



**Haris Ginis**  
Technical Manager  
Leave to Construct Applications  
Regulatory Affairs

tel 416-495-5827  
[haris.ginis@enbridge.com](mailto:haris.ginis@enbridge.com)  
[EGIRegulatoryProceedings@enbridge.com](mailto:EGIRegulatoryProceedings@enbridge.com)

**Enbridge Gas Inc.**  
500 Consumers Road  
North York, Ontario  
M2J 1P8

## **VIA EMAIL and RESS**

November 20, 2023

Nancy Marconi  
Registrar  
Ontario Energy Board  
2300 Yonge Street, Suite 2700  
Toronto, Ontario, M4P 1E4

Dear Nancy Marconi:

**Re: Enbridge Gas Inc. (“Enbridge Gas” or the “Company”)  
Ontario Energy Board (“OEB”) File No. EB-2022-0157  
Panhandle Regional Expansion Project  
Response to the Federation of Rental-housing Providers of Ontario’s  
 (“FRPO”) Additional Undertaking Requests**

---

Enbridge Gas is in receipt of FRPO’s letter dated November 14, 2023. Within the letter, FRPO makes two additional undertaking requests which FRPO claims it failed to request during its cross examination of utility witnesses during the hybrid hearing:

1. To provide the simulation results (in the same format as Exhibit I.FRPO.18) for the hybrid alternatives in Table 4 at Exhibit C, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Page 18.
2. To extend Table 4 at Exhibit C, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Page 18 to include a scenario which assumes 37 TJ/d of available Ojibway to Dawn exchange, to shorten the length of the NPS 36 based on this incremental capacity, and to update the facility costs accordingly. FRPO also requests that Enbridge Gas provide the simulation results of this scenario in the same format as Exhibit I.FRPO.18.

Enbridge Gas will provide a response to FRPO’s first request above and will make best efforts to file the response with the Company’s hybrid hearing undertaking responses due November 22, 2023.

It is Enbridge Gas’s position that it not be required to provide a response to FRPO’s second request above on the basis that:

- 37 TJ/d of Ojibway to Dawn exchange is not available and therefore the alternative posed by FRPO is not technically viable.<sup>1</sup> Additionally, relying on an alternative that is not technically viable does not align with Enbridge Gas’s Risk Management criterion within the Company’s alternatives Assessment Criteria.<sup>2</sup>
- Establishing facility cost information for the pipeline length proposed by FRPO is not a simple exercise and Enbridge Gas does not have cost information for that scenario.

---

<sup>1</sup> Hybrid Hearing, Day 2 Transcript, p. 87, lines 2 – 15; and Exhibit J2.5.

<sup>2</sup> Hybrid Hearing, Day 2 Transcript, p. 86, lines 7 – 19; and Exhibit C, Tab 1, Schedule 1, pp. 3 – 4.

If you have any questions, please contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,

Haris Ginis  
Technical Manager, Leave to Construct Applications

c.c. Charles Keizer (Torys)  
Tania Persad (Enbridge Gas Counsel)  
Zora Crnojacki (OEB Staff)  
Intervenors (EB-2022-0157)