



PUBLIC INTEREST ADVOCACY CENTRE
LE CENTRE POUR LA DÉFENSE DE L'INTÉRÊT PUBLIC

February 17, 2017

VIA E-MAIL

Ms. Kirsten Walli
Board Secretary
Ontario Energy Board
P.O. Box 2319
2300 Yonge St.
Toronto, ON
M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

**Re: EB-2016-0105 Northern Ontario Wires 2017 Rates
Pre-Settlement Questions of Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition (VECC)**

Please find attached request for clarification to certain interrogatories. While the Board has not made provision for supplementary interrogatories, responses to these questions will help make more efficient the time of the upcoming Settlement Conference. As we continue to analyse the interrogatory responses we may have further questions which, if necessary, will be raised at the time of the Conference, or before if that is possible.

Yours truly,

M. Garner/for

Michael Janigan
Counsel for VECC

Geoffrey Sutton, Chief Financial Officer
Email: geoffs@nowinc.ca

1204-ONE Nicholas Street, Ottawa, ON K1N 7B7 Tel: 613-562-4002 Fax: 613-562-0007 piac@piac.ca www.piac.ca
Michael Janigan - Direct: 31 Hillside Avenue E, Toronto, ON M4S 1T4 Tel: 416-840-3907 mjanigan@piac.ca

REQUESTOR NAME	VECC
TO:	Northern Ontario Wires (NOW)
DATE:	February 17, 2017
CASE NO:	EB-2016-0096 2017 COS Application
APPLICATION NAME	PRE-SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE CLARIFICATION QUESTIONS

NB: Numbering continues from last VECC interrogatory.

3-VECC 49

Reference: Staff 29 c)

- a) Please confirm that the period referenced is December 2015-November 2016 (and not November 2015).
- b) Are the customer counts noted as of November 2016? If not, at what point in time are they based?

3-VECC 50

Reference: VECC 41

- a) It is noted that the EB-2012-0153 Settlement Agreement (pages 21-22) included LRAMVA threshold values for Street Lights and USL. Why were these classes and values not incorporated into to the LRAM calculations for the 2013 and 2014 calendar years?

7-VECC 51

Reference: Staff 49 a)

- a) It is noted that in the analysis supporting the weighting factors the relative cost weights used for each activity are either 1 or 0 per customer. Please explain why this is the case – particularly for each of the following activities:
 - Sensus
 - Collections Department
 - Billing Department
 - Utilismart

8-VECC 52

Reference: Staff 54

- a) Please provide a schedule indicating what the 2017 LV charges for each class would be if the forecast cost (\$195,000) was allocated to rate classes on the same basis as 2017 transmission connection revenue.

END OF DOCUMENT