

Dennis M. O'Leary Direct: 416.865.4711 E-mail: doleary@airdberlis.com

August 13, 2025

VIA EMAIL:

Ritchie Murray
Acting Registrar
Ontario Energy Board
2300 Yonge Street, Suite 2700
Toronto, Ontario M4P 1E4

Dear Ritchie Murray:

Re: Enbridge Gas Inc. ("Enbridge Gas")

2026 DSM Rollover Application ("Application")
Enbridge Gas's Request for Confidential Treatment

File No: EB-2024-0198

I am writing as counsel to Enbridge Gas in response to the email to the OEB dated August 12, 2025 from Mr. Shepherd on behalf of the School Energy Coalition ("**SEC**"), and Pollution Probe's ("**PP**") letter of the same date also to the OEB. Both were written in response to the request for confidential treatment filed by Enbridge Gas on August 8, 2025.

Enbridge Gas has provided both SEC and PP with confidential copies of the Collaboration and Cooperation Agreement between Enbridge Gas and the IESO ("Agreement") in accordance with the OEB's *Practice Direction on Confidential Filings* dated December 17, 2021 ("Practice Direction"). Notwithstanding PP's suggestions to the contrary, Enbridge Gas has fully complied with the Practice Direction which does not require that the parties who execute the Declaration and Undertaking to the OEB in accordance with the Practice Direction are entitled to receive unredacted portions of those sections of the Agreement which are not relevant.

While the redactions of the irrelevant materials may seem significant to SEC and PP, the main reason for the assertion that the materials are irrelevant is the fact that much of the subject materials were pages that were inadvertently included in the PDF version of the executed Agreement. These pages were never intended and do not form part of the Agreement. They are therefore not only irrelevant, but would clearly have no probative value.

The remainder of the balance of the information for which the confidential treatment for lack of relevance is sought do not contain any Enbridge Gas DSM financial terms in respect of 2026 and do not contain cost sharing terms. For example, cybersecurity protocols and the program style guides have no relevance to the Application and could not result in SEC and PP making any relevant further submissions than those based upon the unredacted versions of the Agreement which they received.

Enbridge Gas has earlier highlighted the importance of receiving the OEB's decision by the end of September 2025. Enbridge Gas therefore requests that the OEB maintain the current schedule for submissions.

Yours truly,

Aird & Berlis LLP

Dennis M. O'Leary

Partner DMO/es 65428431.1