TO CUSTOMER ATTACHMENT AND REVENUE HORIZON.	
JT5.22	TO ADVISE, IF ENBRIDGE WERE TO OBTAIN CONTRIBUTIONS IN AID OF CONSTRUCTION FOR THE PANHANDLE REGIONAL EXPANSION PROJECT TO BRING THE PROFITABILITY UP TO 1, HOW MUCH WOULD THAT REDUCE ENBRIDGE'S PROPOSED CAPITAL SPENDING IN EACH YEAR FROM NOW TO 2028.	
JT5.23	TO PROVIDE AN ANSWER ALSO FOR THE KIRKWALL	


	HAMILTON PROJECT.	
JT5.24	TO ADVISE OF THE FUNDING PROVIDED FOR EACH OF THE PROJECTS.	
JT5.25	TO ADD TWO COLUMNS TO THE TABLE STARTING AT PAGE 3 OF CCC 12, ONE INDICATING THE STATUS OF EACH PROJECT, THE OTHER INDICATING THE AMOUNT OF GRANT FUNDING AVAILABLE FOR THE PROJECT.	
JT5.26	TO ADVISE SYSTEM EXPANSION PROJECTS ARE IN RATE BASE, THAT THAT ARE PRE-REBASING OR PRE-2024 OR POST-2024.	
JT5.27	TO PROVIDE A BREAKDOWN OF THE CALCULATION OF 42 AND 40.8.	
JT5.28	TO PROVIDE THE ACTUALS IN 2.5 CCC 40.	
JT5.29	TO RE-CAST THOSE NUMBERS IN THE SAME FORMAT AS APPENDIX 2-AA.	
JT5.30	TO PROVIDE A LIST OF THE 19 PROJECTS.	
JT5.31	TO PROVIDE REQUESTED INFORMATION ABOUT THE AGE AND KILOMETRES OF VEHICLES, BY CATEGORY OF VEHICLE, SUBJECT TO THE INFORMATION BEING AVAILABLE.	
JT5.32	TO ADVISE OF THE DOLLARS INCLUDED IN THE 2024 O&M BUDGET FOR DIMP OVER AND ABOVE THE \$10 MILLION FORECAST FOR THE ENHANCED DIMP.	
JT5.33	WHEN ENBRIDGE MAKES THE UPDATES IN THE RESPONSE TO JT4.2, TO ADD ANOTHER COLUMN AND JUST CALL IT SEC 98 TOTAL OR 2.1 SEC 98 FINAL PROJECT COSTS, SOMETHING LIKE THAT, TO THE TABLE, AND PUT THE NUMBERS FROM SEC 98 IN THAT TABLE AS WELL, AND THEN WHERE THAT NUMBER DIFFERS FROM WHAT YOU HAD IN THE TABLE, MAYBE JUST PUT A FOOTNOTE TO EXPLAIN WHY.	
JT5.34	TO ADVISE WHERE WE CAN FIND HOW THE CATEGORIES OF MANDATORY, COMPLIANCE AND VALUE DRIVEN ARE APPLIED TO EACH OF THE PROJECTS IN THE ASSET MANAGEMENT PLAN.	
JT5.35	Number Missed	
JT5.36	TO FILE THE DOCUMENT THAT DESCRIBES THE TECHNICAL EVALUATION, OUTLINED IN STAFF 81, WITH THE STEPS THAT ARE BEING TAKEN AS PART OF THAT TECHNICAL EVALUATION. TO INCLUDE THE COMPLETED IRP SCREENING FORM FOR A PROJECT THAT PASSED AND A	


	PROJECT THAT FAILED.	
JT5.37	TO FILE THE IRP MODELING RELATED TO THE AREA OF IMPACT FOR THE ST. LAURENT PORJECT	
JT5.38	TO INDICATE WHICH STAKEHOLDERS THE FOLLOWING LETTER WENT TO: A LETTER DATED DECEMBER 22ND, 2022 FROM ENBRIDGE TO THE OEB, INDICATING THAT THE IRP PILOTS WON'T BE IMPLEMENTED IN 2022, BUT THAT YOU EXPECT TO BEGIN CONSULTATION ON THOSE PILOTS IN 2023.	
JT5.39	TO FILE NOTES OR MINUTES OR PRESENTATIONS RELATED TO THE IRP DISCUSSIONS WITH THE CITY OF OTTAWA.	
JT5.40	TO INDICATE WHICH INTERROGATORY RESPONSE SETS OUT THE EXPECTED EMISSIONS REDUCTIONS ON THE	
JT5.41	TO FILE A COPY OF THE 2022 AND 2023 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES RELATED TO IRP. (UNDER ADVISEMENT.)	
JT5.42	TO PROVIDE WHAT COMMUNICATIONS, IF ANY, WERE PROVIDED TO STAKEHOLDERS THAT REGISTERED AT THE IRP WEBSITE	
JT5.43	GIVEN THE ENERGY TRANSITION THAT IS PART OF THE BACKDROP TO THIS PROCEEDING, DID ENBRIDGE CONSIDER THAT WOULD POTENTIALLY SEND THE RIGHT SIGNALS TO MANAGEMENT ABOUT THE EVOLUTION OF INVESTMENT STRATEGY.	
JT5.44	TO ADVISE WHAT CRITERIA OR FACTORS WERE CONSIDERED BY ENBRIDGE TO MOVE IT OUT OF THE COPPERLEAF OPTIMIZATION APPROACH AND INTO WHAT'S BEING REFERRED TO AS GDS.	
JT5.45	TO EXPLAIN FURTHER HOW THE ALLIANCE PARTNERSHIP CONSTRUCT BENEFITS RATE-PAYERS.	
JT5.46	TO EXPLAIN FURTHER HOW THE ALLIANCE PARTNERSHIP CONSTRUCT BENEFITS RATE-PAYERS.	
JT5.47	TO PPROVIDE FIGURES FOR REMAINING UCC ON THE PROJECT, THE OPENING RATE BASE VALUE, AND THE REMAINING CCA.	
	Day 6 – March 29, 2023	
JT6.1	ENBRIDGE TO RESPOND TO THE CCC QUESTIONS POSED MARCH 28, 2023, DAY 5 OF THE TECHNICAL CONFERENCE	








	PROCEEDINGS.	
JT6.2	IF POSSIBLE IN THE TIME AVAILABLE, TO ADVISE THE PROJECTS EXCLUDED FROM THE BUDGETARY CONSTRAINTS TABLE (CME-3, ATTACHMENT 1 ITEMS WITH A DASH OR NIL VALUE)	
JT6.3	TO RESPOND TO OEB STAFF QUESTIONS PROVIDED BY EMAIL: TO LIST ALL THE PROJECTS, LIST WHAT WOULD BE IN 2024 RATE BASE ACCORDING TO THE APPLICATION. THEN LIST THE NET CAPITAL AMOUNTS THAT WOULD BE IN 2024 RATE BASE USING THE MOST CURRENT INFORMATION ON COST AND IN SERVICE TIMING. AND THEN IN THE THIRD COLUMN, THE COSTS THAT WOULD BE INCLUDED IN 2024 RATE BASE IF YOU WERE TO ASSUME THE CURRENT IN-SERVICE TIMING AND EITHER THE ORIGINAL COST ESTIMATE, IF IT'S LOWER THAN THE UPDATED COST ESTIMATE, OR THE UPDATED COST ESTIMATE IF IT'S LOWER THAN THE ORIGINAL.	
JT6.4	(1) HOW DO COMMERCIAL CONTRACT CUSTOMERS HAVE ACCESS AND USE OF THEIR METER DATA, AND HOW MIGHT THAT CHANGE WITH THE INTRODUCTION OF GREEN BUTTON. (2) DOES ENBRIDGE HAVE ANY FURTHER COMMENTS ABOUT ITS PLANS FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF AMI FOR GENERAL SERVICE CUSTOMERS BEYOND WHAT'S INCLUDED IN THE FILED EVIDENCE.	
JT6.5	TO ANSWER ON A BEST EFFORTS BASIS OF THE BREAKDOWN OF THE CUSTOMER COUNT IN TABLE 2 OF EXHIBIT 8, TAB 4, SCHEDULE 7, PAGE 9, TO INDICATE HOW MANY OF THE CUSTOMERS ARE INDUSTRIAL/OTHER AND HOW MANY OF THE CUSTOMERS ARE COMMERCIAL.	
JT6.6	TO REVIEW FRPO 14 AND FRPO 15 AND TAKE INTO ACCOUNT YOUR COMMENTS AND THE OTHER REFERENCES YOU PROVIDED AND DETERMINE WHAT, IF ANY, EXPANDED RESPONSES CAN BE PROVIDED.	
JT6.7	ENBRIDGE TO ADVISE AS TO HOW IT WILL FORM A REVENUE SPLIT OF THE TWO COMPONENTS OF A DAWN TO ENBRIDGE CDA EXCHANGE.	
JT6.8	WHAT IS THE LARGEST DEFICIT THAT ENBRIDGE HAS EVER MITIGATED IN TERMS OF DESIGN DAY DEMAND VERSUS DESIGN DAY CAPACITY ON THE DAWN PARKWAY TRANSMISSION SYSTEM, MEASURED IN CUBIC METRES A DAY, AS FAR AS THERE ARE READILY ACCESSIBLE RECORDS. (B) TO CONFIRM THAT DELIVERIES MADE TO PARKWAY BY THIRD PARTIES, OVER AND ABOVE PARKWAY DELIVERY OBLIGATION, WAS THE WAY THAT ENBRIDGE WAS ABLE TO MANAGE THE DEFICIT WITHOUT ACQUIRING	


	ADDITIONAL RESOURCES	
JT6.9	TO INDICATE PRECISELY HOW MANY DEGREES WARMER ARE THE COLDEST DAYS IN FIGURE 2, ACCORDING TO THIS TREND LINE, NOW VERSUS 1952	
JT6.10	SIMILAR TO JT6.9, TO PROVIDE FIGURES FOR TORONTO.	
JT6.11	ON A BEST-EFFORTS BASIS, PROVIDE MORE DETAIL ON HOW THAT DEFICIT WAS MITIGATED, INCLUDING A BREAKDOWN OF HOW MUCH OF IT WAS DUE TO COMPRESSOR FUEL AND HOW MUCH OF IT WAS DUE TO REPLENISHING THE LINE PACK AND HOW MUCH OF IT WAS DUE TO, FOR EXAMPLE, OTHER MECHANISMS LIKE OBTAINING GAS THROUGH OTHER DELIVERY POINTS.	
JT6.12	ONCE ENBRIDGE HAS EXHAUSTED ALL OTHER ALTERNATIVES TO ADDRESS A DEFICIT IN PEAK CAPACITY VERSUS PEAK DEMAND, SUCH AS SPOT GAS, AND YOU NEED TO CURTAIL LOAD, PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW YOU WOULD DO THAT AND WHETHER YOU WOULD START BY CURTAILING LARGE INDUSTRIAL LOADS.	
JT6.13	TO PROVIDE TABLE 1 ON PAGE 3 OF 4.2-ED-118 ADDING THE SYSTEM CAPACITY IN A COLUMN, AND FOR COLUMN B, MAKING ADJUSTMENTS AS NECESSARY TO BRING IT AS CLOSE AS IS FEASIBLE TO THE METHODOLOGY CURRENTLY USED IN THE ENBRIDGE RATE ZONE.	
JT6.14	ON A BEST-EFFORTS BASIS, TO PROVIDE A TABLE FOR 2023/24 TO 2031/32 WITH ROWS FOR SYSTEM CAPACITY THE DESIGN DAY DEMAND ACCORDING TO THE PROPOSED HARMONIZED METHODOLOGY AND THE DESIGN DAY DEMAND IF ENBRIDGE WERE TO REMAIN WITH THE CURRENT METHODOLOGIES, PLURAL, I.E. MEANING THE OBTAINING THE EXISTING METHODOLOGIES IN THE UNION AND ENBRIDGE RATE ZONES. TO EXCLUDE FUTURE INFRASTRUCTURE BUILDS WHEN EVALUATING THE SYSTEM CAPACITY. MR. ELSON: For both can you exclude future infrastructure builds when evaluating the system capacity?	
JT6.15	TO PROVIDE THE PROPOSED DESIGN DAY TEMPERATURES IN DEGREES CELSIUS FOR EACH WEATHER STATION WITH AND WITHOUT THE WIND SPEED ADJUSTMENT.	
JT6.16	TO CONFIRM WHETHER ANY ONTARIO-PRODUCED GAS, CONVENTIONAL PRODUCER GAS, ANYONE UNDER THE M13 TRANSPORTATION AGREEMENT OR GAS PURCHASE AGREEMENTS	

JT6.17	TO ADVISE AS TO WHETHER THE ST. CLAIR, BLUEWATER, AND OJIBWAY TO DAWN COUNTER-FLOW CAPACITY RELIED UPON BY ENBRIDGE IS FIRM AND OBLIGATED	
JT6.18	TO PROVIDE A BREAKDOWN OF THE CONTINGENCY FIGURES.	
JT6.19	TO POINT OUT WHERE THE CHANGE IN STORAGE STRATEGY IS INDICATED IN THE FIRVE YEAR GAS SUPPLY PLAN.	
JT6.20	WITH THE INVENTORY LEVELS OF 18.5 PJS AND THE PLANNED FEBRUARY DELIVERIES, AND NO INCREMENTAL DELIVERIES, CAN ENBRIDGE PROVIDE THE DELIVERABILITY REQUIRED TO MEET ALL OF ITS FIRM CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS TO ITS NON-UTILITY CUSTOMERS, OUT OF TECUMSETH.	
	Day 7 – March 30, 2023	
JT7.1	TO RESPOND TO THE WRITTEN QUESTIONS OF CANADIAN BIOGAS ASSOCIATION FOR PANEL 7.	
JT7.2	TO PROVIDE A SCHEMATIC THAT SHOWS STORAGE ENHANCEMENT PROJECT KIND OF PRE- AND POST- TO SHOW HOW THE 317 TJS	
JT7.3	WHETHER ENBRIDGE HAS PROVIDED EVERYTHING THAT IT INTENDS TO IN RESPONSE TO THE DAWN TO CORUNNA DECISION AND SPECIFICALLY THE DIRECTION AT PAGES 2 AND 15 OF THAT DECISION.	
JT7.4	TO PROVIDE AN AVERAGE FIGURE FOR GAS PURCHASES OVER THE DECEMBER-JANUARY-FEBRUARY PERIOD, FOR THE LAST THREE TO FIVE YEARS	
JT7.5	ENBRIDGE TO INQUIRE OF ICF TO ANSWER PARTS (A) AND (C) OF FRPO 108, AND FURTHER TO ADVISE WHETHER ICF DID ANY SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS WHERE THEY ASSUMED FIXING GAS COSTS FOR LONGER PERIODS.	
JT7.6	TO PROVIDE A CROSS-REFERENCE DOCUMENTS REGARDING MANAGEMENT PRESENTATIONS AND ENBRIDGE INC. PRESENTATIONS, OR PRESENTATIONS TO EACH OF THOSE BODIES, THROUGH WHICH ENBRIDGE GAS DESCRIBED AND/OR SOUGHT APPROVAL FOR ADVANCING THIS APPLICATION.	
JT7.7	TO ADVISE WHETHER THERE ARE EXISTING DEFERRAL OR VARIANCE ACCOUNTS THAT CAN BE USED TO COLLECT REVENUES FROM THE CFR CREDITS.	

JT7.8	TO PROVIDE A TABLE THAT SHOWS, FOR EACH YEAR BETWEEN 2019 AND FORECAST THROUGH TO 2024, THE TOTAL AMOUNTS PAID FOR FORECAST TO BE PAID FOR EACH OF TRANSPORTATION AND STORAGE TO ENTITIES THAT ENBRIDGE OR ITS AFFILIATES HAVE AN OWNERSHIP STAKE DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY WITH, AND ALSO PROVIDE FOR BOTH TRANSPORTATION AND THIRD-PARTY SOURCE, THE TOTAL AMOUNTS THAT YOU PAID.	
JT7.9	TO RESPOND TO QUESTIONS FOR MERCER.  EGL_JT7.09-Attachment 1_20230406	April 6, 2023
JT7.10	TO RECONCILE THE STATEMENT IN 4.4-STAFF-138 WITH THE STATEMENT IN EXHIBIT 4-4-1, PARAGRAPH 19, RELATED TO CUSTOMER ADDITIONS.	
JT7.11	TO CONFIRM WHETHER HARDWARE OR SOFTWARE ASSETS HAVE BEEN FULLY DEPRECIATED AS PART OF MOVING TO AN AS-A-SERVICE MODEL.	
JT7.12	AS O&M COSTS INCREASE RELATED TO TIC COSTS, TO SHOW RELATED DECREASES IN CAPITAL COSTS.	
JT7.13	TO PROVIDE TOTAL COMPENSATION FOR 2013 TO 2017, ALLOCATED TO EACH OF OM&A AND CAPITALS	
JT7.14	TO CONFIRM THE PERCENTAGE OF ENBRIDGE'S UNIONIZED EMPLOYEES INCLUDED IN THE MERCER STUDY ARE AT THE STRUCTURED MAXIMUM, AND WHAT "STRUCTURED MAXIMUM" REFERS TO.	
JT7.15	IF ENBRIDGE WAS AT THE 50TH PERCENTILE, AS OPPOSED TO THE 6.3 PERCENT WHICH IS REFERENCED IN STAFF-164, TO ESTIMATE THE DIFFERENCE IN THE 2024 REVENUE REQUIREMENT	
JT7.16	(1) TO RESPOND TO THE OEB STAFF QUESTIONS THAT WERE FILED BY EMAIL ON TAX MATTERS. (2) ON A BEST EFFORTS BASIS, TO EXPAND THE ENBRIDGE RESPONSE TO PANEL 1 WITH RESPECT TO THE VARIOUS TAX CREDITS THAT WERE ANNOUNCED IN THE 2023 BUDGET.	
JT7.17	TO CONFIRM PENSION AND BENEFIT AMOUNTS PREVIOUSLY COVERED BY THE PTUVA ARE NOW RECOVERED THROUGH DVAS	
JT7.18	TO CONFIRM WHETHER A PENSION HOLIDAY IS EXPECTED FOR 2023 FOR THE EI RPP, EGD RPP, AND PENSION CHOICES PLANS.	
JT7.19	TO PROVIDE AN EXCEL WORKBOOK VERSION OF THE TIS	April 6, 2023

	CALCULATIONS SHOWN IN I.4.4-CME-38, PART (A)  EGI JT7.19-Attachment 1 20230406	
JT7.20	TO PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION AS TO THE MATERIAL VARIANCES AS SHOWN IN TABLE 12 OF UTILITY O&M, REFERENCE I.1.1-SEC-74, TABLE 1.	
JT7.21	TO BREAK DOWN THE CAPITAL ASSOCIATED WITH LAKESIDE PERFORMING SERVICES FROM THE LINE THAT WE SEE HERE, AND BREAK IT DOWN INTO THE TWO RESPECTIVE LEGACY ORGANIZATIONS, INCLUDING THE NUMBER OF UNITS PERFORMED AND THE COST PER UNIT FOR THOSE METER CHANGES. TO PROVIDES A FAIRLY SIMPLE METRIC TO SAY WHAT IS THE COST PER UNIT, OVER TIME, FOR BOTH ENBRIDGE AND UNION.	
JT7.22	FOR PROJECTS IN THE TABLE FOR WHICH THERE WAS NO COMPETITIVE PROCESS, TO PROVIDE A SIMPLE BREAKDOWN OF THE CONTRACT OR COST THAT LAYS OUT WHAT THE ESTIMATED COST WAS, WHAT THE ACTUAL COST WAS, AND WHERE THE INCENTIVES FLOWED BETWEEN THE COMPANY AND THE CONTRACTOR WHICH SHOWS US HOW RATEPAYER INTERESTS ARE PROTECTED.	
JT7.23	FOLLOWING FROM THE RESPONSE TO 5.3-IGUA-29, TO PROVIDE SIMILAR ANALOGOUS PRESENTATIONS FROM 2012 TO 2020	
JT7.24	(1) TO PROVIDE THE CREDIT RATINGS FOR THE CANADIAN OPERATING SAMPLE ON A SIMILAR BASIS AS CONCENTRIC IS PROVIDING IN ATTACHMENT 1 FOR THE OTHER THREE SAMPLE GROUPS. (2) FOR ALL OF THE 34 COMPANIES WHICH WERE COMPARED, THE MOST RECENT CREDIT RATING REPORTS THAT CONCENTRIC HAS ACCESS TO, IF SUCH EXISTS.	
JT7.25	FOR THE HOLDING COMPANIES REFERENCED IN 5.3-IGUA-55, PART (A), TO PROVIDE THE REVENUE AND TOTAL ASSETS FOR THE CANADIAN AND U.S. HOLDING COMPANY PROXY GROUPS.	
JT7.26	TO CONFIRM THERE WERE NO OTHER DECISIONS INCLUDED IN THE INFORMATION IN FIGURE 8 (REFERRING TO 5.3-IGUA-62); IF THERE ARE OTHERS, TO PROVIDE A REVISED RESPONSE OR PROVIDE THAT INFORMATION.	
	Day 8 – March 31, 2023	
JT8.1	TO INCLUDE IN A SEPARATE TABLE, THE AVERAGE	

	NUMBER OF INTERVENORS AND OEB STAFF AND THE AVERAGE NUMBER OF INTERROGATORIES FOR PIPELINE PROJECTS, WHERE LEAVE TO CONSTRUCT WAS SOUGHT WITH CAPITAL COSTS MORE THAN \$100 MILLION ON A BEST EFFORTS BASIS.	
JT8.2	TO ADVISE WITH THE EXCEPTION OF EB-2002 0293 WHETHER ANY OF THE PROJECTS LISTED HAS RESULTED IN A DENIAL OF RELIEF BY THE OEB IN ITS DECISION.	
JT8.3	TO RESPOND TO THE TAX-RELATED QUESTIONS PROVIDED BY OEB STAFF MARCH 31ST.	
JT8.4	<p>TO MAP THE COST ALLOCATION BY FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION AS FOUND IN EXHIBIT 7, TAB 2, SCHEDULE 1, ATTACHMENTS 8, 9 AND 10. MAPPING TO REVENUE REQUIREMENT COLUMN (E) IN EXHIBIT 8, TAB 2, SCHEDULE 8, ATTACHMENT 2 FOR EACH RATE CLASS.</p> <p> EGI_JT8.04-Attachment 1_20230406</p> <p> EGI_JT8.04-Attachment 2_20230406</p> <p> EGI_JT8.04-Attachment 3_20230406</p> <p> EGI_JT8.04-Attachment 4_20230418</p> <p> EGI_JT8.04-Attachment 5_20230418</p>	<p>April 6, 2023</p> <p>April 18, 2023</p>
JT8.5	ADD TO TABLE 1 THE REVENUE REQUIREMENT ALLOCATED TO RATE 1 AND RATE 10.	
JT8.6	TO PROVIDE THE BREAKDOWN INFORMATION BY RATE ZONE IN TERMS OF SYSTEM GAS AND DIRECT PURCHASE.	
JT8.7	<p>PROVIDE THE UNDERLYING EXCEL MODELS FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE BILL IMPACT TABLES FOR THE GENERAL SERVICE CLASSES.</p> <p> EGI_JT8.07-Attachments 1 & 2_20230412</p>	April 12, 2023
JT8.8	<p>TO ADD ADDITIONAL COLUMN TO SCHEDULE FOR THE GENERAL SERVICE RATE CLASSES AND M9 THAT INCLUDE THE PERCENTAGE TOTAL BILL IMPACT, INCLUDING DISPOSITION OF DEFERRAL AND VARIANCE ACCOUNTS, AS PROPOSED IN THIS APPLICATION.</p> <p> EGI_JT8.08-Attachment 1_20230412</p>	April 12, 2023
JT8.9	TO CORRECT THE FUNCTIONALIZATION OF THE DAWN TO CORRUNA PROJECT AND THE EXISTING PIPELINE BETWEEN TECUMSETH AND DAWN FROM THE FUNCTIONALIZATION OF DISTRIBUTION, WHERE IT	

	CURRENTLY IS INTO THE STORAGE FUNCTIONALIZATION. ALSO COMMIT TO FILE THE COST ALLOCATION STUDY IN EXCEL FORMAT.	
JT8.10	TO PROVIDE THE CONTINUITY INFORMATION JUST DISCUSSED.  EGI_JT8.10-Attachment 1_20230412	April 12, 2023
JT8.11	FILL OUT THE HARMONIZED E24 WITH THE INFORMATION THAT DOES EXIST ON THE RECORD THAT WAS FROM THE ORIGINAL EVIDENCE FILED NOVEMBER 30TH, 2002.	
JT8.12	ENBRIDGE PROPOSES THAT AS PART OF ITS UPDATED RESPONSE TO STAFF 237 WHERE THE ADDITIONAL COST ALLOCATION STUDY INFORMATION IS PROVIDED, ENBRIDGE WILL ALSO PROVIDE A NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF HOW IT WOULD PROPOSE TO CREATE ONLY TWO RATE ZONES, IF THAT WERE THE PATH FORWARD.	
JT8.13	PROVIDE FOR THE RATE CLASSES THE IMPACTS THAT ARE CAUSED BY COST ALLOCATION VERSUS EVERYTHING ELSE FOR ALL CLASSES	
JT8.14	ENBRIDGE TO PROVIDE ALL DATA WITH REFERENCE SOURCES AND THE METHODOLOGY USED TO DETERMINE THE O&M COSTS FOR EACH OF THE TYPICAL AND LARGE CUSTOMER STATIONS? PLEASE ENSURE THAT ALL RELEVANT ASSUMPTIONS ARE CLEARLY ARTICULATED; IN ADDITION: TO OUTLINE FURTHER WHAT DATA AND EVIDENCE ENBRIDGE HAS TO SUPPORT O&M COSTS OR WHAT THEY USED TO CALCULATE THEM IN THEIR STUDY.	
JT8.15	ADVISE WHAT IS THE DELINEATION OR CLASSIFICATION THAT REQUIRES A STATION TO HAVE A REMOTE TERMINAL UNIT.	
JT8.16	TO ADVISE, AS TO WHAT AGREEMENT, IF ANY, THERE HAS BEEN BETWEEN PRODUCERS AND ENBRIDGE TO THE PROPOSED NEW RATES. ADDITION: CHECK THE NOTES OF THOSE BEFORE YOU TO SEE IF THEY ACTUALLY HEARD THE REAL CONCERNS OF THE PRODUCERS.	