

1 **TECHNICAL CONFERENCE UNDERTAKING RESPONSES TO**
 2 **ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD STAFF**

3

4 **UNDERTAKING NO. JT4.31:**

5 **Reference(s): 1B-Staff-12**

6

7 For the projects identified in Part D, to update the figure and the table in Part A for the
 8 IRM scenario to illustrate the funding that would be available under the Capital Module.

9

10 **RESPONSE:**

11 The table below shows the funding associated with IRM plus Advanced Capital Module
 12 (ACM) associated with the projects identified in 1B-Staff-12(d).

\$ in million	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	Total
2025	978	991	1,005	1,019	1,034	5,028
2026		9	9	9	9	38
2027			11	11	11	33
2028				9	9	17
2029					6	6
Total	978	1,001	1,026	1,048	1,069	5,122

13

14 The table in 1B-Staff-12(a) is updated below including an additional line for IRM + ACM.

Revenue Requirement (\$ million, two decimal places)	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	Total
2025-2029 Investment Plan	978	1,031	1,077	1,176	1,221	5,483
IRM	978	991	1,005	1,019	1,034	5,028
IRM + ACM	978	1,001	1,026	1,048	1,069	5,122
Current Custom IR Formula (CPCI)	978	1,015	1,047	1,127	1,154	5,321
Proposed CRCI	978	1,024	1,061	1,152	1,186	5,401

1 As the revenue impact of growth in billing determinants is given back to customers through
2 the current Custom Price Cap Index (“CPCI”) rate formula and the proposed Custom
3 Revenue Cap Index (“CRCI”) rate formula, Toronto Hydro did not include the impact of
4 growth in the other scenarios. If growth assumptions consistent with the billing
5 determinants presented in the 2025-2029 load forecast detailed in Exhibit 3, Tab 1,
6 Schedule 1 were included in the IRM and IRM plus ACM scenarios, the total 2025-2029
7 revenue in these scenarios would be approximately a \$4 million lower.

/C