



May 14, 2025

Ms. Nancy Marconi Registrar Ontario Energy Board 2300 Yonge Street, 27<sup>th</sup> Floor Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Marconi:

Re: Enbridge Gas Inc.

**Application for Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity** 

**Township of Tay Valley** 

Ontario Energy Board File No. EB-2024-0342

We are writing further to Mr. Elson's May 13, 2025 letter in this matter.

For reasons previously outlined in our submission dated April 17, 2025, Enbridge Gas maintains its position that Climate Network Lanark's late request for intervenor status should be denied.

Enbridge Gas will respond to Environmental Defence's pending motion to review (EB-2025-0161) if and as need be. That Environmental Defence delivered a notice of review motion (regarding the decision to deny intervenor status to Environmental Defence) is not a basis to now allow Climate Network Lanark's request for intervenor status. Further, and as a preliminary point in respect of the motion, Enbridge Gas' position is that Environmental Defence is seeking to review an interlocutory procedural order when the Ontario Energy Board's Rules of Practice and Procedure do not permit a motion to review interlocutory orders. Rule 40.01 only permits "a final order or decision" of the Ontario Energy Board to be the subject of a review motion.

We also note Mr. Elson's letter seems to suggest that Environmental Defence will seek to indirectly participate in this application through Climate Network Lanark (if it were granted intervenor status) despite the Ontario Energy Board's finding that Environmental Defence does not have a substantial interest in the review of this application.

Should you have any questions on this submission, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours truly,

Patrick McMahon **Technical Manager** Regulatory Research and Records patrick.mcmahon@enbridge.com (519) 436-5325

Noelle Reeve, Township of Tay Valley Kent Elson, Environmental Defence cc: (email only)

Natalya Plummer, OEB Richard Lanni, OEB