



# OEB Electricity Distribution Vulnerability Assessment and System Hardening

Proposed Component 1 and 2 Scope

## Agenda

## VASH Overview 30 Minutes

Summary of July stakeholder meeting feedback and proposed incorporation into VASH considerations. Review each of the six components in context of this feedback.

#### Component 1

60 Minutes

Review proposed approach to Component 1: Risk-Based Vulnerability Assessment.

Component 2 30 Hours

Review proposed approach to Component 2: Standardized Vulnerability Assessment Data Sources.



# VASH Overview

## Summary of July Stakeholder Meeting Feedback

The stakeholder group commented on the VASH approach during the July meeting focused on a highlevel initiative overview. Key points that the OEB is considering as we move forward in VASH process include:

- Coordination with CSA guidelines and definitions
- Allowing flexibility to LDCs and noting that a one size fits all approach could disrupt historical planning
  practices and embedded learning from engineers and system planners
- Limiting burden for LDCs currently incorporating resiliency into planning and provide streamlined method for those that don't
- Ramping up requirements over time to ensure reasonableness of expectations
- Utilizing appropriate values of lost load
  - What is the customer's perspective?
- Acknowledging that HILF mitigation may focus on operational enhancements
- Review of Ontario expectations relative to peers
  - What is the right amount of investment and analysis for Ontario?





## Project Components

Six components combine to inform the final VASH Report and are supported by a scan of 3-5 leading jurisdictions.

component			Definition		
1. Risk-E Assessn	Based Vulnerability nent	>	A risk-based Vulnerability Assessment that includes the probability/impact of events. The frequency and time-period of the Vulnerability Assessment should also be included.		
2. Stand Vulnerat Data Sou	ardized bility Assessment urces	>	The sources for any standardized input variables to be used in the Vulnerability Assessment (including, for example, the use of a common forecast or model that estimates how climate change is likely to alter the frequency and severity of adverse weather conditions; a common set of equipment impacted; etc.).		
3. Value Methodo	of Lost Load blogy	>	A value of lost load methodology to quantify risk reduction value from the Vulnerability Assessment.		
4. Benef	it-Cost Analysis	>	A benefit-cost analysis to evaluate whether an LDC should pursue an investment based on the cost of the investment in comparison to the value of lost load mitigated and other applicable benefit streams.		
5. DSP li Methodo	ntegration blogy	>	Methodology for incorporating System Hardening into an LDC's system planning as an additional investment driver within their integrated system planning process.		
6. Filing Updates	Requirement	>	Recommend updates to the Chapter 2 and 5 Filing Requirements for Electricity Distribution Rate Applications or develop policies resulting from Report. The recommendations for the Filing Requirements should be included as part of Report.		



## Vulnerability Assessment Deliverables and Timeline

The Risk-Based Vulnerability Assessment framework will be developed by the end of 2024 with input alignment ongoing as other data sources, including CSA guidelines, become available.



# Component 1: Risk-Based Vulnerability Assessment



## Risk-Based Vulnerability Assessment (Method TBD)

A risk-based Vulnerability Assessment will include the probability of a climate peril at severity levels observed in historic HILFs, as well as failure thresholds for the most common utility assets in alignment with CSA standards, resulting in a usable toolkit to support LDC risk analysis.



8



## Draft Climate Peril and Severity List

12 HILF events occurring in Ontario since 2019 were analyzed to determine the weather-related root cause of widespread failures and estimate the severity of each. These severity thresholds represent estimates based on observed values and distributor reports from historic HILF events and may be aligned with CSA guidelines published summer 2025

Climate Peril	Draft Severity Thresholds
Wind	100 kph gusts
Snow / Ice	Severe winter storm
Tornado	Any event
Precipitation	Freezing rain



## Vulnerability Assessment Toolkit Usage Overview

The toolkit, comprised of the Climate Peril Probability Tool and the Asset Class Failure Mode & Threshold Tool, may be used by LDCs to identify areas of HILF event vulnerability and expected frequency of these events at the specified severity.

#### Base DSP Scenario Assets

Develop asset-level DSP base scenario without consideration of HILF resiliency actions.

#### Asset Class Failure Mode & Threshold Tool

Utilize the Asset Class Failure Mode & Threshold Tool to determine applicable failure modes and thresholds for asset classes in base scenario.

#### **Climate Peril Forecast Tool**

Apply Climate Peril Forecast Tool to targeted asset locations in base scenario to determine areas of vulnerability.

#### System Hardening Plan

Develop a new scenario with updated asset classes or other mitigation strategies (i.e., network redundancy, restoration preparedness, etc.) that address the risk from climate perils through reductions in failures or expected outage durations.

#### Apply BCA Methodology

Apply a standardized BCA methodology, including value of lost load, by project based on framework to be developed in Components 3 and 4.

3

2

4



### Open Discussion – Component 1

Stakeholder Feedback:	
- TBD	
- TBD	
- TBD	

Component 2: Standardized Vulnerability **Assessment Data** Sources



## Standardized Vulnerability Assessment Data Sources

Guidehouse will develop a detailed summary of the sources used for the standardized toolkit input variables and necessary assumptions as well as guidelines for optional augmentation with industry leading proprietary data sources.

#### **Critical Climate Data Considerations:**

#### Certain perils may be simila 200m from a r

#### **Spatial Resolution**

Certain perils occur with greater spatial granularity than others. For example, wind gust probability or extreme heatwaves may be similar across a wide area, whereas flood depths along a river may be highly location specific. A substation sited 200m from a river may have very different annual flood risk from one sited 100m away in the flood plain.

#### **Forecast vs Historical**

Electricity distribution assets are long-lived and therefore accrue distribution service benefits decades into the future. When developing a benefit-cost analysis for system hardening it is important to model potential changes in climate throughout an asset's lifetime. Therefore, attention should be paid to the forecasting method used for climate perils where changes in severity or frequency are expected. Historical values and trends may be appropriate in certain instances, however, due to non-linear and highly variable models used to forecast climates, detailed models are preferred as available.

#### **Climate Peril Severity**

Many common data sources include summaries of mean or average weather events. Generally, all utility assets are designed to withstand these common weather events and therefore are not exposed to risk in these circumstances. It is important to utilize data on extreme event probability that match or exceed expected failure thresholds for the asset classes relevant to system hardening plans.



### Open Discussion – Component 2

takeholder Feedback:	
TBD	
TBD	
TBD	

### Your guides

Donald Lau

Manager, Electricity Distribution Donald.Lau@oeb.ca +1 416-440-7681

#### **Zubin Panchal**

Senior Advisor, Electricity Distribution Zubin.Panchal@oeb.ca +1 416-440-8113







©2024 Guidehouse Inc. All rights reserved. Proprietary and competition sensitive. This content is for general information purposes only, and should not be used as a substitute for consultation with professional advisors.