



**Pollution  
Probe**

130 Queens Quay East, Suite 902  
Toronto, Ontario M5A 0P6  
T 416.926.1907 F 416.926.1601  
[www.pollutionprobe.org](http://www.pollutionprobe.org)

---

Ms. Nancy Marconi  
Registrar  
Ontario Energy Board  
P.O. Box 2319, 27th Floor  
2300 Yonge Street  
Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

October 7, 2024

**EB-2023-0343 East Gwillimbury Community Expansion Project Leave to Construct  
Pollution Probe Supplemental Interrogatories to the Applicant**

Dear Ms. Marconi:

In accordance with OEB direction for the above-noted proceeding, please find attached Pollution Probe's Supplemental Interrogatories to the Applicant.

Respectfully submitted on behalf of Pollution Probe.



---

Michael Brophy, P.Eng., M.Eng., MBA  
Michael Brophy Consulting Inc.  
Consultant to Pollution Probe  
Phone: 647-330-1217  
Email: [Michael.brophy@rogers.com](mailto:Michael.brophy@rogers.com)

Cc: Enbridge Regulatory (via email)  
All Parties (via email)  
Richard Carlson, Pollution Probe (via email)

**ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD**

**Enbridge Gas Inc.  
East Gwillimbury Expansion Project  
Leave to Construct**

---

**POLLUTION PROBE  
SUPPLEMENTARY INTERROGATORIES**

---

**October 7, 2024**

**Submitted by: Michael Brophy  
Michael Brophy Consulting Inc.  
Michael.brophy@rogers.com  
Phone: 647-330-1217  
28 Macnaughton Road  
Toronto, Ontario M4G 3H4**

**Consultant for Pollution Probe**

1-PP-31

Reference: Enbridge Gas filed a letter requesting that the proceeding be held in abeyance until August 30, 2024, to allow for sufficient time for the Ontario Pipeline Coordination Committee (OPCC) to review the draft ER Amendment and provide comment, and for Enbridge Gas to incorporate the OPCC's comments and prepare updated evidence.

- a) Please explain how Enbridge became aware of the changes required to the application and why this was not included in the original application.
- b) There were significant changes to the application other than the ER Amendment. This includes the actual revenue forecast and Profitability Index calculations. Please explain why those were modified in addition to environmental issues missed in the original application.

3-PP-32

- a) Please explain why the customer attachment forecast decreased by 29% (from 369 to 263)?
- b) Please explain why the project Profitability Index went from 0.99 to 1.0 and what additional information is available to deem this as a reasonable estimation.

4-PP-33

Reference: This ER Amendment provides an assessment of the Yonge Street Reinforcement and the necessary studies for the additional segment of pipeline. [F/1/1 Attachment 3, Page 7]

- a) Please explain how additional segments of pipeline for the updated project has resulted in a decrease in customer attachment forecast and a decrease in estimated project cost?
- b) Please explain if the additional Yonge Street Reinforcement pipeline segment is in the main Project cost or listed as Ancillary Facility costs?
- c) Is Enbridge requesting Leave to Construct approval for Ancillary Facilities or just the main Project?
- d) Please explain the impact if the OEB does not approve the Yonge Street Reinforcement pipeline segment

4-PP-34

Reference: The ER has been developed to conform principally with the OEB's Guidelines [F/1/1, Page 1]

Please explain what "conform principally" means?