Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

ALTERNATION CONTRACTOR

AND TRUE AND A PROPERTY OF A STATE OF A STAT

Energy savings (kWh):

Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:

Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh):

Fuel type:

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D.	Actual Program Costs:		Reporting Year	Cumulative Life to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:		,并可的數是新型條件。
		Incremental O&M:	4. 学术的发生的现在分词	气点是可透射器 计数据数据数据
		Incentive:	\$ 1,100.00	\$ 4,147.00
		Total:	\$ 1,100.00	\$ 4,147.00
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital:		and a Medical Section of the second
		Incremental O&M:		
		Total:		FOR PASSENGER MEDICAL

Assumptions & Comments:

Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year,

Denote the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the Year.

I.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.

For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LOC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run an incentives program are program costs, and are to be included as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

(complete this Appendix for each program)

A. Name of the Program:

Residential - Recycling Program - Ranges/Ovens

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Newmarket Hydro customers are encouraged to retire old and inefficient appliances. Our customers are reimbursed for the full cost of an appliance pick-up tag purchased at the local Municipal office. A rebate of \$11.00 is applied to their Newmarket Hydro account for each appliance pick-up tag purchased.

Measure(s):

	Measure 1	Measure 2 (If applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology:	55	(0) F1985 P	CONTRACTOR OF THE
Efficient technology:		ORANGE STANISTE CAR	PARTELANDY CHAPTLANDS
Number of participants or units delivered for reporting year:		0.	
Measure life (years):		6 (NICE NAME OF SALES OF TO THE	
Number of Participants or units delivered life to date	38	ARTHUR EN	的原金额到

B. TRC Results:		Reporting Year	Life-to-date TRC Results:
¹ TRC Benefits (\$):			34911.84
² TRC Costs (\$):			
	Utility program cost (excluding incentives):	14.0%以下:形式(19 4 10)。	ACCUMINATION OF THE PROPERTY O
	Incremental Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)		19050.00
	Total TRC costs:		19050.00
Net TRC (in year CDN	\$):		15861.84

Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):

1.83

C. Results: (one or more category may apply)

Cumulative Results:

Conservation Programs:

Demand savings (kW):

Summer

Winter

lifecycle

n year

Cumulative Lifecycle Cumulative

628650

Annual Savings 104775

Energy saved (kWh):

Other resources saved:

Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify):

Demand Management Programs:

Controlled load (kW)

Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Demand Response Programs:

Dispatchable load (kW):

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

Power Factor Correction Programs:

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

Energy savings (kWh):

Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:

Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh):

Fuel type:

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D.	Actual Program Costs:		Reporting Year	Cumulative Life to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:		CAMBINET YEAR CALL
		Incremental O&M:		Circle of Flancis Andrew
		Incentive:		\$ 4,191.00
		Total:		\$ 4,191,00
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital:	the state of the s	reveni e no diatra armoni.
		Incremental O&M:		
		Total:		

E. Assumptions & Comments:

Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.

For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run an incentives program are program costs, and are to be included as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

(complete this Appendix for each program)

Name of the Program:

Residential - Recycling Program - Refrigerators

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Newmarket Hydro customers are encouraged to refire old and inefficient appliances. Our customers are reimbursed for the full cost of an appliance pick-up tag purchased at the local Municipal office. A rebate of \$11.00 is applied to their Newmarket Hydro account for each appllance pick-up tag purchased

Measure(S):
----------	---	----

	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology:	1200		\$ 72%。G.J.J.J.J.J.J.J.J.
Efficient technology:	o the talk is a similar	MERCANDAR SHE	THE THE PARTITION
Number of participants or units			
delivered for reporting year:	256		
Measure life (years):	6		

Number of Participants or units delivered life to date

B. TRC Results:		Reporting Year	Life-to-date TRC Results:
TRC Benefits (\$):		\$ 110,030.32	431.131.65
² TRC Costs (\$):			
	Utility program cost (excluding incentives):	 Service and the service of the servi	LEADER WAS A STATE OF THE STATE
	Incremental Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)		
	Total TRC costs:	\$ 23,040.00	90720.00
Net TRC (in year CDN :	S):	\$ 86,990.32	340411.65

Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):

C. Results: (one or more category may apply)

Cumulative Results:

Conservation Programs:

Demand savings (kW):

Cumulative Lifecycle

Cumulative

Energy saved (kWh):

6531591

Annual Savings

Other resources saved:

1658631

1088599

Natural Gas (m3):

Other (specify): .

Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW)

Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Demand Response Programs:

Dispatchable load (kW):

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

Power Factor Correction Programs:

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

Sanda Tababah dabat tan Gattawi Meraja

Energy savings (kWh):

Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:

Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D. Actual Program Cos Utility direct costs (\$)		Reporting Year \$ 2,816.00 \$ 2,816.00	\$ 11,088.00
Utility Indirect costs (\$): Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:		

Assumptions & Comments:

Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units lines the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.

For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run an incentives program are program costs, and are to be included as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

(complete this Appendix for each program)

A. Name of the Program:

Residential - TOU Pilot Programmable Thermostats

Description of the program (including Intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

As part of our Time-of-Use pilot project, beginning in the summer of 2006, Newmarket Hydro has installed programmable thermostals

were scheduled in the summer of 2007. (reduction. Full details are provided in a N	k periods. Installations w One yielded at .48kW / c	p which allows Newma ere completed in Septe ustomer reduction and	rket Hydro to mber 2006 T the second vic	wo demand res	ls' air ponse events customer
Measure(s):					
	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if a	pplicable)	Measure 3	(if applicable)
Base case technology:	A CONTRACTOR AND A SECOND		F1271		3. 4 Jan
Efficient technology: Number of participants or units	i Maria (d. 1822) (d. 1914) (d. 1914) 1920 - Nacional Maria (d. 1914)	931 - 12 NZ, FLN &		id of all the	23.25 A. ()
delivered for reporting year:	州、沙安等等等。	121		\$ \$ E. A.	
Measure life (years):					
Number of Participants or units delivered life to date		121		áilts is.	
TRC Results:		Reporting	Year	Life-to-date	TRC Results:
TRC Benefits (\$): TRC Costs (\$):			TVIDE A		24527.
	m cost (excluding incentive		3 . 1 . 1	机整线 电路	21 to 550 to 1540
Incremental Mea	sure Costs (Equipment Cos	ts)		of Profits	6534.
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	Total TRC cos	sts:	144	<u> </u>	6534
That The (mydar obit s).					17993.
Results: (one or more category may app	oly)				
Conservation Programs:				Cumulat	iye Results:
Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW):	Summer			Cumulati	
	Summer Winter	arriging	e object	Cumulati	
	Winter			Cumulative	31 Cumulative
Demand savings (kW):		in yea	r	Cumulative Lifecycle	319 Cumulative Annual Savin
	Winter	in yea		Cumulative	3.19 Cumulative
Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved: Natural Gas (m3):	Winter	in yea	r r · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Cumulative Lifecycle	319 Cumulative Annual Savin
Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved:	Winter	in yea		Cumulative Lifecycle	319 Cumulative Annual Savin
Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved: Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs:	Winter	in yea		Cumulative Lifecycle	31 Cumulative Annual Savin
Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved: Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW)	Winter lifecycle	in yea		Cumulative Lifecycle	319 Cumulative Annual Savin
Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved: Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kW	Winter lifecycle /h):	in yea		Cumulative Lifecycle	31 Cumulative Annual Savin
Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved: Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kW)	Winter lifecycle /h): h):	in yea		Cumulative Lifecycle	31 Cumulative Annual Savir
Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved: Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kW)	Winter lifecycle /h): h):	in yea		Cumulative Lifecycle	31 Cumulative Annual Savir
Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved: Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kW) Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kW) Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kW) Demand Response Programs:	Winter lifecycle /h): h):	in yea		Cumulative Lifecycle	31 Cumulative Annual Savir
Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved: Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kW) Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kW) Demand Response Programs: Dispatchable load (kW):	Winter lifecycle /h): h):	in yea	85.91	Cumulative Lifecycle	31 Cumulative Annual Savir
Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved: Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kW) Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kW) Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kW) Demand Response Programs:	Winter lifecycle /h): h):	in yea		Cumulative Lifecycle	31 Cumulative Annual Savir
Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved: Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kW. Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kW. Demand Response Programs: Dispatchable load (kW):	Winter lifecycle /h): h):	in yea		Cumulative Lifecycle	31 Cumulative Annual Savir

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%): Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):

Line Loss Reduction Programs:

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in vear

点的主要主要的基础。1917年中**经不是**的证据的

Energy savings (kWh):

Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:

Amount of DG installed (kW); Energy generated (kWh); Peak energy generated (kWh);

Fuel type:

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D.	Actual Program Costs; Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital; Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:	\$ 22,446.00	Cumulative Life to Date \$ 141,258.00 \$ 141,258.00
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:		

E. Assumptions & Comments:

Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year.

Le. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.

For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a

² For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rabates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run an incentives program are program costs, and are to be included as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

(complete this Appendix for each program)

A.	Name	of th	e P	rogram:
----	------	-------	-----	---------

Affordable/Social Housing - Overall

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Newmarket Hydro was involved with five electrically heated social housing complexes in Newmarket. The units received energy audits, hot water heater upgrade, electric thermal storage heaters, door upgrades, caulking, Energy Star refrigerators, CFLs, weather stripping, Energy Star washers, and/or timers

Measure(s):

Base case technology:
Efficient technology:
Number of participants or units
delivered for reporting year:
Measure life (years):

Number of Participants or units delivered life to date

. TRC Results:

- TRC Benefits (\$):
- 2 TRC Costs (\$):

Reporting Year

Measure 2 (if applicable)

Life-to-date TRC Results:

Utility program cost (excluding incentives): Incremental Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)

Total TRC costs:

Net TRC (in year CDN \$):

Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):

Results: (one or more category may apply)

Cumulative Results:

Conservation Programs:

Demand savings (kW):

Summer

Winter

lifecycle

in year

Cumulative Lifecycle

Cumulative Annual Savings

Energy saved (kWh):

Other resources saved:

Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify):

Demand Management Programs:

Controlled load (kW)

Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Demand Response Programs:

Dispatchable load (kW):

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

Power Factor Correction Programs:

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

AND THE WAR THE STATE OF THE ST

Reporting Year Cumulative Life to Date
\$ 368.742.00 \$ 385,116,25

Energy savings (kWh):

Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:

Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D. Actual Program Costs:

Utility direct costs (\$):

Incremental capital:

Incremental O&M:

Incentive:

Total:

Utility indirect costs (\$):

Incremental capital:

Incremental O&M:

Total:

E. Assumptions & Comments:

The cost breakdown for individual energy upgrades was not always possible. The total costs for all Social Housing work is shown on this overall program description. Individual program items do not have costing or incentives detailed, but do show measures and TRC results.

Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.

2 For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run an incentives program are program costs, and are to be included as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

(complete this Appendix for each program)

A. Name of the Program:

Affordable/Social Housing - Clothes Washer

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Newmarket Hydro was involved with five electrically heated social housing complexes in Newmarket. The units received energy audits, hot water heater upgrade, electric thermal storage heaters, door upgrades, caulking. Energy Star refrigerators, CFLs, weather stripping. Energy Star washers, and/or timers.

Measure(s):

	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology:	779	当我对自己不必知识证据,你	CONTRACTOR MARKAGE
Efficient technology:	701	MARINE TO WIND SO	LANGE THAT IS A PROPERTY OF
Number of particlpants or units delivered for reporting year:	20		PER PROPERTY
Measure life (years):	Fig. 73. 1884 1885 14.		we in the state of the
Number of Participants or units		· Anna Aa Ai Ai Ai Ai Aire	

TRC Results: TRC Benefits (\$):		. 6	Reporting Year	Life-to-date TRC Results: 963.48
TRC Costs (\$):		Þ	903.48	963.48
	Utility program cost (excluding Incentives):	٠	No reference in the Portion	or the Transfeld
	Incremental Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)	\$		1.800.00
	Total TRC costs:	\$		
Net TRC (in year CDN \$:	-\$	836.52	-836.52

Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):

0.54

0.54

C. Results: (one or more category may apply)

Cumulative Results:

Conservation Programs:

Demand savings (kW):

Summer Winter

0.76

. 0.76

Energy saved (kWh):

lifecycle

6 7 4

Cumulative Lifecycle Cumulative

Other resources saved:

19631

1402

-

19631

Annual Savings 1402

Natural Gas (m3):

Other (specify)

and Managament December

Demand Management Programs:

Controlled load (kW)

Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Demand Response Programs:

Dispatchable load (kW):

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

Power Factor Correction Programs:

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

Energy savings (kWh):

Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:

Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh):

Fuel type:

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D. Actual Program Costs;

Cumulative Life to Date

Utility direct costs (\$):

Incremental capital: Incremental O&M:

Incentive:

Total:

Utility indirect costs (\$):

Incremental capital:

Incremental O&M:

Total:

E. Assumptions & Comments:

The cost breakdown for Individual energy upgrades was not always possible. The total costs for all Social Housing work is shown on the "Overall" program description, individual program items do no have costing or incentives detailed, but do show measures and TRC results.

results.

Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred <u>and the technology has been deployed.</u> Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.

² For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run an incentives program are program costs, and are to be included as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

(complete this Appendix for each program)

Name of the Program:

Affordable/Social Housing - Weather Stripping

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Newmarket Hydro was involved with five electrically heated social housing complexes in Newmarket. The units received energy audits, hot water heater upgrade, electric thermal storage heaters, door upgrades, caulking, Energy Star refrigerators, CFLs; weather stripping, Energy Star washers, and/or timers.

Measure(s):

	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology:	18103		1. 30 探情光谱电池负令 1
Efficient technology:	17740	ed Weblakariba'd AA	 までは、できる。
Number of participants or units			Residenta en al deservición de la constanta de
delivered for reporting year:			
Measure life (years):		(他們的主意的任何,可以發展的音樂	
Number of Participants or units delivered life to date	139	Frank Bank	

RC Results:			Reporting)			date TRC Results:
1 TRC Benefits (\$):		\$	tere was ext	57,959.11	7	57959.11
² TRC Costs (\$):						
	Utility program cost (excluding incentives):				Teach	3.11.15.6 A.16.(2)或首
	Incremental Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)	\$	institution of	3,753.00		3,753.00
	Total TRC costs:	\$	ti, Mirin	3,753.00	1,11 1	3,753.00
Net TRC (in year CDI		\$		54,206.11		54206.1
Benefit to Cost Ratio	(TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):	7.		15.44	<	15.44

C. Results: (one or more category may apply)

Cumulative Results:

Conservation Programs;

Demand savings (kW):

Summer

Winter

Cumulative

Cumulative

Energy saved (kWh):

lifecycle

Lifecycle

Annual Savings

Other resources saved:

1358811

67941

1358811

Natural Gas (m3):

Other (specify):

Demand Management Programs:

Controlled load (kW)

Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Demand Response Programs:

Dispatchable load (kW):

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

Power Factor Correction Programs:

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

Reporting Year

Cumulative Life to Date

Energy savings (kWh):

Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:

Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh):

Fuel type:

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D. Actual Program Costs:

Utility direct costs (\$):

Incremental capital:

Incremental O&M:

Incentive: Total:

Utility indirect costs (\$):

Incremental capital: Incremental O&M:

Total:

E. Assumptions & Comments:

The cost breakdown for individual energy upgrades was not always possible. The total costs for all Social Housing work is shown on the "Overall" program description. Individual program terms do no have costing or incentives detailed, but do show measures and TRC results.

1 Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.

2 For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a

2 For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run an incentives program are program costs, and are to be included as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

(complete this Appendix for each program)

Name of the Program:

Affordable/Social Housing - Caulking

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Newmarket Hydro was involved with five electrically heated social housing complexes in Newmarket, The units received energy auditis, hol water heater upgrade, electric thermal storage heaters, door upgrades, caulking, Energy Star retrigerators, CFLs, weather hof water heater upgrade, electric tremma storage stripping, Energy Star washers, and/or timers

Measure(s):

•	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology:	18103	risa terpayani a	. TAKE THE TREET
Efficient lechnology:	17740	EN COME E BESTE SOFTE AND	THE PRINT THE LEVEL IN
Number of participants or units delivered for reporting year:	91		
Measure life (years):			for all participations

Number of Participants or units delivered life to date

B. TRC Results:		Reporting Year	Life-to-date TRC Results:
1 TRC Benefits (\$):		\$ 25,296,30	25296.3
² TRC Costs (\$):			
	Utility program cost (excluding incentives):	erwollingers: Jim	
	Incremental Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)	\$ 8,190.00	8,190.00
	Total TRC costs:	\$ 8,190.00	8.190.00
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):		\$ 17,106.30	17106.3

Results: (one or more category may apply)

Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):

Cumulative Results:

Conservation Programs:

Demand savings (kW):

Summer

Winter

lifecycle

Cumulative Lifecycle

Cumulative

29653

Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved: 593054

3.09

Annual Savings

Natural Gas (m3):

Other (specify):

Demand Management Programs:

Controlled load (kW)

Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Demand Response Programs:

Dispatchable load (kW):

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

Power Factor Correction Programs:

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

Reporting Year

PRESIDENCE OF THE REPORT OF A WOOD AND THE

Cumulative Life to Date

Energy savings (kWh):

Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:

Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh):

Fuel type:

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D. Actual Program Costs:

Utility direct costs (\$):

Incremental capital: Incremental O&M:

Incentive: Total:

Utility indirect costs (\$):

Incremental capital:

Incremental O&M:

Total:

E. Assumptions & Comments:

The cost breakdown for individual energy upgrades was not always possible. The total costs for all Social Housing work is shown on the "Overall", program description. Individual program Items do no have costing or incentives detailed, but do show measures and TRC results.

Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year.

Le. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.

² For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis, incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run an incentives program are program costs, and are to be included as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

(complete this Appendix for each program)

Name of the Program:

Affordable/Social Housing - Electric Thermal Storage Heaters

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Newmarket Hydro was involved with five electrically heated social housing complexes in Newmarket. The units received energy audits, hot water heater upgrade, electric thermal storage heaters, door upgrades, caulking, Energy Star refrigerators, CFLs, weather stripping, Energy Star washers, and/or timers.

M	0	a	5	u	re	9(5	5):	
---	---	---	---	---	----	-----	-----	--

	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology:	18103		THE CLOSE WINDS
Efficient technology:	16292		BARR STANDARDE BAY
Number of participants or units delivered for reporting year:		·····································	HOTER STREET STREET !
Measure life (years):	18		
Number of Participants or units delivered life to date	100		

TRC Results: TRC Benefits (\$):	. š · ·	Reporting Year	Life-to-date TRC Results: 23025.93
TRC Costs (\$):			
Utility program cost (excluding incentives	s).	ed was look at the for	RELIATION WOLFRENCH
Incremental Measure Costs (Equipment Cost			30,000.00
Total TRC cos	ts: \$	30,000.00	30.000.00
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	-\$	6.974.07	-6974.07

Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):

0.77

Results: (one or more category may apply)

Cumulative Results:

Conservation Programs:

Demand savings (kW):

Summer

Winter

lifecycle

Cumulative

Cumulative

Energy saved (kWh):

in year

Lifecycle

Annual Savings

Other resources saved:

325854

18103

Natural Gas (m3):

Other (specify):

Demand Management Programs:

Controlled load (kW)

Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Demand Response Programs:

Dispatchable load (kW):

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

Power Factor Correction Programs:

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

Reporting Year

the time the state of the state

Cumulative Life to Date

Energy savings (kWh):

Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:

Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh):

Fuel type:

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D. Actual Program Costs:

Utility direct costs (\$):

Incremental capital:

Incremental O&M: Incentive:

Total:

Utility indirect costs (\$):

Incremental capital:

Incremental O&M:

Total:

E. Assumptions & Comments:

The cost breakdown for individual energy upgrades was not always possible. The total costs for all Social Housing work is shown on the "Overall" program description. Individual program items do no have costing or incentives detailed, but do show measures and TRC results.

Benefits should be astimated if costs have been incurred <u>and</u> the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year.

i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.

² For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LOC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LOC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run an incentives program are program costs, and are to be included as TRC costs under the "Uffilty Program Costs" line.

(complete this Appendix for each program)

A. Name of the Program:

Affordable/Social Housing - Refrigerator Replacement

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Newmarket Hydro was involved with five electrically heated social housing complexes in Newmarket. The units received energy audits, hot water heater upgrade, electric thermal storage heaters, door upgrades, caulking. Energy Star refrigerators, CFLs, weather stripping, Energy Star washers, and/or timers.

Measure(s):			
	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology:	514		- ACT FOL GURUNDAN
Efficient technology:	440		
Number of participants or units delivered for reporting year:		也可能够到了一个一个。 1	
Measure life (years):			
Number of Participants or units		Ellista y distribution	· (18) (18) (18) (18) (18) (18) (18) (18)

TRC Results: TRC Benefits (\$): TRC Costs (\$):	Reporti \$		Life-to-date TRC Results: 4599.87
Utility program cost (excluding incentives):	St. 7 55 . *		FOUR TABLES
Incremental Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)	\$	4,536.00	4,536.00
Total TRC costs:	\$.	4,536.00	4.536.00
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	\$	63.87	63.87

Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):

1.01

1.01

C. Results: (one or more category may apply)

Cumulative Results:

Conservation Programs:

В.

Demand savings (kW): Summer 20.93 20.93 Winter Cumulative Cumulative Lifecycle **Annual Savings** lifecycle in vear Energy saved (kWh): 91109 91109 4795 4795

Other resources saved:

Natural Gas (m3):

Other (specify):

Demand Management Programs:

Controlled load (kW)
Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):
Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):
Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Demand Response Programs:

Dispatchable load (kW):

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

Power Factor Correction Programs:

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%): Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

Reporting Year

A PURE SANCTON DANS NOTES

Newtring Tean Summarive Line to Date

TIL TENEDLES EN POLICE UN DES LOTAR MANAGER POLICE DE LA FINA POLICE DE LA PROPERTIE DE LO COMPETANO DO TOLO ESPO DO LA POLICE

Cumulative Life to Date

Energy savings (kWh):

Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:

Amount of DG installed (kW); Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh):

Fuel type:

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D. Actual Program Costs:

Utility direct costs (\$):

Incremental capital:

Incremental O&M:

Incentive:

Total:

Utility indirect costs (\$):

Incremental capital:

Incremental O&M:

Total:

E. Assumptions & Comments:

The cost breakdown for individual energy upgrades was not always possible. The total costs for all Social Housing work is shown on the "Overall" program description, individual program items do no have costing or incentives detailed, but do show measures and TRC results.

Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.

² For technologies which have not been decloyed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run an incentives program are program costs, and are to be included as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

(complete this Appendix for each program)

A. Name of the Program:

Affordable/Social Housing - Hot Water Heater Replacement

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

	Newmarket Hydro was involved hot water fleater upgrade, elec- stripping, Energy Star washers	tric thermat storag , and/or timers.	je heaters, door upgi	radės, caul				lits.
	Measure(s):		loggues 1	Monny	en 2 lif annilands	N Maga	uusa 2 (if aaallaabla)	
	Base case technology: Efficient technology: Number of participants or units				re 2 (if applicable	e) Meas	sure 3 (if applicable)	
	delivered for reporting year: Measure life (years):		77 6	ALASA ATTA		or inversi Dieneral		
	Number of Participants or units delivered life to date	s	77					
	TRC Results: TRC Benefits (\$): TRC Costs (\$):				eporting Year 7,00		o-date TRC Results	
	L	, , ,	(excluding incentives):	40,48		424.3.39	* 94° (2 1 %	1.46×
	Increi	mental Measure Co	sts (Equipment Costs) Total TRC costs:	\$	1,82	8.75	1,828 1,828	3.75
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):		10,0,7,70	\$		2.42		2.42
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Be	nefits/TRC Costs,	:	VAC 1 E	National Control	3.83		3.83
C.	Results: (one or more categor	ry may apply)				Cu	mulative Results:	
	Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW):		Summer Winter	8.34	1461	Cumul	4.5	8.34
	Energy saved (kWh):	118503	lifecycle	19751	in year	Lifec 118503		0
	Other resources saved:						9. B 3	
	Matural Can	(m2)						100

Natural Gas (m3).

Other (specify):

Demand Management Programs:

Controlled load (kW)

Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Demand Response Programs:

Dispatchable load (kW):

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

Power Factor Correction Programs:

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):

Line	Loss	Red	uction	Programs:

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

nis lasta de la ciella de la ciela de la c

Energy savings (kWh):

Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:

Amount of DG Installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh):

Fuel type:

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D. Actual Program Costs:

Utility direct costs (\$):

Incremental capital:
Incremental O&M:
Incentive:
Total:

Incremental capital:

Utility indirect costs (\$):

Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:

E. Assumptions & Comments:

The cost breakdown for individual energy upgrades was not always possible. The total costs for all Social Housing work is shown on the "Overall" program description. Individual program items do no have costing or incentives detailed, but do show measures and TRC results.

Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.

² For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run an incentives program are program costs, and are to be included as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

(complete this Appendix for each program)

Name of the Program:

Affordable/Social Housing - CFLs

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Newmarket Hydro was involved with five electrically heated social housing complexes in Newmarket. The units received energy audits, hot water heater upgrade, electric thermal storage heaters; door Upgrades, caulking, Energy Star refrigerators, CFLs; weather ştripping, Energy Star washers, and/or timers.

Measure(s):

	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)		
Base case technology:	139	CENTRALATA CEREBRAS LA	TANK SAN		
Efficient technology:		ENDS DIMENTAL TO THE			
Number of participants or units delivered for reporting year:	1000				
Measure life (years):	1 7 5 12.21887 27 464				
Number of Participants or units delivered life to date	1000				

B. TRC Results:		Reporting Year	Life-to-date TRC Results:
¹ TRC Benefits (\$):		\$ 23,608.93	23608.93
² TRC Costs (\$):			
	Utility program cost (excluding incentives);		
In	ncremental Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)		
	Total TRC costs:	1,800.00	1.800.001
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):		\$ 21,808.93	21808.93

Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):

13.12

C. Results: (one or more category may apply)

Cumulative Results:

Conservation Programs:

Demand savings (kW):

Summer

Winter

Cumulative Lifecycle

Cumulative **Annual Savings**

Energy saved (kWh):

Other resources saved:

375840

375840 93960

Natural Gas (m3):

Other (specify):

Demand Management Programs:

Controlled load (kW)

Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Demand Response Programs:

Dispatchable load (kW):

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

Power Factor Correction Programs:

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

Energy savings (kWh):

Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:

Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh):

Fuel type:

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

Actual Program Costs:

Utility direct costs (\$):

Reporting Year Cumulative Life to Date Reporting Teal Cultivaries Life to Date Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total: Incremental capital:

Utility indirect costs (\$):

Incremental O&M: Total:

Assumptions & Comments:

The cost breakdown for Individual energy upgrades was not always possible. The total costs for all Social Housing work is shown on the "Overall" program description. Individual program items do no have costing or incentives detailed, but do show measures and TRC

¹ Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.

² For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LOC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run an incentives program are program costs, and are to be included as TRC costs under the "Utikly Program Costs" line.

(complete this Appendix for each program)

Name of the Program:

Affordable/Social Housing - Door Replacement

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Newmarket Hydro was involved with five electrically heated social housing complexes in Newmarket. The units received energy audits. hot water heater upgrade, electric thermal storage heaters; door upgrades, caulking, Energy Star refrigerators, CFLs, weather stripping, Energy Star washers, and/or timers.

Measure(s):

	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology:	18103	李华·阿尔德里 克爾斯爾	(1) 以下,这一种"数字"的"不是一样"
Efficient technology:		DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION	
Number of participants or units	- 国际的现在分类的 经		
delivered for reporting year:	5 112		TARREST TO THE
Measure life (years):		PROPERTY AND PROPERTY.	

Number of Participants or units delivered life to date

112

B. TRC Results: 1 TRC Benefits (\$): 2 TRC Costs (\$):			eporting Year 46,700,871	Life-to-date TRC Results: 46700.87
	Utility program cost (excluding incentives):	£/20±9/11	CONTRACTOR	1. WHO'S THE SHEET
	Incremental Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)			
	Total TRC costs:	\$	33,768.00	33,768.00
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	\$	12,932.87	12932.87

Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):

13.40 1 10. 13.4.38 1 17.7 1. 57.1 17.4 45.1.38

Results: (one or more category may apply)

Cumulative Results:

Conservation Programs:

Demand savings (kW):

Summer

Winter

Cumulative Lifecycle

Cumulative **Annual Savings**

Energy saved (kWh):

1094869

lifecycle

1094869

Other resources saved:

Natural Gas (m3):

Other (specify):

Demand Management Programs:

Controlled load (kW)

Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Demand Response Programs:

Dispatchable load (kW):

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

Power Factor Correction Programs:

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

Reporting Year

Personal Value of the Control of

Cumulative Life to Date

Energy savings (kWh):

Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:

Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh):

Fuel type:

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

Actual Program Costs:

Utility direct costs (\$):

Incremental capital:

Incremental O&M: Incentive:

Total:

Utility indirect costs (\$):

Incremental capital: Incremental O&M:

Total:

Assumptions & Comments:

The cost breakdown for individual energy upgrades was not always possible. The total costs for all Social Housing work is shown on the "Overall" program description. Individual program items do no have costling or incentives detailed, but do show measures and TRC

¹ Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year,

i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.

2 For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run an incentives program are program costs, and are to be included as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

(complete this Appendix for each program)

Name of the Program:

Business/Commercial/Industrial > 50Kw

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Newmarket Hydro was working with Ecosystem, an independent energy management company. Ecosystemprovides an analysis of Newmarket Hydro was working with Ecosystem, an independent energy management of the customer. Newmarket Hydro building mechanical systems and energy consumption to test for project feasibility at no cost to the customer. Newmarket Hydro building mechanical systems and energy consumption to test for project feasibility at no cost to the customer. Newmarket Hydro provides incentives for subsequent energy-efficient upgrades. Two projects were completed in 2007 a lighting retrofit and manufacturing process humidifier replacement.

Measure(s):

	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology:		6540	
Efficient technology:	2232	2724	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE
Number of participants or units delivered for reporting year:	254	.91	
Measure life (years):	2F 24 27 (74 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	AND AND ASSESSED.	ATT CLASSIA TO THE
Number of Participants or units delivered life to date	254	Qi	

B. IRC Results; ' TRC Benefits (\$): ' TRC Costs (\$):	(\$1)	Reporting Year 81,584.57	Life-to-date TRC Results: 212,699.33
Utility program cost (exclude			86.332.81
То	otal TRC costs: \$	41,398.06	*86,332,81
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	\$	40,186.51	126,366

Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):

\$ 1.971

Results: (one or more category may apply)

Cumulative Results:

Conservation Programs:

Demand savings (kW):

Summer Winter

Cumulative

Cumulative

Energy saved (kWh):

10805352

999036

Lifecycle 12482873

Annual Savings

Other resources saved:

Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify):

Demand Management Programs:

Controlled load (kW)

Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Demand Response Programs:

Dispatchable load (kW):

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

Power Factor Correction Programs:

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

WEIGHT CONTRACTORS OF STREET, AND STREET,

Energy savings (kWh):

Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:

Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh):

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

Fuel type:

D.	Actual Program Costs: Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:	\$ 66,508.00	Cumulative Life to Date 3 3,268,03 76,618,40 5 79,886,43
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital; Incremental O&M: Total:		

E. Assumptions & Comments:

Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.

² For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run an incentives program are program costs, and are to be included as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

(complete this Appendix for each program)

A.	Name of the Program:	Education Program		CAN TOTAL SERVE WE ALL
	Description of the program (inclu	uding intent, design, delivery, p	eartnerships and evaluation):	
	Newmarket Hydro is targeting all ci Home Show in the Sping of 2006 a school students created great awar	nd 2007 were opportunities to cre	eate conservation awareness. A on:	
	Measure(s):	Manaura 4	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Manager 2 (if applicable)
	Base case technology: Efficient technology: Number of participants or units delivered for reporting year: Measure life (years):	Measure 1 27000	wiedsure z (ir applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
	Number of Participants or units delivered life to date	8252		
	TRC Results: 1 TRC Benefits (\$): 2 TRC Costs (\$):		Reporting Year	Life-to-date TRC Results:
	Utility Increment	program cost (excluding incentives): al Measure Costs (Equipment Costs) Total TRC costs		
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):			A
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefit	s/TRC Costs):		Moderna, carefactor
C.	Results: (one or more category m	ay apply)		Cumulative Results:
	Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW):	Summer Winter		Cumulative Cumulative Lifecycle Annual Savings
	Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved :	lifecycle	in year	
	Natural Gas (m3 Other (specify			
	Demand Management Programs Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-pe Energy shifted On-peak to Off-pea Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-pe	ak (kWh): ak (kWh):		
	Demand Response Programs: Dispatchable load (kW): Peak hours dispatched in year (ho	ours):		
	Power Factor Correction Progra Amount of KVar installed (KVar): Distribution system power factor of Distribution system power factor of	at beginning of year (%):		

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

"增加的特殊的"至安全的企业的发展中华基础的特别

Energy savings (kWh):

Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:

Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh):

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

Fuel type:

D.	Actual Program Costs:		Reporting Year	Cumulative Life to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:		PERSONAL PROPERTY OF STREET
		Incremental O&M:	\$ 28,774.67	\$ 133,611.00
		Incentive:		A THE SALES
		Total:	\$ 28,774.67	\$ 139,611.00
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital:		
		Incremental O&M:		MEGENERALISMEN
		Total:	的产量提供 有效 表示。1.19	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE

E. Assumptions & Comments:

Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.

Le. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.

2 For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis, incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run an incentives program are program costs, and are to be included as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

(complete this Appendix for each program)

		,			p g. a	.,	
A.	Name of the Program:	System C	ptimization and Ana	lysis Projec	t 1 i.sbar	200 - 14 ° 1 ° 1	N. Samer of St.
	Description of the program	n (including inter	t, design, delivery,	partnershi	ps and evaluatio	n):	
	Newmarket Hydro performe losses. Study results should electricity wasted. The final	lead to the most of analysis indicated	cost effective way to that the system is co	operate the	distribution syste	m in order to reduce t	he amount of
	Measure(s):						
			Measure 1	Meas	sure 2 (if applicab	le) Measure 3	(if applicable)
	Base case technology:	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		٠ د اه	A CARLON		Mark to
	Efficient technology:	-14-					
	Number of participants or un delivered for reporting year:			1100			A
	Measure life (years):	1.2		and to the Control	12일 및 12 개최 ties - 12 기계 : 12		Soften To the C
	measure me (years).	· with.	t with the	Q 1. Ca.	a di ili da di Marani		Lington All Stratu
	Number of Participants or un	nits	3 . 3. 7. 1 - 346°	an an angar	CONTRACTOR OF	eracin error asse	91 (BOTTS 91) (887)
	delivered life to date		Maria di	1000	A (WE!		Marcheller,
						•	
В.	TRC Results:				Reporting Year	Life-to-date	e TRC Results:
	TRC Benefits (\$):			1 to 20			
	² TRC Costs (\$):						
		2.0	at (excluding incentives				Transfer and the second
	Inc	cremental Measure (Costs (Equipment Cost			A 24. 1. 16. 1	
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):		Total TRC cost	S.	PART AND P		()
	Net The Ill year Colv s).						
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC	Renefits/TRC Cos	ts)·	1.4.6		j .	Terry . The state of the state
	Donate Court tallo (1110	Donoma , red door		100 100			
C.	Results: (one or more cate	gory may apply)				Cumula	tive Results:
	Conservation Programs:						
	Demand savings (kW):		Summer				3 1 1
	zameno de mige (m.).		Winter	× 4			
				A 1 M		Cumulative	Cumulative
			lifecycle		in year	Lifecycle	Annual Savings
	Energy saved (kWh):				,		14.1
	Other resources saved:						
	Natural C	Gas (m3):		. 11.			
	Other	(specify):		1		tall a factor	G Fr
	D						
	Demand Management Pro	ograms:				· •	
	Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to	Adial manufa (IntA/In).				t wisten.	
	Energy shifted On-peak to Energy shifted Mid-peak to						
	Linergy sinited Mild-peak to	On-peak (KVVII):		. 4		FOR A Sec. 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Demand Response Progr	ams:					
	Dispatchable load (kW):	******		4 1		Y	F. 100
	Peak hours dispatched in y	rear (hours):				ministry of a con-	
		(. E . E . E . E . E . E . E . E . E . E	on the second second		

Power Factor Correction Programs: Amount of KVar installed (KVar):

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%): Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

e erbig signap befolik hanke orden ja

Energy savings (kWh):

Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:

Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh):

Fuel type:

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D.	Actual Program Costs: Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:	Reporting Year Cumulative Life to Date \$ 32,400.00 \$ 32,400.00 \$ 32,400.00 \$ 32,400.00
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M Total:	

E. Assumptions & Comments:

Benefits should be astimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.

For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LOC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LOC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run an incentives program are program costs, and are to be included as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

(complete this Appendix for each program)

A.	Name of the Program:	Program Develop	ment and Mor	itoring		il. Arcke		7 i .
	Description of the program (inclu	uding intent, desig	gn, delivery, p	artnerships	and evalu	ation):		
	Independent support to design, imp includes research, co-ordination an	plement and mainta d development of a	tin CDM progra all programs as	ams as well a s well as mor	as ongoing nitoring and	education p devaluation	rograms. Proje of results	ct management
	Measure(s):							
	Base case technology: Efficient technology: Number of participants or units delivered for reporting year: Measure life (years):	Measu	ire 1	Measur	e 2 (if app		Measure 3 (if applicable)
	Number of Participants or units delivered life to date							
В.	TRC Results: TRC Benefits (\$): TRC Costs (\$):				porting Y		Life-to-date	TRC Results:
	-	program cost (exclud al Measure Costs (Ed To						
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):		Null Trio dodio	× × × × × ×				
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefit	s/TRC Costs):			r.5g	% i	i Assili	e : Arali
C.	Results: (one or more category m	ay apply)					Cumulati	ve Results:
	Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh):	lifecy	Summer Winter		In year		Cumulative Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings
	Other resources saved:		, , , , , , ,			. 1.1		
	Natural Gas (m3 Other (specify			er er				
	Demand Management Programs Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-pe Energy shifted On-peak to Off-pea Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-pe	ak (kWh): ak (kWh):		233				
	Demand Response Programs: Dispatchable load (kW): Peak hours dispatched in year (ho	ours):		i te ja				
	Power Factor Correction Progra Amount of KVar installed (KVar): Distribution system power factor a		· (%):				t a se i to	

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

CONTAC 的扩张器与特性的证式存储器等为数据

Energy savings (kWh):

Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:

Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D. Actual Progra	ım Costs:		Reporting Year	Cumulative Life to Date
Utility direct co	osts (\$):	Incremental capital:	LO SANCTON FRANCIS	LIGHTON TO THE PERSON
		Incremental O&M:		\$ 141,776.08
		Incentive:		WARDERSTRIKE
		Total:		\$ 141,776.08
Utility indirect	costs (\$):	Incremental capital:		NAME IN STREET
		Incremental O&M:	e y a tua manaser de das	
		Total:		1945 1 300 8 30 8 30 1

E. Assumptions & Comments:

Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.

² For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run an incentives program are program costs, and are to be included as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

(complete this Appendix for each program)

Α.	Name of the Program:	Other Programs - A	dministrativ	ve Support	ta andani		.16%04.7%	
	Description of the program	ı (including intent, design	, delivery,	partnerships	and evaluatio	n):		
	Administrative Support to co support in conservation matt	ordinate and maintain all c ers	onservation	and demand	management p	rograms	. Also to provi	de customer
	Measure(s):							
	Base case technology: Efficient technology: Number of participants or un delivered for reporting year: Measure life (years):	Measure its		Measur	e 2 (if applicabl	enia Tana Tana	192	if applicable)
	Number of Participants or un delivered life to date	nits						
	TRC Results: 1 TRC Benefits (\$): 2 TRC Costs (\$):				porting Year	W.71.		TRC Results:
		Utility program cost (excludin remental Measure Costs (Equi Tota						
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	7018	I THO COST	3. National Sept.	and have self all arrests	CAN BE	of Living Control	
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC E	Benefits/TRC Costs):		(** 1 %)	si Hukki	20 r ;		il es exteller
C.	Results: (one or more categ	gory may apply)					Cumulati	ve Results;
	Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW):		Summer Winter	. j	endiga Japana		Cumulative	Cumulative
	Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved :	lifecycl		;	in year	Sign of	Lifecycle	Annual Savings
	Natural G Other (as (m3): specify):						
	Demand Management Pro Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to to Energy shifted On-peak to to Energy shifted Mid-peak to	Mid-peak (kWh): Off-peak (kWh):						
	Demand Response Progra Dispatchable load (kW): Peak hours dispatched in ye			8 \$				
	Power Factor Correction I Amount of KVar installed (K Distribution system power for	Var): actor at beginning of year (%):					

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

Energy savings (kWh):

Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:

Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D.	Actual Program Costs:
	Utility direct costs (\$):

Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total: Reporting Year Cumulative Life to Date

\$ 55,194.98 \$ 96,973.07

\$ 55,194.98 \$ 96,973.07

「大学」等には100m(電影は12mmの分割を含めて、144

[A. 15] 在15.基金的基本的基本的基本的基本的基本的基本的

STYLEBOOK WELKONING CONTROLS STREET

Utility indirect costs (\$):

Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:

E. Assumptions & Comments:

Benefits should be astimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.

Le. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.

2 For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run an incentives program are program costs, and are to be included as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

Appendix A - Evaluation of the CDM Plan

Highlighted boxes are to be completed manually, white boxes are linked to Appendix C and will be brought forward automatically.

Γay Hydro	Cumulative Totals Life-to- date	Total for 2007	Residential	Commercial	Institutional	Industrial	Agricultural	LDC System	4 Smart Meters	CDM Plan Development	Other.#2
Net TRC value (\$):	17,103	s -	\$ -	s -	s -	\$ -	\$ -	s -		\$ -	\$
Benefit to cost ratio:	7.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00
Number of participants or units delivered:	265				i işan e						
Lifecycle (kWh) Savings:	: 287,481	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0
Report Year Total kWh saved (kWh):	34,248	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0
Total peak demand saved (kW):	159	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0
Total kWh saved as a percentage of total kWh delivered (%):	0.04										
Peak kW saved as a percentage of LDC peak kW load (%):						min b					
Report Year Gross C&DM expenditures (\$):	45,900	\$ 4,092	\$ 724	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,468	s -	\$ 900	\$
2 Expenditures per KWh saved (\$/kWh):	1,34	\$ -	s -	\$ -	s -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -
3 Expenditures per KW saved (\$/kW):		\$ -	s -	\$ -	s -	\$ -	\$ -	s -		\$ -	\$ -

Utility discount rate (%)

Expenditures are reported on accrual basis.

Expenditures include all utility program costs (direct and indirect) for all programs which primarily generate energy savings.

[»] Expenditures include all utility program costs (direct and indirect) for all programs which primarily generate capacity savings.

Please report spending related to 3rd tranche of MARR funding only. TRC calculations are not required for Smart Meters. Only actual expenditures for the year need to be reported.

s Includes total for the reporting year, plus prior year, if any (for example, 2007 CDM Annual report for third tranche will include 2006, 2005 and 2004 numbers, if any.

Appendix C - Program and Portfolio Totals

Report Year:

2007 - Tay Hydro

1. Residential Programs

List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.

Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.

Note: To ensure the integrity of th		Total Peak	Report Year					
	TRC Benefits			Benefit/Cost	Report Year Total	Lifecycle (kWh)	Demand (kW)	Gross C&DM
	(PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefits		kWh Saved	Savings	Saved	Expenditures (\$)
School Conservation & Safety Promo	tion	1	\$ -	0.00	K K K King Soft Con-			
Education & Promotion - Overall	1705 M 1127 112 112		\$ -	0.00				\$ 686
Baseboard Programmable Thermosta	ats 1	9. Or all Million 1	\$ -		And the second of the second			
Dimmer Switch	A STATE OF THE STA		\$ -	0.00				
15w CFL Indoor Lights	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	en e	\$ -	0.00				
A/C Programmable Thermostats		Comment of the control of	\$ -					
Motion Detector		والإستان في الله الأراب	\$ -		MINERAL TO THE PROPERTY OF THE			
LED 5w Christmas Lights	F1 2 12 12 13 7	الاستناد المستنادة	\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program I		And the second second	\$ -					
Name of Program J	ATTER AND LONG TO	reflection of the second	\$ -		14.70 / A 14.40 C	Break Annual Control		\$ 724
*Totals App. B - Residential	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00				724
Residential Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program			•					
		A						
Total Residential TRC Costs **Totals TRC - Residential	(\$)	\$ -	\$. = 0.00				

2. Commercial Programs

List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.

Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.

,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	TRC Benefits (PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefits		kWh Saved	Lifecycle (kWh) Savings	Demand (kW) Saved	Gross C&DM Expenditures (\$)
Name of Program A	de la lateria de la		\$ -		2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
Name of Program B			\$ -	0.00	KIRLLIKO			
Name of Program C	1996 1997 1997	SETTING TO THE	\$ -	0.00	THUIS WITH			
Name of Program D	March Carlot	Charles of Participation (1997).	\$ -		TERMINE TRANSPORTER			
Name of Program E		Maria Landilli A		0.00	STEEL STATE OF THE	- mm		
Name of Program F		Martin A 12.3		0.00	WARRY TO THE COLD			
Name of Program G		waste May State			A SERVICE TO THE			
Name of Program H	Charleng at 1991 is		-	0.00			Lieur in Bereich Children	DA MARINA ASI
Name of Program I	With the state of	19 2 20 20	\$ -					
Name of Program J	A. 1982 A. 1983	· 医阿特克氏注册	\$	0.00	经 产于公司的公司	MESA: 200 (68) (9 +3)	和100mg (100mg)	· 20、10次10次10次10次10次10次10次10次10次10次10次10次10次1
*Totals App. B - Commercial	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00	0	0	(3 -

Report Year

Commercial Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program

Total TRC Costs	\$ -	
**Totals TRC - Commercial \$	\$ A\$ A\$ -1	0.00

3. Institutional Programs

List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.

Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.

Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.							Total Peak	Report Year
	TRC Benefits			Benefit/Cost	Report Year Total	Lifecycle (kWh)	Demand (kW)	Gross C&DM
	(PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefits	Ratio	kWh Saved	Savings	Saved	Expenditures (\$)
Name of Program A			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program B		and the second of the second o	\$ -	0.00	And the second s			A
Name of Program C	The Later of the second		\$ -	0.00		ANCESANT		بالنائف بالإفاقلك المنافل المنافل كراف فا
Name of Program D	AND THE SECTION		\$ -	0.00	Said the libraria	Maler 1999		1. M. Marie Land
Name of Program E	1491 T. 16 12	National Williams	\$ -	0.00		Minder Mind.		
Name of Program C	Town the American	المراجع المناطقة المن	\$ -	0.00	THE WAY THE THE PARTY OF			
Name of Program G			\$ -	0.00	THE HONORS	Control of the Contro		
Name of Program H				0.00	and harmy	TO BUILDING		Region of the Control
Name of Program I			\$ -	0.00	Control of the Control	CONTRACTOR ALL.		15.6 <u>- 11.1 - 12.1 - 12.1 1</u>
Name of Program J	And the second s	The state of the state of	\$ -	0.00	"事情"的"是"等上的"战争"的	党的编码的总统	位于2000年10日的	的数据以为第一型
*Totals App. B - Institutional	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00	0	0	C	\$ -
Institutional Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program								
Total TRC Costs **Totals TRC - Institutional	\$	\$ -	: \$:	0.00				

4. Industrial Programs

List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.

Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.

Note: To ensure the integrity of	Total Peak	Report Year						
•	TRC Benefits (PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefits	Benefit/Cost Ratio	kWh Saved	Lifecycle (kWh) Savings	Demand (kW) Saved	Gross C&DM Expenditures (\$)
Name of Program A	with the second		\$ -	0.00	A STANCE	MACTINE SALA	المحضر المرادة والمتشارك	
Name of Program C	Part Charles Comment	The said of the sa	. \$ -		TALMATELLY			
Name of Program C	34. 14. 14. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	en e	. \$ -					
Name of Program D	100		\$ -		ASSESSED TO THE PARTY OF THE PA			
Name of Program E	1200 to 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	I a location of feet to the form	\$ -	0.00	THE PROPERTY OF	A Zin Land Francisco		
Name of Program F	1 64 W 1922 From 1		\$ -					
Name of Program G	7070707F6 (84188)	The same of the sa	\$ -					Design
Name of Program H	Called States of the Control of the	The state of the state of	\$ -					BELLEVILLE RESE
Name of Program I		C. Production		0.00				
Name of Program J			\$ -	0.00		MOTOR SANDARA	KARATA TATA	
*Totals App. B - Industrial	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00	0	0		0 \$ -

Industrial Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program	
Total TRC Costs	\$
**Totals TRC - Industrial \$	\$ - \$ - 0.00

5. Agricultural Programs

List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.

Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.

Note: To ensure the integrity of the	e formulas, please	e insert the addition	nal rows in the middl	e of the list be	elow.		Total Peak	Report Year
	TRC Benefits			Benefit/Cost	Report Year Total	Lifecycle (kWh)	Demand (kW)	Gross C&DM
	(PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefits	Ratio	kWh Saved	Savings	Saved	Expenditures (\$)
Name of Program A	Acceptable to the		\$ -	0.00	CA CONTRACTOR			
Name of Program C	P*	The state of the s	\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program C			\$ -	0.00			-	
Name of Program D	e in a distance		\$ -	0.00	ELECTRICAL PROPERTY.	JEN LIEN	130172.4.50	
Name of Program E	- 1 3 5 3 5 1		\$ -	0.00	TANGER		INTERNATION.	
Name of Program F	September 1		\$ -	0.00	TELEPHONE STATE	SECTION 1	Barria Pilatan	a strategy in history of the form
Name of Program G			\$ -	0.00		THE PARTY OF THE P		
Name of Program H	Strain and the strain of the s	Marie Contract Contract	\$ -	0.00		Property Property		A STATE OF THE STATE OF THE STATE OF
Name of Program I	The state of the second st		\$ -	0.00		PERMIT		ACT STORY
20 M	and the second section of the s		\$ -	0.00	"我们的大家"(2)	等。在英语或图108全位8。	世纪刘勃和 和 对代为中	THE LET MAN THE
Name of Program J *Totals App. B - Agricultural	\$ -	\$	\$.	0.00	0	0	(-
Agricultural Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program								
Total TRC Costs		\$ -						
**Totals TRC - Agricultural	<u> </u>	- s -	\$ -	0.00				

6. LDC System Programs

List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.

Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.

Note: To ensure the integrity	Note: To ensure the integrity of the formation, product most and marketing integrity of the formation									
	TRC Benefits	Benefit/Cost Report Year To	· 10 100 100 100		Demand (kW)	Gross C&DM				
	(PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefits	Ratio	kWh Saved	Savings	Saved	Expenditures (\$)		
System Optimization		2 th	\$ -					\$ 2,468		
Name of Program B		350000000000000000000000000000000000000	\$ -	0.00						

Name of Program C			· . *	•	0.00	THEFTHERE	The tal I was the term for the termination of the			
Name of Program D	NAME (NAME OF A STATE	2.6 344 STAL	\$	-	0.00					And And
Name of Program E			\$	-	0.00					
Name of Program F				-	0.00	CENTRAL COMPANY				
Name of Program G	What shares		\$	-	0.00				The same of	
Name of Program H			12 \$	-	0.00	SERVICE STREET	to Week, gefried riege, A. to The speech : 411' 114 who			10000
Name of Program I				-	0.00	200 90 1140 40	Comment of the first of the september of the section of the sectio			,
Name of Program C				-	0.00	NEW YORK PROPERTY.	以神经过多。13世纪	CASSON ASSURE	703 000	
*Totals App. B - LDC System	\$ -	\$	- \$		0.00	0	0	0	\$	2,468
LDC System Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program			. 1. Vi							
Total TRC Costs		\$	-			_				
**Totals TRC - LDC System	\$.	\$	- \$	\$ \$14. **	0.00	<u> </u>				

7. Smart Meters Program

Only spending information that was authorized under the 3rd tranche of MARR is required to be reported for Smart Meters.

	711		
Report Year Gross C&DM Expenditures (\$)		45'4 5'	

8. CDM Plan Development Programs

List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.

Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.

Note: To ensure the integrity of the	tormulas, piease	msert me addition	all rows in the image				Total Peak	Report Year
	TRC Benefits			Benefit/Cost	Report Year Total	Lifecycle (kWh)	Demand (kW)	Gross C&DM
	(PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefits	Ratio	kWh Saved	Savings	Saved	Expenditures (\$)
Plan Research, Design & Developmen	nt		\$ -	0.00				\$ 900
Name of Program B		SLEED TO	\$ -	0.00	maken as a Pol man of a company or comment of the state of the ball	TARLIA LA SERVICIO	a through store on the ball and an article and a	
Name of Program C	in the free states in the first	THE PROPERTY.	\$ -					
Name of Program D			\$ -			Maria Maria Maria Maria	The state of the s	A A CONTRACTOR STATE OF THE STA
Name of Program E			\$ -	0.00		STATE STATE OF THE		A town of the second
Name of Program F	Josephiliki.	عداشم وأفراز فلاجا				A CONTRACTOR OF STREET	Commence of the commence of th	al of all the state of the state of
Name of Program G		Sand Sand Sand	\$ -	0.00	te the second of the second	A PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE	The state of the same and the	The state of the s
Name of Program H		The state of the s	\$ -					
Name of Program I		ره د وهسود وی د د دهد د	\$	0.00		the state of the s		a la comunicación de la composición del composición de la composición del composición de la composició
Name of Program J		E. Cont. Marketing	\$ -	0.00	0	0	Alternative Control of the Control o	0 \$ 900
*Totals App. B - CDM Plan Develop	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00				
CDM Plan Development Indirect		ata ing mala in						
Costs not attributable to any specific								
program		•						
Total TRC Costs		Ψ						
**Totals TRC - CDM Plan Developm	\$	\$	\$	0.00				

9. Other #2 Programs

List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.

Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.

	TRC Benefits (PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefits		Report Year Total kWh Saved	Lifecycle (kWh) Savings	Demand (kW) Saved	Gross C&DM Expenditures (\$)	
Name of Program A		The state of the s	\$ -	0.00					
Name of Program B			\$ -	0.00	THE BURETURE				
Name of Program C	CLUCAL ACT	And the second s	\$ -	0.00	and the party of the party of the party of the party		er an ' comment the im , many baths and the fact has		
Name of Program D	. 10 70 / 5 6 2 2		\$ -	0.00	PARTIE AND LANGE			المتعالفات سيافا عندا	
Name of Program E	the of the on the t		\$ -	0.00	THE PROPERTY OF				
Name of Program C	. A. A. 79 V 4-5.	News and San En	\$ -	0.00	CHARLE HOLD	MESCALE SECTION			
Name of Program G	The second the second		\$ -	0.00	The second secon			ser deservice	
Name of Program H			\$ -	0.00	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	BERLEY LANDER		MARKET WILLIAM	
Name of Program I	The state of the state of the	4 14 5 5 5 6 .	\$ -	0.00	ETH REPTERE				
Name of Program J	the second section of the		\$ -	0.00	ACTION OF MARKETING	2007年7月1日的		自然的自然思想的知识特	
*Totals App. B - Other #2	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00	0	0		\$ -	
Other #2 Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program									
Total TRC Costs **Totals TRC - Other #2	.\$: ** -	\$ -	\$ 2.00_	0.00					

Total Peak

Report Year

LDC's CDM PORTFOLIO TOTALS

	TRC Benefits (PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefits	Benefit/Cost Ratio	Report Year Total kWh Saved	Lifecycle (kWh) Savings	Total Peak Demand (kW) Saved	Gross C&DM Expenditures (\$)
*TOTALS FOR ALL APPENDIX B	\$	\$	\$ 160 916 95	ie (j. 0.00	S. S. Strandon	\$	Sair of the days a	4,092
Any other Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program								
TOTAL ALL LDC COSTS **LDC' PORTFOLIO TRC	\$0.40.50	\$	\$, 5-2	0.00				

^{*} The savings and spending information from this row is to be carried forward to Appendix A.

^{**} The TRC information from this row is to be carried forward to Appendix A.