



Ontario
Energy
Board | Commission
de l'énergie
de l'Ontario

BY EMAIL

April 26, 2023

To: All Parties in EB-2022-0178

**Re: Entegrus Powerlines Inc. (Entegrus Powerlines)
Application to Amend Schedule 1 of an Electricity Distributor Licence
OEB File Number: EB-2022-0178**

The Ontario Energy Board (OEB) is writing to the parties today to address three matters in the above-captioned proceeding: the schedule set out in Procedural Order No. 2; confidentiality requests related to the evidence filed by Hydro One Networks Inc. (Hydro One) and Formet Industries, a division of Magna International Inc. (Formet Industries); and an April 20, 2023 request by Entegrus Powerlines to file supplementary evidence (and the responses from Hydro One and Formet Industries in this regard).

There are three parties to the above-captioned proceeding: Entegrus Powerlines; Hydro One; and Formet Industries.

Scheduling

For the reasons discussed below, the deadlines for the procedural steps outlined in Procedural Order No. 2 are postponed until further notice.

Confidentiality

Entegrus Powerlines requested confidential treatment of certain information contained in seven sections of its application, which pertain to the Formet Industries' load profile and energy use, and supply planning, pursuant to the OEB's *Practice Direction on Confidential Filings* (Practice Direction). The OEB made its findings on that request in Procedural Order No. 2, issued on April 5, 2023.

On April 17, 2023, both Hydro One and Formet Industries filed evidence with the OEB, requested confidential treatment of certain information contained in their evidence (and in the case of Formet Industries, asserted that certain information was personal

information), and filed redacted and unredacted versions of their evidence. The OEB will make a decision on confidentiality in due course.

Supplementary Evidence

By letter dated April 20, 2023, Entegrus Powerlines requested the OEB's approval to file supplementary evidence by May 5, 2023, addressing "the characterization of the application (responding to Hydro One's evidence about 2003 OEB decisions and about whether this is an LTLT); the implications of the MAADs Decision for St. Thomas (responding to Hydro One's evidence); the excess capacity of the facilities currently serving Formet (responding to Hydro One's and Formet's evidence); customer reliability impacts (responding to Hydro One's and Formet's evidence); and the relative costs of each distributor to serve Formet (responding to Hydro One's and Formet's evidence)".¹ Entegrus Powerlines also requested that the OEB schedule a settlement conference in this proceeding.

Both Hydro One and Formet Industries wrote to the OEB in response to the Entegrus Powerlines request, and both parties expressed concerns about the lack of details as to the nature and scope of the supplementary evidence. The OEB shares those concerns. Before it can make a determination on the request, the OEB requests that Entegrus Powerlines file with the OEB a detailed description of the nature of the supplementary evidence that it wishes to file, and the reasons for seeking to file this information at this time as opposed to being part of its application, by **April 28, 2023**. The OEB will then further consider Entegrus Powerlines' request to file supplementary evidence. The OEB will address Entegrus Powerlines' request for a settlement conference at a later date.

Yours truly,

Nancy Marconi
Registrar

¹ Entegrus Powerlines Letter, April 20, 2023, page 2