



Olatunbosun Ishola
Advisor, Regulatory Applications
Regulatory Affairs

Tel: (416) 495-5499 x5737
olatunbosun.ishola@enbridge.com
EGIRegulatoryProceedings@enbridge.com

Enbridge Gas Inc.
500 Consumers Road,
North York, ON M2J 1P8
Canada

February 13, 2026

VIA RESS AND EMAIL

Ritchie Murray
Acting Registrar
Ontario Energy Board
2300 Yonge Street, 27th Floor
P.O. Box 2319
Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ritchie Murray:

**Re: Enbridge Gas Inc. (Enbridge Gas)
Ontario Energy Board (OEB) File: EB-2025-0270
Humber Station Road Community Expansion Project
Interrogatory Responses**

In accordance with the OEB's Procedural Order No.1 dated December 18, 2025, enclosed please find Enbridge Gas's interrogatory responses for the Humber Station Road Community Expansion Project.

If you have any questions, please contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,

Olatunbosun Ishola

Olatunbosun Ishola
Advisor, Regulatory Applications – Leave to Construct

ENBRIDGE GAS INC.

Answer to Interrogatory from
OEB Staff

Interrogatory

Reference:

Updated Application, January 16, 2026 -Exhibit H, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Attachment 2: Delegation Letter, March 6, 2025; Attachment 7: Indigenous Consultation Report: Summary Tables and Attachment 8: Indigenous Consultation Log (as of January 7, 2026)

Preamble:

The Ministry of Energy and Mines (MEM), formerly known as the Ministry of Energy and Electrification, has identified the following Indigenous communities as potentially impacted by the Project:

- Alderville First Nation
- Beausoleil First Nation
- Curve Lake First Nation
- Chippewas of Georgina Island First Nation
- Chippewas of Rama First Nation
- Hiawatha First Nation
- Huron-Wendat Nation
- Kawartha Nishnawbe First Nation
- Mississaugas of Scugog Island First Nation
- Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation

Enbridge Gas stated that it provided the following project information to each of the Indigenous communities identified by MEM as potentially impacted by the project:

- Notification Letter provided an overview of the proposed Project, a list of potential authorizations required, and MEM contact information
- Notice of upcoming Project
- Maps of the Project location
- Notice of Study Commencement Letter, and open house and virtual open house information for the Project. The letter contained information on the environmental process

- Environmental Report (ER), providing information about the potential effects of the project on the Environment.

As required by the OEB's Environmental Guidelines on Hydrocarbon Projects and Facilities in Ontario, Enbridge Gas filed an Indigenous Consultation Report (ICR) and a Log of its consultation activities with its application. Enbridge Gas provided an updated ICR on January 16, 2026, as required by Procedural Order No. 1. The information in the ICR is updated as of January 7, 2026.

Question(s):

- 1) Please discuss any outstanding concerns raised by Indigenous communities after January 7, 2026. How is Enbridge Gas addressing these concerns?
- 2) Please describe Enbridge Gas's plans for continued engagement with Indigenous communities. Which channels of communication will be used and what information regarding the Project construction, operation or any other aspect of the Project will be conveyed?

Response:

1. There have been no additional concerns raised by Indigenous communities since Jan 7, 2026.
2. Enbridge Gas will continue to actively engage with Indigenous communities throughout the entire lifecycle of the Project to ensure meaningful ongoing dialogue concerning the Project. Enbridge Gas will endeavor to meet with Indigenous communities, provided they are willing, for the purpose of exchanging information regarding the Project, and to respond to inquiries in a timely manner. Enbridge Gas will endeavor to address concerns to ensure any potential Project-related impacts can be mitigated. Enbridge Gas conducts its engagement generally through phone calls, in-person meetings, mail-outs, open-houses and email communications. New and material information about the Project construction and operation will be conveyed as appropriate to Indigenous communities. In addition, Enbridge Gas will ensure that additional commitments made to Indigenous communities through the engagement process already for this Project, including the provision of restoration plans and invitations for participation in field studies and post-construction monitoring, will be fulfilled.

ENBRIDGE GAS INC.

Answer to Interrogatory from
OEB Staff

Interrogatory

Reference:

Letter from Wendat Nation, December 15, 2025

Preamble:

In its letter, the Wendat Nation stated that it would not accept a Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment (AA) being carried out after completion and submission of the Environmental Report (ER). They also stated “To ensure that our voice is heard and that our comments are duly taken into consideration in the adjustment of measures, if applicable, we request to receive the Stage 2 AA report when it is under review and to be granted a minimum of 30 days after its receipt to provide our comments. The Wendat Nation also reserves the right to request an extension beyond this 30-day period if deemed necessary by our consultations team.”

Question(s):

- 1) How will Enbridge Gas address any comments or concerns received during the Stage 2 AA?
- 2) Please comment on Wendat Nation’s request for it to be provided 30 days to review and comment on the Stage 2 AA report.
- 3) Does Enbridge Gas agree with Wendat Nation’s assertion that the Stage 2 AA report should be carried out before submission of the ER?

Response:

1) – 3)

Enbridge Gas has prepared a letter in response to Wendat Nation’s Dec 15, 2025, Letter of Comment addressing its comments and concerns about the Stage 2 AA, as well as OEB Staff’s questions in this interrogatory. This letter is attached to this response as Attachment 1 and has also been filed separately with the OEB.



February 13, 2026

VIA RESS AND EMAIL

Ritchie Murray
Acting Registrar
Ontario Energy Board
2300 Yonge Street, 27th Floor
P.O. Box 2319
Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ritchie Murray:

**Re: Enbridge Gas Inc. (Enbridge Gas)
Ontario Energy Board (OEB) File: EB-2025-0270
Humber Station Road Community Expansion Project (the Project)
Enbridge Gas Response to Wendat Nation Letter of Comment**

This is Enbridge Gas's response to the issues raised by Wendat Nation in its letter of comment to the OEB filed December 12, 2025 in the above-noted proceeding.

Enbridge Gas recognizes the importance Wendat Nation places on ensuring the protection of archaeological resources and is likewise concerned about mitigating any impact to such resources. Enbridge Gas is of the view that the approach it has taken with respect to the protection of archaeological resources adheres to the applicable requirements set out in the *Ontario Heritage Act, 1990* and the OEB's *Environmental Guidelines for the Location, Construction and Operation of Hydrocarbon Projects and Facilities in Ontario* (Environmental Guidelines), allows for early input from Indigenous communities and protects archaeological resources by avoiding unnecessary disruption of land through more invasive assessments.

Consistent with Wendat Nation's specific comments, Enbridge Gas will conduct additional Archaeological Assessments (AAs) for the Project as required and offer opportunities to Wendat Nation to participate in such AAs in a timely manner. Furthermore, Enbridge Gas confirms that an exemption from the requirement to obtain leave to construct (LTC) does not diminish Enbridge Gas's obligations in relation to AAs.

The information below describes Enbridge Gas's current approach to protecting archaeological resources and facilitating early engagement in the Environmental Assessment (EA) process.

Archaeological Assessment Approach

During the EA, Enbridge Gas completes a Stage 1 AA on all potential route alternatives in accordance with the OEB's Environmental Guidelines and the Ministry of Citizenship

and Multiculturalism's *Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists*. A Stage 1 AA identifies known archaeological resources, confirms areas with no remaining archaeological potential, and identifies areas where further assessment may be required. Indigenous communities now have the opportunity to participate in field work required for a Stage 1 AA, including the opportunity to meet with archaeologists to discuss cultural areas of significance and oral history.

The inputs to, and results derived from, the Stage 1 AA inform route evaluation and determination. The draft Environmental Report (ER) and draft Stage 1 AA report are shared with Indigenous communities for review and comment. Where appropriate, comments received are considered and addressed through updates to the ER and Stage 1 AA. The final reports, along with a complete log of comments received and responses provided, are then included in LTC and LTC exemption applications submitted to the OEB for consideration.

If archaeological potential remains within the archaeological study area of the chosen preferred route, additional AAs will be recommended in the ER to be undertaken prior to any ground disturbance activities. If required, a Stage 2 AA is undertaken once the preferred route is further refined and construction workspaces are confirmed. This typically occurs following finalization of the ER with any input from Indigenous communities, regulatory authorities, and landowner groups considered. At the EA development stage, detailed design and final workspace requirements are not yet defined, and these details directly determine the location and extent of potential archaeological disturbance.

Stage 2 AAs are generally undertaken after ER submission but prior to construction, at a stage when investigations can be accurately focused on areas where ground disturbance is proposed. Conducting a Stage 2 AA prior to finalizing the ER would require testing across the broader Stage 1 AA study area rather than the defined construction footprint. This approach would not reliably reflect the locations of actual ground disturbance and could result in unnecessary or premature disturbance of archaeological resources. It would also be inappropriate given design uncertainty, access limitations, seasonal constraints, and land-use considerations. As project design advances, additional localized, site-specific changes may continue to occur as further AAs are completed and avoidance and mitigation measures are applied.

Alignment with OEB's Environmental Guidelines

Enbridge Gas follows the approach outlined in the OEB's Environmental Guidelines, which require that AAs be conducted by licensed consultants for all hydrocarbon projects and that, at a minimum, a Stage 1 AA be completed during the planning phase. Where a Stage 2 AA is recommended and a combined Stage 1 and Stage 2 AA is not feasible, the Stage 2 AA is to be completed "as early as possible."¹ Enbridge Gas's practice of completing Stage 2 AAs once the preferred route and workspaces are

¹ OEB, Environmental Guidelines for Hydrocarbon Projects and Facilities in Ontario (last revised March 2023) [[link](#)], p. 32.

confirmed meets the direction set out in the Environmental Guidelines by aligning archaeological investigations with actual impact areas and ensuring that assessments are meaningful, defensible, and protective of cultural resources.

OEB decisions frequently include conditions that require Enbridge Gas to complete the additional required assessments identified in the ER. These conditions provide regulatory oversight and ensure that archaeological assessments are undertaken before any ground disturbance occurs.

Consultation, Review, and Participation

Enbridge Gas's consultation commitments are not limited to the submission of the final ER. Indigenous communities, including Wendat Nation, are engaged at the outset of project development following the provision of Duty to Consult determinations by the Ministry of Energy and Mines and prior to the initiation of the EA. Once the EA is initiated, Indigenous communities are provided ongoing opportunities to share Indigenous Knowledge, identify areas of cultural significance, and now are offered the opportunity to participate in Stage 1 AA fieldwork. Indigenous communities are also invited to participate in Stage 2 AA fieldwork, and Enbridge Gas provides Indigenous communities with the opportunity to review draft Stage 1 and Stage 2 AA reports.

Enbridge Gas acknowledges Wendat Nation's request to receive Stage 2 AA reports during the draft ER review and to be provided with a minimum 30-day review period, with the possibility of an extension where warranted. However, as described above, completing the Stage 2 AA prior to the completion of the ER when the final preferred route has not been determined can result in unnecessary or premature ground disturbance. Furthermore, recognizing the significant opportunities Indigenous communities have to review relevant project information and share concerns regarding archaeological resources throughout early project development as well as Enbridge Gas's commitment to ongoing engagement, an additional 30-day review period could impose unnecessary constraints on the project schedule. For these reasons, Enbridge Gas does not believe these steps to be necessary or preferred.

To address Wendat Nation's concerns, Enbridge Gas remains committed to early notification of archaeological field programs and to working collaboratively with Wendat Nation to support meaningful participation, including the deployment of field representatives where appropriate and sharing draft Stage 1 and Stage 2 AA reports. These steps will minimize any risk to archaeological resources. The OEB's standard condition of approval in its LTC and LTC exemption decisions, requiring Enbridge Gas to implement all recommendations of the ER, provides additional assurance that Stage 2 AA will be undertaken on all areas that retain archaeological potential prior to construction. Enbridge Gas remains committed to ongoing engagement and adaptive mitigation management during the project lifecycle.

Leave to Construct Exemption

Enbridge Gas wishes to clarify that an exemption from the requirement to obtain LTC does not exempt a project from other applicable regulatory requirements, including those under the *Ontario Heritage Act*, nor does it diminish Enbridge Gas's consultation obligations or the ability to implement accommodation or modifications in response to archaeological discoveries. Commitments and mitigation measures identified through the EA, as well as information provided and commitments made to Indigenous communities during consultation, form part of the conditions of OEB approval and are implemented through construction and throughout the project lifecycle.

Enbridge Gas remains committed to maintaining an open, respectful, and constructive dialogue with the Wendat Nation and to supporting a consultation process that allows for the thorough identification and management of potential impacts to archaeological heritage and Aboriginal and treaty rights throughout the life of our projects.

If you have any questions, please contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,

Olatunbosun Ishola

Olatunbosun Ishola
Advisor, Regulatory Applications – Leave to Construct

Cc: Henry Ren (Enbridge Gas Counsel)
Mathieu Lariviere (Wendat Nation)
Zora Crnojacki (OEB staff)

ENBRIDGE GAS INC.

Answer to Interrogatory from
OEB Staff

Interrogatory

Reference:

Application, September 29, 2025, Exhibit H, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Attachment 7, Page 4 of 7

Application, September 29, 2025 Exhibit H, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Attachment 8, Line item 7.5

Preamble:

As of August 29, 2025, representatives of Wendat Nation had not identified any outstanding concerns. Wendat Nation advised that it would provide comments on the draft ER and Stage 1 AA report by August 22. Enbridge Gas stated it would continue to engage with Wendat Nation in relation to the Project.

Question(s):

- 1) Has Wendat Nation provided its comments on the draft ER and Stage 1 AA report? If so, please share those comments.
- 2) Please provide details on how Enbridge Gas will engage with Wendat Nation for the remainder of the Project?

Response:

1. Enbridge Gas has not received any comments directly from Wendat Nation on the draft Environmental Report or Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment. On August 22, 2025, Wendat Nation emailed Enbridge Gas to provide a letter regarding the Stage 1 AA. The letter stated that Wendat Nation found the Stage 1 AA to be satisfactory. See Exhibit H, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Attachment 8, Item 7.21 to the Application.
2. Enbridge Gas will continue to actively engage with Wendat Nation throughout the entire lifecycle of the Project to ensure meaningful ongoing dialogue concerning the Project. Enbridge Gas will endeavor to meet with Wendat Nation, provided

they are willing, for the purpose of exchanging information regarding the Project and to respond to inquiries in a timely manner. Enbridge Gas will endeavor to address concerns to ensure any Project-related impacts can be mitigated. Enbridge Gas conducts its engagement generally through phone calls, in-person meetings, mail-outs, open-houses and email communications.

ENBRIDGE GAS INC.

Answer to Interrogatory from
OEB Staff

Interrogatory

Reference:

Updated Application- January 16, 2026, Exhibit H, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Attachment 7:
Indigenous Consultation Report: Summary Tables (as of January 7, 2026) and
Attachment 2

Preamble:

In its summary table filed in evidence, Enbridge Gas summarized the questions and concerns regarding the Project that were raised by Indigenous communities identified as being owed consultation in MEM's delegation letter, issued March 6, 2025.

Question(s):

1) Please provide a summary of all forms of accommodation that Enbridge Gas has committed and agreed to make or has made to each of the consulted Indigenous communities in response to concerns raised in the Indigenous consultation process for the Project.

Response:

Enbridge Gas primarily addressed any concerns raised by Indigenous communities by providing relevant Project information and explaining how proposed mitigation measures outlined in the Environmental Report prepared for the Project (ER) would limit impacts on the matters of interest to the communities. Enbridge Gas notes that the mitigation measures identified in the ER are designed to minimize the extent of impacts of the Project, including impacts on wildlife, water courses, vegetation and archaeological resources, which are often matters of concern to Indigenous communities.

Specific commitments made by Enbridge Gas to Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation (MCFN) and Curve Lake First Nation (CLFN) are summarized below. Further details of

these commitments are included the Indigenous Consultation Report (ICR) and the ICR Summary Table.¹

In response to MCFN's comments raised in respect of:

- information on protecting and monitoring wetland function post construction, Enbridge Gas committed to monitoring via site visits and documenting reclamation success;
- contractor training materials, Enbridge Gas committed to share the developed environmental training with MCFN;
- access to the final monitoring report, Enbridge Gas committed to share all post construction monitoring results with MCFN; and
- in response to spill notifications, Enbridge Gas committed it will contact MCFN in the event of a reportable incident.

In response to CLFN's comments raised in respect of:

- watercourse crossing using open cut methodology, Enbridge Gas committed to informing CLFN should an open cut approach become necessary;
- maps for identifying all proposed temporary laydown areas, Enbridge Gas committed to share the Ecological Land Classification figures and the figures showing the temporary laydown areas, once complete;
- a request to provide additional information around restoration, Enbridge Gas committed to provide additional information to CLFN; and
- a request that CLFN be offered the opportunity to participate in restoration field activities, Enbridge Gas committed to invite CLFN to participate in post construction site visits.

¹ Exhibit H, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Attachment 7 (Summary Tables); Exhibit H, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Attachment 8 (Indigenous Consultation Log)

ENBRIDGE GAS INC.

Answer to Interrogatory from
OEB Staff

Interrogatory

Reference:

Updated Application- January 16, 2026, Exhibit H, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Attachment 7,
Page 3-4 of 11

Preamble:

On December 4, 2025, Curve Lake First Nation (CLFN) submitted comments on the ER and the proposed Project.

On December 12, 2025, CLFN and Enbridge Gas met in person to discuss the Project. After the meeting, CLFN sent a follow-up email seeking clarification on timing for additional site-specific environmental surveys that would occur on the final route.

Question(s):

- 1) Were the CLFN comments from December 4, 2025, discussed at the December 12, 2025, meeting? If so, please provide details of the discussion.
- 2) Has Enbridge provided a response to the CLFN comments from December 4, 2025? If so, please provide the response. If not, please indicate when Enbridge intends to respond.

Response:

- 1) At the December 12, 2025, meeting, Enbridge Gas confirmed that it had received the CLFN comments that were provided on December 4, 2025 (the CLFN Comments), and that responses to the CLFN Comments would be prepared by Enbridge Gas and circulated to CLFN once completed.
- 2) Yes, Enbridge Gas formally responded to the CLFN Comments on February 6, 2026. Please see Attachment 1 for the responses Enbridge Gas sent to CLFN

**Enbridge Gas Inc. (“Enbridge Gas”)
Responses to Curve Lake First Nation (“CLFN”) comments
on the Humber Station Road Community Expansion Project (“Project”)**

Enbridge Gas’ Responses to CLFN Comments received on December 4, 2025, on the Project		
Item	CLFN comment	Enbridge Gas response
1	Curve Lake would like to recommend that if detailed surveys identify maternity roosts for bats or other noise-sensitive species within or near the Project footprint, appropriate noise monitoring should be implemented during construction. Noise levels should be measured and managed according to established thresholds to minimize disturbance to these sensitive species, particularly during critical breeding and roosting periods.	Enbridge Gas will implement noise attenuation mitigation measures in accordance with Table 6-10 of the Environmental Report. Construction activities will primarily occur during daytime hours to minimize disturbance. Equipment placement will be managed to concentrate noise in limited areas, and the inspection and monitoring protocols identified in the Environmental Report (Section 10.0) will be followed.
2	We recommend that the report revise the rating for Indigenous Community Land & Resource Use during construction from “No” to “Yes”. The Project is occurring within Williams Treaty Territory, and even if activities are confined to previously disturbed areas, construction still affects the natural environment and contributes to cumulative effects.	The Project will be mainly constructed within the municipal road of ROW, and on privately owned lands within the distribution area. The purpose of Table 2-3 "Interaction Matrix" in the ER is to show what components are anticipated to interact with Project construction and operation activities. We will consider and update as appropriate if there is new information to indicate that Indigenous land and resource use activities are conducted in the construction area of the Project that would require additional assessment and mitigation.
3	If the open-cut method is ultimately required for any watercourse crossing, please ensure that Curve Lake First Nation is notified in advance, and consider extending an invitation to observe the construction activity.	Enbridge Gas will notify Curve Lake First Nation if any open-cut method is required for watercourse crossings.
4	We recommend that all watercourses within the Project footprint be fully assessed prior to construction, including intermittent watercourses during periods of flow. This assessment should include, but not be limited to, electrofishing surveys, mussel surveys, substrate and habitat characterization, and documentation of riparian vegetation and aquatic features. While trenchless methods (HDD) are the preferred approach for all crossings, there is always the potential that trenchless construction may not be feasible at certain sites. In such cases, open-cut methods may be required, and full pre-construction ecological data will ensure that mitigation measures can be properly implemented, and adaptive management is possible. Additionally, this comprehensive baseline assessment will support post-construction monitoring and allow Enbridge to identify opportunities to improve aquatic habitat, such as riparian	The Project will be constructed via HDD in relation to all watercourse crossings; as such, the Project will not involve in-water works. Since no in-water works will be required to accommodate Project construction, a further study for an aquatics habitat assessment on watercourse crossings is not required. Should this construction method change, Enbridge Gas will notify Curve Lake First Nation, and additional applicable assessments and mitigations will be considered and implemented.

	<p>planting, substrate enhancement, or barrier removal, thereby supporting the goal of leaving watercourses in better condition than pre-construction.</p>	
<p>5</p>	<p>Curve Lake requests clarification on why the CEA is limited to a 2 km buffer. We recommend expanding the assessment to the subwatershed level, or at least following watercourses upstream and downstream, to better capture cumulative effects on water quality, aquatic habitat, wetlands, and woodlands. This approach would provide a clearer understanding of existing stressors and the Project's contribution to cumulative effects.</p>	<p>The nature of the Project, together with the current environmental setting, establishes the extent of interactions between the Project and the environment. Those interactions form the basis on which effects are predicted, and for understanding the appropriate level of detail needed about the setting, interactions, and predicted effects. The Ontario Energy Board's (OEB) Environmental Guidelines, which we have followed, establish the level of effort and scale of the cumulative effects assessment (CEA).</p> <p>Based on professional experience of projects of similar size and scope (including trenchless methods proposed for all watercourse crossings), the environmental setting, and its location within existing municipal road ROW, the CEA boundaries are considered appropriate to assess the Project's contribution to cumulative effects, as described in Section 7.0 of the ER.</p>
<p>6</p>	<p>Curve Lake would like to request that mitigation measures to protect wildlife include the development of a formal wildlife roadkill monitoring program. Furthermore, we would like to request that the environmental inspector be present on-site daily to ensure proactive and responsive management of wildlife-related issues during all stages of construction.</p>	<p>Enbridge Gas remains committed to minimizing potential impacts on wildlife during construction and operation of the Project.</p> <p>Environmental inspection will be completed at the site on a regular basis to support construction activities, including the identification, documentation, and proactive management of any incidental wildlife encounters.</p> <p>All on-site construction personnel will receive environmental orientation training, including training on measures to protect wildlife. The Trained construction field personnel and/or the Environmental Inspector will conduct daily wildlife sweeps prior to the commencement of daily construction activities. During these sweeps, the construction area will be inspected and respectfully cleared of wildlife that may be present before work begins. Any wildlife encounters or observations, including incidents involving wildlife, will be monitored and documented through Enbridge Gas's existing environmental inspection and reporting processes, and will be reviewed by the Environmental Inspector. Construction activities will generally occur during daylight hours, with minimal disturbance anticipated during nighttime periods, allowing continued use of adjacent habitats and established wildlife corridors.</p>

		<p>Enbridge Gas will evaluate the effectiveness of mitigation strategies in coordination with trained construction personnel and the Environmental Inspector. Proactive monitoring of the documentation and effectiveness of existing mitigation will help inform adaptive management, and identify enhancement opportunities beyond avoidance, where appropriate and feasible. These may include adjustments to construction timing, additional wildlife sweeps, temporary fencing or exclusion measures, vehicle speed controls or signage, and additional environmental awareness for site personnel, as appropriate based on site-specific conditions and feasibility. With these measures in place, Enbridge Gas does not anticipate an increase in wildlife mortality as a result of the Project.</p>
7	<p>Once targeted natural heritage surveys have been completed, please provide a map identifying all proposed temporary laydown areas and include an ELC layer to assess potential habitat disturbance more effectively.</p>	<p>Once targeted natural heritage surveys have been completed, the ELC figures will be shared. Storage of materials and equipment will occur within road ROW, as specified in Section 5.3 of the ER, in the vicinity of active construction, and will move along the alignment as construction proceeds. No additional temporary workspace requirements outside of the road ROW are anticipated.</p>
8	<p>Curve Lake would also like to request to be included in the development of restoration plans before they are finalized or approved internally.</p>	<p>Enbridge Gas acknowledges Curve Lake First Nation’s request to be involved in restoration plans. Enbridge Gas will share post-construction monitoring reports and coordinate invitations for post-construction field visits, which will provide Curve Lake First Nation the ability to visit the site post-construction. Restoration will focus on returning areas to pre-existing conditions within the road ROW.</p>
9	<p>We would like to reiterate that we fully support the adoption of the native seed mixtures previously shared by MSIFN.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments.</p>
10	<p>We recommend that wildlife connectivity assessments be integrated into the targeted natural heritage surveys. This would help identify key movement patterns, roadkill hotspots, and areas where connectivity enhancements could be implemented. Such an approach aligns with our Nation’s perspective that restoration should go beyond returning the land to a degraded baseline shaped by historical disturbances and instead aim to leave the environment in an improved condition for future generations.</p>	<p>Wildlife protection will be proactively monitored through daily construction site inspections, including daily wildlife sweeps prior to construction commencing each day, and ongoing documentation of wildlife observations throughout the construction period. Site sweeps and inspections will document wildlife encounters or sightings if seen, any road mortalities that occur in or around the construction area, and any site-specific barriers that the environmental inspector or construction personnel become aware of that prevent wildlife movement. In addition, construction will primarily occur during daylight hours to minimize disturbance and allow continued use of adjacent habitats and corridors during nighttime periods.</p>

		<p>The Project is not anticipated to create permanent barriers to wildlife movement or connectivity, considering all of the infrastructure (apart from one district station) will be installed below ground.</p>
<p>11</p>	<p>Curve Lake would like to request that Enbridge provide additional information regarding the stations associated with the Humber Station Road Community Expansion Project, whether they are new or upgrades to existing facilities. Specifically, we request:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Annual greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions in tonnes CO2 equivalent. ○ Estimated emissions of other air pollutants, including nitrogen oxides (NOx), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and particulate matter. ○ Any mitigation measures or best practices currently implemented to reduce emissions. 	<p>After further consideration during detailed design, Enbridge Gas anticipates there will be no new facilities or upgrades to existing facilities required as part of the Humber Station Community Expansion project. If this changes, Enbridge Gas will notify Curve Lake First Nation accordingly.</p>

ENBRIDGE GAS INC.

Answer to Interrogatory from
OEB Staff

Interrogatory

Reference:

Application, September 29, 2025, Exhibit H, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Attachment 7, Page 6 of 7

Preamble:

On August 5, 2025, the Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation (MCFN) emailed Enbridge Gas stating it had reviewed the ER and provided written questions addressing: tree compensation; habitat restoration; crossing of tributaries and wetlands; alteration of wetlands or waterbodies; environmental training for contractors; and crossing methods. MCFN noted interest in the final monitoring report and being informed of spills.

On September 4, 2025, Enbridge Gas responded to MCFN's questions, including: tree removal is not anticipated and the process that would be followed should tree removal become necessary; removal of invasive species from the Project area; mitigation and restoration measures for habitat; no impacts to watercourses and wetlands are expected due to trenchless construction methods; implementation of HDD contingency plans and sediment control; and monitoring of wetland function and aquatic connectivity. Enbridge Gas committed to sharing environmental training materials developed for contractors on the Project and post construction monitoring results with MCFN and to contacting MCFN in the event of a reportable incident.

Question(s):

- 1) Have there been further communications with MCFN after Enbridge Gas's response on September 4, 2025? If so, please provide the communications.
- 2) Please provide details on how Enbridge Gas will engage MCFN for the remainder of the Project.

Response:

1. Yes. Of note, and on September 9, 2025, an MCFN representative emailed the Enbridge Gas representative to advise they had no further questions or

comments subsequent to Enbridge Gas's response on September 4, 2025. Since that time, Enbridge Gas and MCFN have also exchanged emails relating to upcoming Stage 2 archaeological fieldwork and coordinated participation through field liaison and technical review agreements. MCFN confirmed interest in joining the fieldwork, provided draft agreements, and later returned revised signed versions, while Enbridge Gas reviewed, finalized, and returned the executed agreements. Timmins Martelle Heritage Consultants shared Stage 1 findings and later provided deployment details for Stage 2 fieldwork. MCFN also requested approval to use the 407 ETR for travel to the project site, which Enbridge Gas confirmed was acceptable. Please see the filed Indigenous Consultation Log for the Humber Station Project provided in Exhibit H, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Attachment 9, lines 10.21 to 10.36 for further details.

2. Enbridge Gas will continue to actively engage with MCFN throughout the entire lifecycle of the Project to ensure meaningful ongoing dialogue concerning the Project. Enbridge Gas will endeavor to meet with MCFN, provided they are willing, for the purpose of exchanging information regarding the Project, and to respond to inquiries in a timely manner. Enbridge Gas will endeavor to address concerns to ensure any Project-related impacts can be mitigated. Enbridge Gas conducts its engagement generally through phone calls, in-person meetings, mail-outs, open-houses and email communications.

ENBRIDGE GAS INC.

Answer to Interrogatory from
OEB Staff

Interrogatory

Reference:

Updated Application- Jan 16, 2026, Exhibit H, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Attachment 7:
Indigenous Consultation Report: Summary Tables

Preamble:

Regarding capacity funding, Enbridge Gas noted that it offered funding to all Indigenous communities potentially affected by the Project. According to Enbridge Gas, capacity funding will support timely activities such as technical review of project documents and engagement in meaningful consultation.

Question(s):

- 1) Please confirm which Indigenous communities have inquired about capacity funding, accepted the capacity funding offered and were provided capacity funding by Enbridge Gas.
 - a. Provide a description of the activities supported by the capacity funding that was provided.
- 2) Please advise if any Indigenous community raised concerns with Enbridge Gas with respect to the capacity funding being offered and if so, how Enbridge Gas responded to such concerns.

Response:

- 1) As of January 30, 2026, Alderville First Nation, Wendat Nation, and Mississaugas of Scugog Island First Nation have all accepted the offer for capacity funding by Enbridge Gas for the Project. Capacity funding has been provided to Wendat Nation and Mississaugas of Scugog Island First Nation. Capacity funding has not yet been provided to Curve Lake First Nation as Enbridge Gas is waiting for the related invoice.

- 1) a. While the capacity funding is designated for engagement related to the Project, Enbridge Gas does not set out specific activities that must be undertaken as it relates to the funding, recognizing that different Indigenous communities may be interested in different aspects of the Project and have varying degrees of overall interest. In Enbridge Gas's experience, capacity funding is typically used by Indigenous communities to support engagement activities related to the Project, including but not limited to the technical review of Project documentation, including the environmental report and archaeological assessment (AA) reports prepared for the Project, participation in Project meetings, reviewing and responding to emails and assisting in assessing any significant sites within the Project area.
- 2) No concerns have been raised with Enbridge Gas regarding the capacity funding that has been offered in relation to the Project.

ENBRIDGE GAS INC.

Answer to Interrogatory from
OEB Staff

Interrogatory

Reference:

Updated Application- January 16, 2026, Exhibit H, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Para 5

Preamble:

In its application filed on September 29, 2025, Enbridge Gas stated that the ICR was provided to MEM on the date the application was filled with the OEB.

Question(s):

1) Please confirm if an ICR was provided to MEM. Please provide further information on this including the date and whether the ICR provided to MEM is the same as that provided to the OEB. If there is any difference in the information provided to MEM, please provide an explanation and also provide the ICR submitted to MEM.

- a. If Enbridge Gas has not yet provided the ICR please explain why and provide a timeline for when the ICR is expected to be provided to MEM.

Response:

The ICR that was included in: (i) the September 29, 2025, Application that was filed with the OEB was provided to the MEM on October 2, 2025; (ii) the January 16, 2026, Application that was filed with the OEB was provided to the MEM on January 19, 2026. The ICRs that were provided to the MEM were identical to the ones provided to the OEB.

ENBRIDGE GAS INC.

Answer to Interrogatory from
OEB Staff

Interrogatory

Reference:

Updated Application- January 16, 2026, Exhibit H, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Attachment 5:
Sufficiency Letter (not filed)

Preamble:

MEM delegated the procedural aspects of consultation to Enbridge Gas and will provide a letter with its opinion on the adequacy of the procedural aspects of Indigenous consultation undertaken by Enbridge Gas related to the Project. This letter is referred to interchangeably as the "Sufficiency Letter" or the "Letter of Opinion". Enbridge Gas stated that the Sufficiency Letter would be filed on the record once it has been received by Enbridge Gas.

Question(s):

- 1) What is the expected date when Enbridge Gas anticipates that the Sufficiency Letter will be provided by MEM?

Response:

- 1) Enbridge Gas anticipates receiving a Sufficiency Letter from the MEM close to the end of the record for the proceeding. The Sufficiency Letter will be provided to the OEB following its receipt from the MEM.