

79 Wellington St. W., 30th Floor Box 270, TD South Tower Toronto, Ontario M5K 1N2 Canada P. 416.865.0040 | F. 416.865.7380 www.torys.com

Jonathan Myers jmyers@torys.com P. 416.865.7532

September 28, 2023

## **RESS & EMAIL**

Ontario Energy Board P.O. Box 2319 2300 Yonge Street, 27th Floor Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Attention: Nancy Marconi, Registrar

Dear Ms. Marconi:

Re: EB-2023-0168: Wataynikaneyap Power LP ("WPLP") – Application for Approval of 2024 Electricity Transmission Rates (the "Application") – Request for Confidential Treatment of Information in Interrogatory Responses

We are legal counsel to WPLP, the applicant in the above-referenced proceeding. WPLP filed its interrogatory responses on September 28, 2023. Pursuant to the OEB's *Practice Direction on Confidential Filings* (the "Practice Direction"), WPLP hereby requests the confidential treatment of certain information contained in its interrogatory responses that relates directly to the Engineering, Procurement and Construction (EPC) contract pursuant to which WPLP's transmission system is being constructed (the "Confidential Information"). The Confidential Information has been redacted in the public version of the interrogatory responses filed with the OEB. In particular, the requests relate to 9 interrogatory responses identified below.

As noted in the Application, and in WPLP's confidentiality request related to the Application, WPLP continues to be engaged in negotiations with its EPC contractor regarding COVID-related costs and schedule impacts under the EPC contract. The Confidential Information relates to these aspects and certain contract change requests, which are under review or at different stages of resolution as between the parties.

WPLP is requesting confidential treatment of the Confidential Information because its disclosure on the public record would significantly interfere with the discussions, and materially prejudice WPLP's position in those discussions and in any resolution process that may be required in the event the discussions do not resolve the outstanding issues between the parties on a final basis. Moreover, because of the nature of the Confidential Information, its disclosure on the public record would be likely to produce a significant loss or gain to one or both of the parties to the discussions. While the Confidential Information is not presumptively confidential under Appendix B to the Practice Direction, each of the foregoing is a consideration set out in subsection (a) of Appendix A to the Practice Direction.

The only information in the interrogatory responses for which WPLP is requesting confidential treatment is the Confidential Information, and WPLP is not requesting confidential treatment of such information for any reasons other than as set out above. The parts of the interrogatory responses containing the Confidential Information are set out in the following table.

IRR	Pages	Presumed Confidential	Basis for Claim	Reasons
OEB Staff – 10 (part (a) of response)	1 (of 2)	No	<ul> <li>Interference with ongoing commercial discussions</li> <li>Material prejudice to WPLP's position in the commercial discussions and any potential dispute resolution process</li> <li>Prejudicing a person's competitive position</li> <li>Likelihood of significant loss or gain to a person</li> </ul>	The proposed redactions relate directly to matters at issue in the discussions with the EPC contractor
OEB Staff – 11 (parts (a), (b), (f) and (g) of response)	2 to 5 (of 5)	No	<ul> <li>Interference with ongoing commercial discussions</li> <li>Material prejudice to WPLP's position in the commercial discussions and any potential dispute resolution process</li> <li>Prejudicing a person's competitive position</li> <li>Likelihood of significant loss or gain to a person</li> </ul>	The proposed redactions relate directly to matters at issue in the discussions with the EPC contractor
OEB Staff – 15 (part (a) of response)	1 and 2 (of 2)	No	<ul> <li>Interference with ongoing commercial discussions</li> <li>Material prejudice to WPLP's position in the commercial discussions and any potential dispute resolution process</li> <li>Prejudicing a person's competitive position</li> <li>Likelihood of significant loss or gain</li> </ul>	The proposed redactions relate directly to matters at issue in the discussions with the EPC contractor and/or which enable back calculation of amounts at issue in the discussions

				to a person	
OEB Staff – 36 (part (a) of response)	1 (of 1)	No	•	Interference with ongoing commercial discussions Material prejudice to WPLP's position in the commercial discussions and any potential dispute resolution process Prejudicing a person's competitive position Likelihood of significant loss or gain to a person	The proposed redactions relate directly to matters at issue in the discussions with the EPC contractor
OEB Staff – 39 (part (b) of response)	2 (of 2)	No	•	Interference with ongoing commercial discussions Material prejudice to WPLP's position in the commercial discussions and any potential dispute resolution process Prejudicing a person's competitive position Likelihood of significant loss or gain to a person	The proposed redactions relate directly to matters at issue in the discussions with the EPC contractor
OEB Staff – 40 (part (a) of response)	2 (of 2)	No	•	Interference with ongoing commercial discussions Material prejudice to WPLP's position in the commercial discussions and any potential dispute resolution process Prejudicing a person's competitive position Likelihood of significant loss or gain to a person	The proposed redactions relate directly to matters at issue in the discussions with the EPC contractor
OEB Staff – 41 (parts (b) and (c) of response)	2 (of 5)	No	•	Interference with ongoing commercial discussions Material prejudice to WPLP's position in the commercial	The proposed redactions relate directly to matters at issue in the discussions with the EPC

			discussions and any potential dispute resolution process  • Prejudicing a person's competitive position  • Likelihood of significant loss or gain to a person
OEB Staff – 41 (Appendix A to part (b) of response)	28, 29, 31, 33 to 40 and 42 to 48 (of 48)	Yes	<ul> <li>Pricing information of a third party</li> <li>Prejudicing a person's competitive position</li> <li>Likelihood of significant loss or gain to a person</li> <li>The proposed redactions relate to information that discloses third party pricing information of the EPC contractor</li> </ul>
OEB Staff – 42 (parts (b) and (c) of response)	2 to 4 (of 4)	No	<ul> <li>Interference with ongoing commercial discussions</li> <li>Material prejudice to WPLP's position in the commercial discussions and any potential dispute resolution process</li> <li>Prejudicing a person's competitive position</li> <li>Likelihood of significant loss or gain to a person</li> </ul>
OEB Staff – 43 (part (a) of response)	2 (of 3)	No	<ul> <li>Interference with ongoing commercial discussions</li> <li>Material prejudice to WPLP's position in the commercial discussions and any potential dispute resolution process</li> <li>Prejudicing a person's competitive position</li> <li>Likelihood of significant loss or gain to a person</li> </ul>

Unredacted, confidential copies of the interrogatory response excerpts containing the Confidential Information are provided in Appendices "A" to "J" below.

## **Access to Confidential Information**

Consistent with the Practice Direction, it is WPLP's expectation that access to confidential information in this proceeding will only be available to representatives of parties that file Declarations and Undertakings in the prescribed form. As no parties have filed Declarations and Undertakings, it is WPLP's expectation that access to confidential information would therefore only be available to OEB staff that are involved directly in this proceeding and that such staff would be under equivalent obligations in respect of confidentiality notwithstanding that they are not required to file Declarations and Undertakings. Moreover, in respect of any Declarations and Undertakings that may be filed, WPLP reserves its right to make submissions regarding the need for limitations on access to any of the confidential information.

Yours truly,

Jonathan Myers

cc: Ms. Margaret Kenequanash, WPLP

Mr. Duane Fecteau, WPLP Mr. Charles Keizer, Torys

Appendi accorda	ices A to J have been file ance with the <i>Practice Di</i>	d confidentially witl rection on Confider	n the OEB in ntial Filings