

Elson Advocacy

December 2, 2024

Ms. Nancy Marconi

Registrar

Ontario Energy Board

2300 Yonge Street, 27th Floor

Toronto, Ontario M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Marconi:

**Re: Enbridge Gas Inc. 2024 to 2028 Rates Application
EB-2024-0111**

We are writing as requested by OEB Staff to provide time estimates for the hearing. These are joint time estimates provided on behalf of Environmental Defence and the Green Energy Coalition. To assist staff in developing a schedule, we have provided an approximate breakdown of the time we expect to require for each topic area.

| Time Estimates | |
|---|---------------------------|
| Topic | Time |
| <u>Cross-examination of Enbridge</u> | |
| • Questions on renewable natural gas | 30 minutes |
| • Questions on Enbridge's justification for not decoupling revenue from customer numbers ¹ | 90 minutes |
| • Questions on the potential benefits of decoupling revenue from customer numbers | 60 minutes |
| • Questions on implementation options and considerations for decoupling revenue from customer numbers | 60 minutes |
| <u>Examination-in-chief of the Energy Futures Group (EFG)</u> | |
| • Questions on renewable natural gas | Between 0 and 20 minutes. |
| • Presentation on relevant Phase 1 evidence | Between 20 to 40 minutes. |
| <u>Examination-in-chief of the Current Energy Group (CEG)</u> | |
| • Questions on decoupling revenue from customer numbers | Between 0 and 30 minutes. |

¹ This includes the justifications in Enbridge's filing of November 15, 2024 ([link](#)).

As noted above, we propose that EFG provide a presentation summarizing the Phase 1 EFG evidence that is relevant to the Phase 2 question of whether to decouple revenue from customer numbers. We believe this will assist the panel members who were not present for Phase 1 and will also assist all panel members by highlighting the elements of the Phase 1 evidence that are relevant to the Phase 2 issues.

Time ranges are provided for the examination-in-chief of EFG on renewable natural gas and of CEG on decoupling revenue from customer numbers as it is not clear whether and the degree to which it will be necessary for those witnesses to respond to the testimony of the Enbridge witnesses. Aside from the proposed presentation on the relevant EFG evidence from Phase 1, examination-in-chief will only be sought as necessary to respond to the testimony of Enbridge.

Yours truly,



Kent Elson

cc: Parties to the above proceeding