

From: Ontario Energy Board <webmaster@oeb.ca>
Sent: Thursday, August 14, 2025 11:26 AM
To: Office of the Registrar <Registrar@oeb.ca>
Cc: Webmaster <Webmaster@oeb.ca>
Subject: Redacted - Letter of Comment Submitted: EB-2025-0163

LETTER OF COMMENT

Comments:

To the Ontario Energy Board and the adjudicators of case number EB-2025-0163: Thank you for allowing your consumers to participate in this application process and providing us the space to present our feedback regarding the consideration of Enbridge Gas requesting to increase Natural Gas rates effective January 1, 2026. As a consumer, I wonder where there supposed profits are being used and whether they are being used in their best fiscal manner. After a quarterly earning of net C\$2.18 billion as stated by Yahoo!finance on August 1, 2025, surely their CFO has a better handle on their corporate budget than this need to increase gas rates once again 6 months after a recent increase on July 1, 2025? Expanding access to natural gas should lead to more consumers and a reduction in rates as the costs are spread out amongst more people, but instead we are seeing an increase in rates as more consumers are added to the network and there is increased demand for crude oil product? Fiscally, logically, and ethically, none of it makes sense to consumers. Furthermore, given how the 2025 wildfire season yet to be concluded has become the second-most destructive on historical record, should we truly be investing further in LNG and crude oil fossil fuel energies? Green LNG is not a factual thing, but green-washing of an industry that has always put its wallet's needs first and the consumers last. It's trying to play a shell game that will not succeed. Offsetting greenhouse gases does nothing when we continue to put out more and more into the air, worsening an already failing situation. According to the report "Indicators of Global Climate Change 2024" published by Earth System Science Data on June 19, 2025, humanity has only three years until we cross the 1.5 degree Celsius threshold where climate change effects will tip into large-scale catastrophes and destruction beyond what we have already seen, and we will not be able to pull any of it back. Enbridge Gas is a contributor to the destruction and viability of their own consumer base as part of the extraction and provision of fossil fuels. Why should humanity be told to race to the bottom simply because those with larger bank accounts are determined to extract every resource for their own wealth and comfort while the rest of us are forced to choose between heat, food, or just keeping hold of safe, stable housing? Why do we not support verifiable green energy production options with the same corporate bailout and grant packages? You, the board members assessing this case, are choosing whether or not to support the continued destruction of the safety and health of your family, your friends, your communities, and most of all yourselves. I hope you decide in favour of protection, of not rewarding the continued destruction of Ontario and beyond for the sake of profit, in place of safeguarding the wallets of those who would sooner see all of us and you dead so long as they receive their profits. Sincerely, Shawnee Hayward A concerned citizen of Ontario

Name: Shawnee Hayward

Do you reside in the impacted service area?: Yes