

November 7, 2025

VIA EMAIL:

Ritchie Murray
Acting Registrar
Ontario Energy Board
2300 Yonge Street, Suite 2700
Toronto, Ontario M4P 1E4

Dear Ritchie Murray:

Re: File: EB-2024-0198
Enbridge Gas Inc.
2026 DSM Rollover Application ("Application")
Pollution Probe Letter dated November 5, 2025

We are counsel to Enbridge Gas Inc. ("**Enbridge Gas**" or the "**Company**") and are writing in response to the letter dated November 5, 2025 by Mr. Michael Brophy on behalf of Pollution Probe.

Mr. Brophy asserts that the terms related to the Home Renovation Savings ("**HRS**") one-window Residential Program which is being delivered jointly by Enbridge Gas and the IESO "are restricting" the availability of incentives if participants reduce their natural gas usage or if a residential customer moves away from natural gas.

Mr. Brophy is simply wrong. He has confused business and industry electric conservation programs offered solely by the IESO with the HRS one-window Residential Program being jointly offered by Enbridge Gas and the IESO. This is clear from the links that Mr. Brophy has included in the Pollution Probe letter, which clearly refer to IESO's business and industry electric conservation programs, not the HRS one-window Residential Program.

There is nothing in the eligibility requirements for the HRS one-window Residential Program which in any way renders a potential participant ineligible should their participation result in either a reduction in natural gas usage or a move away from natural gas. The Company is fully cognizant of and has continuously complied with the paragraphs quoted from the EB-2021-0002 DSM Decision by Mr. Brophy in his letter.¹

It is unclear why Mr. Brophy would connect the IESO's business and industry electric conservation programs with the HRS one-window Residential Program but the segment he copied into the Pollution Probe letter at the bottom of page 2 is taken from the IESO's materials for those programs. These electric programs are operated and funded by the IESO, and Enbridge Gas had no role in designing the program requirements nor the participant agreements.

¹ Decision and Order of the OEB, November 15, 2022, EB-2021-0002, pages 3 and 16, reproduced on page 1 of the Pollution Probe letter to the OEB dated November 5, 2025.

November 7, 2025

Page 2

It is of course unfortunate that the Pollution Probe letter has taken up the time of parties including the OEB, the Company and OEB Staff in respect of a matter that could have been addressed by simply reviewing the materials being quoted in the letter or, alternately, a quick call to Enbridge Gas or the IESO. Enbridge Gas hopes that this timely response will put to rest any confusion that the Pollution Probe letter may have caused amongst potential HRS one-window Residential Program participants.

Enbridge Gas submits that the Pollution Probe letter should simply be disregarded and no response from the OEB is required.

Yours truly,

Aird & Berlis LLP



Dennis M. O'Leary
Partner

AIRD BERLIS