

Elson Advocacy

July 25, 2024

BY RESS

Nancy Marconi
Registrar
Ontario Energy Board
2300 Yonge Street, Suite 2700, P.O. Box 2319
Toronto, Ontario M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Marconi:

**Re: Review of Decisions in Bobcaygeon, Sandford, Eganville, and Neustadt Gas
Expansion Projects (EB-2022-0111; EB-2023-0200/0201/0261)
Review Motion File #: EB-2024-0197**

I am writing to respond to concerns that Enbridge has expressed about this motion causing delay to these projects and its allegation that this motion constitutes an “attempt by ED to further complicate and delay these proceedings.” This motion is not a delay tactic. To further show that there is no intention to delay this matter, Environmental Defence offers to withdraw the motion and proceed directly to the Divisional Court if the OEB panel hearing this matter wishes that we do so.

We filed a review motion alongside an appeal in large part because we believed that we should exhaust the internal review processes before bringing the matter to the Divisional Court. However, we are in the OEB’s hands. It is not clear whether the OEB would prefer that the internal review process be exhausted or is instead more concerned about the time that would be expended by a review motion, followed by a potential appeal, followed in turn by a potential re-hearing of these matters. If the OEB panel responsible for this matter expresses a preference that Environmental Defence proceed directly to the Divisional Court, and requests that we do so, Environmental Defence would withdraw this motion and proceed as such.

Yours truly,



Kent Elson

cc: Parties in the above proceeding