



BY E-MAIL

June 20, 2025

Ritchie Murray  
Acting Registrar  
Ontario Energy Board  
2300 Yonge Street, 27th Floor  
Toronto ON M4P 1E4

Dear Mr. Murray:

**Re: Oshawa PUC Networks Inc. (Oshawa PUC Networks)  
Application for 2026 Electricity Distribution Rates  
Ontario Energy Board File Number: EB-2025-0014**

In accordance with Procedural Order No. 1, OEB staff advises the OEB that parties have not been able to come to an agreement to revise the standard Issues List in order to add an issue proposed by the School Energy Coalition (SEC), an approved intervenor in this proceeding.

Agreed Upon Matters

Parties have no concerns with the issues contained in the standard Issues List.

Further, parties are requesting that the OEB waive the requirement under rule 26.02(e)(ii) of the *Rules of Practice and Procedure* that the interrogatories correspond to the issues list. Parties are requesting that they may file interrogatories according to the exhibit numbers in the application. Parties believe this approach is more efficient and likely less costly.

OEB staff also advises that parties indicated that, given that the Issues List was contemplated prior to the interrogatory process, parties may wish to raise additional matters for inclusion on the issues list after the responses to the interrogatories are received.

Matters Not Agreed Upon

SEC proposed to add the following issue to the issues list:

*Is the plan to seek additional funding for a new operational and administrative building in a subsequent IRM application appropriate?*

All approved intervenors agreed with SEC's proposed additional issue. Oshawa PUC Networks did not agree with the proposed addition.

Yours truly,

Andrew Frank  
Senior Advisor – Electricity Distribution Rates

Cc: All parties in EB-2025-0014

**SCHEDULE A**

**OSHAWA PUC NETWORKS INC.**

**EB-2025-0014**

**STANDARD ISSUES LIST**

## **Standard Issues List**

### **1. Capital Spending and Rate Base**

- 1.1 Are the proposed capital expenditures and in-service additions appropriate?
- 1.2 Are the proposed rate base and depreciation amounts appropriate?

### **2. OM&A**

- 2.1 Are the proposed OM&A expenditures appropriate?
- 2.2 Is the proposed shared services cost allocation methodology and the quantum appropriate?

### **3. Cost of Capital, PILs, and Revenue Requirement**

- 3.1 Is the proposed cost of capital (interest on debt, return on equity) and capital structure appropriate?
- 3.2 Is the proposed PILs (or Tax) amount appropriate?
- 3.3 Is the proposed Other Revenue forecast appropriate?
- 3.4 Have all impacts of any changes in accounting standards, policies, estimates and adjustments been properly identified and recorded, and is the rate-making treatment of each of these impacts appropriate?
- 3.5 Is the proposed calculation of the Revenue Requirement appropriate?

### **4. Load Forecast**

- 4.1 Is the proposed load forecast methodologies and the resulting load forecasts appropriate?

### **5. Cost Allocation, Rate Design, and Other Charges**

- 5.1 Are the proposed cost allocation methodology, allocations, and revenue-to-cost ratios, appropriate?
- 5.2 Is the proposed rate design, including fixed/variable splits, appropriate?
- 5.3 Are the proposed Retail Transmission Service Rates and Low Voltage rates appropriate?
- 5.4 Are the proposed loss factors appropriate?
- 5.5 Are the Specific Service Charges and Retail Service Charges appropriate?
- 5.6 Are rate mitigation proposals required and appropriate?

### **6. Deferral and Variance Accounts**

- 6.1 Are the proposals for deferral and variance accounts, including the balances in the existing accounts and their disposition, requests for new accounts, requests for discontinuation of accounts, and the continuation of existing accounts, appropriate?

**7. Other**

- 7.1 Is the proposed effective date appropriate?
- 7.2 Has the applicant responded appropriately to all relevant OEB directions from previous proceedings?