



Ontario
Energy
Board | Commission
de l'énergie
de l'Ontario

BY EMAIL

April 1, 2025

Nancy Marconi
Registrar
Ontario Energy Board
2300 Yonge Street, 27th Floor
Toronto ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Marconi:

**Re: Enbridge Gas Inc. (Enbridge Gas)
2024 Rebasing Application, Phase 3
Proposed Issues List
Ontario Energy Board File Number: EB-2025-0064**

Pursuant to Procedural Order No. 1, this is to advise that the parties reached a consensus on a proposed issues list for Phase 3 at the issues list conference on March 27, 2025.

A marked-up version of the proposed issues list, showing the changes compared to the draft issues list submitted with Enbridge Gas's application, is attached as Appendix A. A clean version is attached as Appendix B.

In response to the March 11, 2025 letter filed by the Federation of Rental-housing Providers of Ontario, Enbridge Gas has confirmed that questions and positions can be advanced under proposed issue #5 about whether the Western Transportation Service (or an equivalent service) should continue.

Any questions relating to this letter should be directed to Khalil Viraney, at 416-440-7729, or Khalil.Viraney@oeb.ca.

Yours truly,

Khalil Viraney
Case Manager EB-2025-0064

c: All parties in EB-2025-0064

APPENDIX A

Enbridge Gas Inc.

EB-2025-0064

Marked-Up Proposed Issues List

DRAFT ISSUES LIST

A. Rate Harmonization, Cost Allocation and Rate Design (Exhibit 7)

~~Are the proposed harmonized rates and related charges, based on the updated 2024 Revenue Requirement, just and reasonable?~~

1) Is the proposal for harmonization of rate zones and rate classes appropriate, including:

- a) The proposal for one rate zone.
- b) The proposal for harmonized rate classes.

==

1) Is the 2024 Cost Allocation Study ~~for~~ to allocate costs to the harmonized rate classes appropriate, including the methodologies ~~and judgements used~~ appropriate?

B. Rate Design (Exhibit 8)

~~2) Are the proposed harmonized rates and related charges, based on the updated 2024 Revenue Requirement, just and reasonable?~~

3) Is the proposed rate design of harmonized rate classes appropriate, including:

- a) Rate design for the general service rate classes.
- b) Rate design for the in-franchise contract rate classes.
- c) Rate design for the ex-franchise rate classes.

4) Is the proposed rate design proposal for the gas supply charges and the applicability of the Parkway Delivery Commitment Credit Incentive (PDCI) appropriate?

5) Are the proposed ~~changes to~~ services and related charges, and the ex-franchise terms and conditions for the harmonized rate classes appropriate?

5)6) Are the proposed harmonized rates and related charges, based on the updated 2024 Revenue Requirement, just and reasonable?

B. C. Rate Implementation and Mitigation ~~(Exhibit 8)~~

6)7) Is the proposed rate harmonization implementation plan appropriate?

7)8) Is the rate mitigation plan for harmonized rate classes and Rider R – Rate Mitigation Rider appropriate?

DC. Deferral & Variance Accounts ~~(Exhibit 9)~~

8)9) Is the proposal for harmonization of the following gas supply variance accounts appropriate?

- a) Purchase Gas Variance Account (PGVA) (Account No. 179-101)
- b) Third-Party Transportation Variance Account (Account No. 179-102)
- c) Load Balancing Price Variance Account (Account No. 179-103)
- d) Inventory Revaluation Variance Account (Account No. 179-104)
- e) Market-Based Storage Variance Account (Account No. 179-204)

10) Is the proposal to establish a new Rate Harmonization Variance Account appropriate?

9)11) Should the OEB establish any other deferral or variance accounts related to the matters at issue in Phase 3?

ED. Other ~~(Exhibits 1, 2 and 4)~~

10)12) Has Enbridge Gas identified and responded appropriately to all relevant OEB directions and Enbridge Gas commitments made ~~from in OEB~~ previous proceedings (inclusive of any relevant directions arising from the OEB's pending decision on Phase 2), including those relating to ~~consisting of~~:

- a) an update on the AMI pilot project;
- b) a report on the steps that it has taken to achieve the capital reduction set out in the Phase 1 Decision;
- c) reporting on the status of its responses to previous IRP directions;

- d) [filing updated written ~~updated~~ marketing materials or reference materials aimed at customers, potential customers, HVAC contractors or builders that include or previously included energy comparison information](#); and
- e) various cost allocation and rate design directives and commitments, [including the study regarding interruptible rates](#).

41)13) Is the proposal for a common reference price methodology to set gas costs appropriate in relation to the 2024 Test Year gas cost forecast?

APPENDIX B

Enbridge Gas Inc.

EB-2025-0064

Clean Proposed Issues List

PROPOSED ISSUES LIST

A. Rate Harmonization, Cost Allocation and Rate Design

- 1) Is the proposal for harmonization of rate zones and rate classes appropriate, including:
 - a) The proposal for one rate zone.
 - b) The proposal for harmonized rate classes.

- 2) Is the 2024 Cost Allocation Study to allocate costs to the harmonized rate classes appropriate, including the methodologies?

- 3) Is the proposed rate design of harmonized rate classes appropriate, including:
 - a) Rate design for the general service rate classes.
 - b) Rate design for the in-franchise contract rate classes.
 - c) Rate design for the ex-franchise rate classes.

- 4) Is the proposed rate design proposal for the gas supply charges and the applicability of the Parkway Delivery Commitment Incentive (PDCI) appropriate?

- 5) Are the proposed services and related charges, and the ex-franchise terms and conditions for the harmonized rate classes appropriate?

- 6) Are the proposed harmonized rates and related charges, based on the updated 2024 Revenue Requirement, just and reasonable?

B. Rate Implementation and Mitigation

- 7) Is the proposed rate harmonization implementation plan appropriate?

- 8) Is the rate mitigation plan for harmonized rate classes and Rider R – Rate Mitigation Rider appropriate?

C. Deferral & Variance Accounts

- 9) Is the proposal for harmonization of the following gas supply variance accounts appropriate?
- a) Purchase Gas Variance Account (PGVA) (Account No. 179-101)
 - b) Third-Party Transportation Variance Account (Account No. 179-102)
 - c) Load Balancing Price Variance Account (Account No. 179-103)
 - d) Inventory Revaluation Variance Account (Account No. 179-104)
 - e) Market-Based Storage Variance Account (Account No. 179-204)
- 10) Is the proposal to establish a new Rate Harmonization Variance Account appropriate?
- 11) Should the OEB establish any other deferral or variance accounts related to the matters at issue in Phase 3?

D. Other

- 12) Has Enbridge Gas identified and responded appropriately to all relevant OEB directions and Enbridge Gas commitments made in OEB proceedings (inclusive of any relevant directions arising from the OEB's pending decision on Phase 2), including those relating to:
- a) an update on the AMI pilot project;
 - b) a report on the steps that it has taken to achieve the capital reduction set out in the Phase 1 Decision;
 - c) reporting on the status of its responses to previous IRP directions;
 - d) filing updated written marketing materials or reference materials aimed at customers, potential customers, HVAC contractors or builders that include or previously included energy comparison information; and
 - e) various cost allocation and rate design directives and commitments, including the study regarding interruptible rates.
- 13) Is the proposal for a common reference price methodology to set gas costs appropriate in relation to the 2024 Test Year gas cost forecast?