



December 8, 2025

Ontario Energy Board
2300 Yonge Street, 27th floor
P.O. Box 2319
Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Re: Enbridge Gas Inc., Port Colborne Reinforcement Project, EB-2025-0301

Dear OEB,

The Crown's duty to consult has not been adequately discharged in respect to EB-2025-0301. We wish to actively participate in the hearing as an Intervenor.

A key problem is Enbridge's lack of response during, and following, the June 10th meeting to discuss this project.

There was no response to our request for more advanced environmental studies, no response to our high-level mitigation standards or suggested low-level mitigation techniques (despite hearing "We can take it away" and "We will look into it"), and no response to the environmental study components we wanted to see. Further, no information could be disclosed about the name of the company and rationale for this work (Enbridge promised to get back to us after seeing if the information could be shared but failed to do so).

Lots of time was subsequently wasted, going back and forth, with Enbridge claiming there were no outstanding items or concerns from that meeting.

Consequently, we do not have adequate information about potential rights impacts and have been unable to discuss ways to avoid or minimize such impacts.

Problems with Enbridge's conduct expanded after that meeting, when the company 'deputized' field monitors to consult on behalf of Six Nations of the Grand River Elected Council (SNGREC). As relayed to the company, only the Consultation and Accommodation Process (CAP) Team can consult on behalf of SNGREC; "Enbridge may not like what the CAP Team has to say about its projects, but it's a commonly accepted principle that proponents do not have a right to appoint the respondent's representatives."

On November 25th, SNGREC and Enbridge had a productive meeting to discuss consultation processes. Enbridge confirmed our suspicion it hadn't taken notes at the June 10th meeting and we agreed to discuss an acceptable way for some consultation to occur in the field. We expect meaningful consultation on the Port Colborne Reinforcement Project to begin in the new year.

Regrettably, the company is now forcing us to publicly air our grievances, re-litigate our concerns, and waste yet more of our time with this clearly premature application.

We strongly oppose an order being issued.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be 'Peter Graham', written in a cursive style.

Peter Graham
Consultation Supervisor
Six Nations of the Grand River Elected Council