



**Evan Tomek**  
Senior Advisor  
Regulatory Applications - LTC  
Regulatory Affairs

tel (226) 229-9598  
Evan.Tomek@enbridge.com  
EGRegulatoryProceedings@enbridge.com

**Enbridge Gas Inc.**  
3840 Rhodes Drive  
P.O. Box 700  
Windsor, ON N9A 6N7  
Canada

March 4, 2026

**VIA EMAIL and RESS**

Ritchie Murray  
Acting Registrar  
Ontario Energy Board  
2300 Yonge Street, 27<sup>th</sup> floor  
P.O. Box 2319  
Toronto, Ontario, M4P 1E4

Dear Ritchie Murray:

**Re: Enbridge Gas Inc. (“Enbridge Gas” or the “Company”)  
Ontario Energy Board (“OEB”) File No.: EB-2025-0306  
Lanark and Balderson Community Expansion Project (the “Project”)  
Request to Extend Deadline to file Submissions Regarding Proposed  
Evidence**

---

Pursuant to the OEB’s Procedural Order No. 1 (PO No. 1) for the EB-2025-0306 proceeding, Climate Network Lanark (“CNL”) was ordered the following:

CNL shall file a letter that provides a full description and cost estimate of the evidence it wishes to file by February 25, 2026.<sup>1</sup>

Kent Elson (CNL counsel) filed the above-referenced letter on March 1, 2026 (four days after the stated deadline and received by Enbridge Gas on March 2, 2026<sup>2</sup>). Within that letter, Mr. Elson stated the following:

“CNL consents to the deadline for other parties to respond to this proposal being extended by three business days, without a change in CNL’s reply deadline, which will avoid any impact on the overall hearing schedule set out in *Procedural Order #1*.”<sup>3</sup>

Enbridge Gas intends to file a submission in this proceeding regarding CNL’s proposed evidence. The purpose of this letter, however, is to formally request an extension of the deadline of March 4, 2026 for submissions from OEB staff, intervenors and Enbridge Gas regarding CNL’s proposed evidence due to CNL’s lateness and disregard of the OEB’s *Rules of Practice and Procedure* (“Rules”).<sup>4</sup>

Enbridge Gas interprets March 9, 2026 as the deadline that CNL consents to, as outlined in its March 1, 2026 letter and as referenced above. Therefore, Enbridge Gas would like to request a formal extension of the March 4, 2026 deadline to file submissions regarding CNL’s proposed evidence to March 9, 2026.

---

<sup>1</sup> EB-2025-0306, Procedural Order No. 1, February 18, 2026, p. 7.

<sup>2</sup> Enbridge Gas became aware of CNL’s letter on Monday, March 2, 2026 during normal business hours.

<sup>3</sup> CNL Submission, March 1, 2026, p. 2.

<sup>4</sup> [Rules of Practice and Procedure](#)

Enbridge Gas also submits that there should be no change in CNL's reply deadline of March 20, 2026, as suggested by CNL in its submission and as referenced above.

Enbridge Gas notes that the knock-on effect of CNL's late filing will result in an overlap in the procedural timelines set in PO No. 1 as interrogatories from OEB staff and intervenors are due to be filed and served on Enbridge Gas by March 5, 2026, creating an unanticipated resource conflict for Enbridge Gas. As a result, if the OEB accepts CNL's late filing, Enbridge Gas requests that the OEB extend the deadline by which Enbridge Gas shall respond to interrogatories from March 19, 2026, to March 23, 2026. The regulatory inefficiency resulting from CNL and its counsel's disregard for the OEB's Rules will be just one of the items highlighted in Enbridge Gas's submission regarding CNL's letter of March 1, 2026 and the proposed evidence discussed therein.

Yours truly,

*Evan Tomek*

Evan Tomek  
Senior Advisor, Regulatory Applications – Leave to Construct

Cc: Arend Wakeford (Enbridge Gas Counsel)  
Natalya Plummer (OEB Case Manager)  
Michael Brophy (Pollution Probe)  
Kent Elson (Climate Network Lanark)  
Kate Siemiatycki (Climate Network Lanark)  
Dwayne R. Quinn (Federation of Rental-housing Providers of Ontario)