



Ontario Power Generation Inc. and DNNP LP

**Application for payment amounts for the period from
January 1, 2027, to December 31, 2031**

**PROCEDURAL ORDER NO. 2
MARCH 4, 2026**

Ontario Power Generation Inc. (OPG) and DNNP LP (collectively, the Applicants) filed an application on December 17, 2025 with the Ontario Energy Board (OEB) under section 78.1 of the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998*. This application seeks approval to set payment amounts for the output of OPG's regulated hydroelectric generating facilities and the output of the Applicants' respective nuclear generating facilities. The application covers the five-year term beginning January 1, 2027, through December 31, 2031.

A Pre-Hearing Conference was held February 18 and 19, 2026. In addition to the Applicants and OEB staff, the following intervenors participated:

- Association of Major Power Consumers in Ontario (AMPCO)
- Building Owners and Managers Association Toronto (BOMA)
- Consumers Council of Canada (CCC)
- Coalition of Concerned Manufacturers and Businesses of Canada
- Energy Probe Research Foundation
- Independent Electricity System Operator
- Minogi Corp.
- Ontario Association of Physical Plant Administrators (OAPPA)
- Power Workers Union (PWU)
- Quinte Manufacturers Association
- School Energy Coalition (SEC)
- The Society of United Professionals
- Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition (VECC)
- WTFN Investment Holdings LP

At the Pre-Hearing Conference, the parties agreed to certain revisions to the Issues List, and to a schedule for the initial procedural steps in this proceeding.

Issues List

At the Pre-Hearing Conference, there was agreement on certain revisions to the draft Issues List that was attached to Procedural Order No. 1. Some of these related to clarifying wording changes; others involved reinserting issues originally proposed by the Applicants but omitted from the Procedural Order No. 1 draft; and one was an entirely new issue regarding the recovery of any forgone revenue in the event there is a difference between the effective date and the implementation date for new payment amounts.

The OEB approves the proposed revisions for which there was consensus.

A few issues were proposed to expand or clarify the scope of the proceeding but not resolved by consensus. The OEB addresses these proposals as follows:

- The Applicants proposed the addition of an issue, “Has OPG responded to all relevant OEB directions from previous proceedings?” The OEB will not add this to the Issues List as a standalone issue, but parties will have the opportunity to explore the question as it relates to any other issues on the Issues List.
- OAPPA suggested that the Applicants’ choice of small modular reactor technology for the Darlington New Nuclear Program should be in scope; the Applicants objected on the grounds that the approval of specific nuclear technologies falls under the authority of the Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission. The OEB agrees with the Applicants on this point.
- OEB staff indicated that it may wish to explore, in the context of other issues, accounting standards in the proceeding, in particular a potential transition from US GAAP to IFRS, potentially during the latter part of the proposed payment amount term. The OEB will not make a determination on this now. OEB staff and intervenors may inquire about accounting standards to the extent they are relevant to other issues on the approved Issues List. If scoping disputes arise, the OEB can resolve them, if necessary, in a motion (e.g., a motion challenging a refusal to answer an interrogatory) in the context of the record and the arguments filed.
- OEB staff also indicated that it may have questions for the Applicants about Deferral and Variance Accounts (DVAs) that are not proposed for disposition. OEB staff clarified that questions could include both the current balances that have not been provided in the application and future balances for which projections are incomplete or not provided. The Applicants objected on the grounds of irrelevance given its application. The OEB will not make a

determination on this now. Again, if scoping disputes arise, they can be dealt with in a motion.

The approved Issues List is attached as Schedule A. The changes as against the draft Issues List in Procedural Order No. 1 are shown in Schedule B.

OEB Staff and Intervenor Evidence

On February 10, 2026, OEB staff filed a letter informing the OEB and all participants that it intends to engage experts to review parts of the Applicants' evidence and possibly prepare evidence on the Applicants' proposed deemed capital structure, proposed hydroelectric Custom Price-Cap Index, and the proposed nuclear Custom Incentive Rate-setting framework.

At the Pre-Hearing Conference, OEB staff committed to providing an update as to the status of retaining experts to file evidence. The OEB expects OEB staff to elaborate on the evidence it proposes to submit for OEB approval. With this additional information, other parties may determine if it is necessary for them to request to submit additional evidence. OEB staff will provide this update to the OEB and all parties by **March 6, 2026**. Intervenors intending to file evidence shall file a request letter with the OEB by **March 11, 2026**.

Hearing Schedule

At the Pre-Hearing Conference, parties worked together to develop a schedule for the proceeding up to the commencement of the settlement conference.

The OEB approves the developed schedule but has added placeholders for two Motions Days to resolve any disputes that may arise before the technical conference and the settlement conference. The schedule is attached as Schedule C. If necessary, such motions would be heard on **April 28, 2026**, and/or **June 23, 2026**.

The OEB has also added a provisional end date for the settlement conference: **July 7, 2026**. By **July 8, 2026**, a letter from the Applicants shall be filed indicating whether parties believe that a full or partial, settlement is achievable if additional time is granted.

The OEB panel will evaluate whether there is value in the settlement conference continuing based on the settlement progress letter. If there is the prospect of a full, partial, or substantial but incomplete settlement, the OEB panel may provide for the settlement conference to continue.

If the settlement conference does not result in a complete settlement, the parties are asked to propose jointly a schedule for the hearing of the unsettled issues.

Other Matters

At the Pre-Hearing Conference, CCC asked the OEB to waive the requirement under Rule 26.02(e)(ii) of the *Rules of Practice and Procedure* for interrogatories to be grouped by issue, and instead to allow parties to group them by exhibit. Given that no one objected to this request, the OEB approves it.

VECC suggested at the Pre-Hearing Conference that an “evidence to issue map” would be of assistance, and the Applicants agreed to produce one. The OEB expects OPG to file the evidence to issue map as agreed.

It is necessary to make provision for the following matters related to this proceeding. Further procedural orders may be issued by the OEB.

THE ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD ORDERS THAT:

Issues List

1. The Issues List attached as Schedule A is approved.

OEB Staff Evidence

2. OEB staff shall provide an update on the evidence it intends to file by **March 6, 2026**.
3. Intervenors intending to file evidence shall file a request letter with the OEB by **March 11, 2026**.
4. OEB staff evidence shall be filed with the OEB, and copied to the Applicants and intervenors, by **May 26, 2026**.
5. If any party seeks information and material with respect to any evidence filed by OEB staff that is in addition to the evidence filed with the OEB, and that is relevant to this proceeding, that information shall be requested by written interrogatories filed with the OEB, and copied to the Applicants and intervenors, by **June 2, 2026**.
6. OEB staff shall file with the OEB complete responses to the interrogatories and copy the responses to the Applicants and intervenors by **June 16, 2026**.
7. If the Applicants are filing reply evidence, that evidence shall be filed with the OEB, and copied to all intervenors and OEB staff, by **noon on June 26, 2026**.

Interrogatories

8. OEB staff shall request any relevant information and documentation from the Applicants that is in addition to the evidence already filed, by written interrogatories filed with the OEB and served on all parties by **March 11, 2026**. Interrogatories shall be filed by exhibit.
9. OEB staff and intervenors shall request any relevant information and documentation from the Applicants that is in addition to the evidence already filed, by written interrogatories filed with the OEB and served on all parties by **March 25, 2026**.
10. The Applicants shall file with the OEB complete written responses to all interrogatories and serve them on all intervenors by **April 22, 2026**. The Applicants shall include a table specifying which interrogatories, if any, it has refused to respond to and the reason for refusal.
11. OEB staff experts shall request any additional relevant information and documentation from the Applicants by written interrogatories to the OEB and served on all parties by **May 1, 2026**.
12. The Applicants' experts shall file with the OEB complete written responses to all these interrogatories and serve them on all intervenors by **May 15, 2026**.

Motions Hearing

13. If necessary, a transcribed motions hearing will be held on **April 28, 2026**, starting at 9:30 a.m. to hear any motions that have been filed. Further information on how to participate will be communicated to parties closer to the date.
14. If necessary, a transcribed motions hearing will be held on **June 23, 2026**, starting at 9:30 a.m. to hear any motions that have been filed. Further information on how to participate will be communicated to parties closer to the date.

Technical Conference

15. A transcribed technical conference will be held on **May 27, 2026**, starting at 9:30 a.m. If necessary, the technical conference will continue to **June 3, 2026**. OEB staff and intervenors shall file with the OEB, and provide to the Applicants, a description of the specific areas they will focus on at the technical conference, and time estimates by **May 21, 2026**. Further information on how to participate in the event will be communicated to parties closer to the date.

16. Responses to undertakings from the technical conference shall be filed with the OEB and sent to all intervenors by **June 22, 2026**.

Interim Cost Awards

17. Cost eligible intervenors shall file their cost claims for costs incurred to the end of the Technical Conference by **June 11, 2026**. A copy of each claim must be filed with the OEB and delivered to the Applicants.

Settlement Conference

18. A settlement conference among parties and OEB staff will be convened on **June 29, 2026**, starting at 9:30 a.m. If necessary, the settlement conference will continue to **July 7, 2026**. Further information on how to participate will be communicated to parties closer to the date. The OEB is asking all parties to hold July 8, 2026, to July 24, 2026, in the event additional time is required.
19. No later than **July 8, 2026**, the Applicants shall file a letter informing the OEB of the status of the settlement discussions including whether a tentative agreement has been reached or if parties propose to continue the settlement discussions.

Direction for preparing materials

- Parties are responsible for ensuring that any documents they file with the OEB, such as applicant and intervenor evidence, interrogatories and responses to interrogatories or any other type of document, **do not include personal information** (as that phrase is defined in the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*), unless filed in accordance with Rule 9A of the OEB's [Rules of Practice and Procedure](#).
- Filings should clearly state the filing date and the sender's name, postal address, telephone number and e-mail address.
- Other than for applications or as otherwise required or directed by the OEB, parties are not required to submit a cover letter for materials that are self-evident (e.g., interrogatories, submissions) unless the cover letter includes a request or additional information not included in the materials themselves.
- Parties are strongly encouraged to use bookmarks in their filings to aid in navigation.
- Parties should not append to their evidence entire OEB documents (e.g., decisions, policy documents, guidelines). Rather, parties should provide citations to the documents and a clear and concise summary of the relevant part(s) of the document. Parties are encouraged to use hyperlinks for complete, permanent, and publicly available versions of the documents, when possible.

- Parties should refrain from quoting material from documents unless it is essential to support their interrogatories or arguments.
- Parties are not required to provide a summary of the procedural history of a proceeding but may refer to that history where and to the extent needed for context to orient an issue or discussion.
- Parties must: (a) disclose where generative artificial intelligence was used to generate content included in a filing and (b) confirm that the accuracy of the portion of the filing generated by generative artificial intelligence has been verified by the party or its representative without the assistance of generative artificial intelligence.

How to file documents with the OEB

- Parties are required to quote file number (i.e., **EB-2025-0297**) for all materials filed and submit them in **searchable/unrestricted PDF format** (i.e., no printing or copying restrictions) with a digital signature through the [OEB's online filing portal](#).
- Parties should use the document naming conventions and document submission standards outlined in the [Regulatory Electronic Submission System \(RESS\) Document Guidelines](#) found at the [File documents online page](#) on the OEB's website.
- Parties are encouraged to use RESS. Those who have not yet [set up an account](#), or require assistance using the online filing portal can contact registrar@oeb.ca for assistance.
- Cost claims are filed through the OEB's online filing portal. Parties are encouraged to visit the [File documents online page](#) of the OEB's website for more information. Parties that are eligible for a cost award and that do not currently have an account in the cost claim portal should create an account as soon as their cost award eligibility has been confirmed by the OEB. All parties shall download a copy of their submitted cost claim for the purposes of service on the party(ies) paying cost awards as per the [Practice Direction on Cost Awards](#).
- All communications should be directed to the attention of the Registrar and be received by **4:45 p.m.** on the required date.
- With respect to distribution lists for all electronic correspondence and materials related to this proceeding, parties must include the Case Managers, Thomas Eminowicz at thomas.eminowicz@oeb.ca and Jeffrey Sauer at jeffrey.sauer@oeb.ca and OEB Counsel, Michael Millar at michael.millar@oeb.ca and Ian Richler at ian.richler@oeb.ca.

Email: registrar@oeb.ca

Tel: 1-877-632-2727 (Toll free)

DATED at Toronto, **March 4, 2026**

ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD

Ritchie Murray
Acting Registrar

SCHEDULE A
APPROVED ISSUES LIST
ONTARIO POWER GENERATION INC. AND DNNP LP
EB-2025-0297
PROCEDURAL ORDER NO. 2
MARCH 4, 2026

Approved Issues List
Customer and Overall Bill Impact
1. Are the 2027 hydroelectric payment amount and rate riders reasonable given the overall bill impact to customers?
2. Are the 2027-2031 nuclear payment amounts and rate riders reasonable given the overall bill impact to customers?
3. Is the Applicants' proposal for intra-period payment amount shaping an appropriate form of rate mitigation?
Custom IR Frameworks
4. Is the proposed Custom IR framework for setting the 2027-2031 hydroelectric payment amounts appropriate?
5. Is the proposed Custom IR framework for setting the 2027-2031 nuclear payment amounts appropriate?
Rate Base
6. Are the amounts proposed for the prescribed hydroelectric facilities rate base appropriate?
7. Are the amounts proposed for the OPG nuclear facilities rate base appropriate?
8. Are the amounts proposed for the Darlington New Nuclear Program (DNNP) facilities rate base appropriate?
Capital Structure and Cost of Capital
9. Are OPG's proposed capital structure, rate of return on equity, and proposed costs for the long-term and short-term debt components of its capital structure for the 2027-2031 term appropriate?
10. Are DNNP LP's proposed capital structure, rate of return on equity, and proposed costs for the long-term and short-term debt components of its capital structure for the 2027-2031 term appropriate?
Capital Projects
11. Do the costs associated with the hydroelectric projects that are subject to section 6(2)4 of O. Reg. 53/05 and proposed for recovery meet the requirements of that section?
12. Are the proposed hydroelectric capital expenditures and/or financial commitments and proposed in-service additions reasonable?
13. Do the costs associated with the nuclear projects that are subject to section 6(2)4 of O. Reg. 53/05 and proposed for recovery meet the requirements of that section?
14. Are OPG's proposed nuclear capital expenditures and/or financial commitments and proposed in-service additions (excluding those for the Pickering Refurbishment Program ("PRP") and the DNNP) reasonable?
15. Are OPG's proposed nuclear capital expenditures and/or financial commitments and proposed in-service additions for the PRP reasonable?
16. Are DNNP's proposed nuclear capital expenditures and/or financial commitments and proposed in-service additions reasonable?
Production Forecast
17. Is the proposed hydroelectric production forecast appropriate?
18. Is the proposed OPG nuclear facilities production forecast appropriate?
19. Is the proposed DNNP facilities production forecast appropriate?
Market Renewal Impacts
20. Is the proposed Hydroelectric Surplus Baseload Generation Variance Account spill calculation methodology appropriate?
21. Is the proposed Hydroelectric Incentive Mechanism ("HIM") methodology and HIM adjustment for spill appropriate?
22. Is the proposed treatment of make whole payments appropriate?

Operating Costs
23. Is the 2027 base and project Operations, Maintenance and Administration (“OM&A”) budget for the hydroelectric facilities appropriate?
24. Is the 2027-2031 base, project, outage and Pickering cyclical maintenance OM&A budget for OPG’s nuclear facilities appropriate?
25. Is the 2027-2031 base outage and operational readiness OM&A budget for the DNNP facilities appropriate?
26. Are the allocated corporate costs, centrally held costs, and asset service fees to (1) OPG’s nuclear business, (2) OPG’s hydroelectric business, and (3) DNNP LP, appropriate?
27. Is the proposed 2027 hydroelectric depreciation expense appropriate?
28. Is the proposed 2027-2031 OPG facilities nuclear depreciation expense appropriate?
29. Is the proposed 2027-2031 DNNP facilities depreciation expense appropriate?
30. Are the amounts proposed to be included in the 2027 hydroelectric revenue requirement for income and property taxes appropriate?
31. Are the amounts proposed to be included in the 2027-2031 OPG facilities nuclear revenue requirement for income and property taxes appropriate?
32. Are the amounts proposed to be included in the 2027-2031 DNNP facilities revenue requirement for income and property taxes appropriate?
33. Are the IR term human resource related costs (including wages, salaries, payments under contractual work arrangements, benefits, incentive payments, overtime FTEs and pension costs) appropriate?
Other Revenues
34. Are the forecasts of hydroelectric non-energy revenues appropriate?
35. Are the forecasts of nuclear non-energy revenues appropriate?
36. Are the IR term costs related to the Bruce Nuclear Generating Station, and costs and revenues related to the Bruce lease appropriate?
Nuclear Waste Management and Decommissioning Liabilities
37. Is the revenue requirement impact of the nuclear liabilities appropriately determined?
Deferral and Variance Accounts
38. Are the deferral and variance accounts that OPG and DNNP LP propose to continue, establish, and discontinue appropriate?
39. Are the methodologies used to determine additions to the deferral and variance accounts appropriate?
40. Are the balances for recovery and the proposed disposition amounts in each of the deferral and variance accounts appropriate?
Annual Process During the IR Term
41. Are the proposed reporting and record keeping requirements, including performance scorecards proposed by OPG and DNNP LP, appropriate?
42. What should be the scope and filing requirements of OPG and DNNP LP’s annual applications during the IR Term?
43. Do the Concurrent Cost Recovery amounts proposed meet the requirements set out in s. 6(2)12.1i and 14(2)5i of O. Reg. 53/05?
Implementation
44. Are the effective dates for new payment amounts and riders appropriate?
45. If there is a difference between the effective date and the implementation date for new payment amounts and riders, how should foregone revenue be recovered with due consideration to interim rates and customer impacts?

SCHEDULE B
CHANGES TO ISSUES LIST FROM PROCEDURAL ORDER NO. 1
ONTARIO POWER GENERATION INC. AND DNNP LP
EB-2025-0297
PROCEDURAL ORDER NO. 2
MARCH 4, 2026

Approved Issues List	
Customer and Overall Bill Impact	
1.	Are the 2027 hydroelectric payment amount and rate riders reasonable given the overall bill impact to customers? What rate mitigation if any is appropriate?
2.	Are the 2027-2031 nuclear payment amounts and rate riders reasonable given the overall bill impact to customers? What rate mitigation if any is appropriate (including intra-period payment amount shaping)?
3.	Is the Applicants' proposal for intra-period payment amount shaping an appropriate form of rate mitigation?
Custom IR Frameworks	
4.	Is the proposed Custom IR framework for setting the 2027-2031 hydroelectric payment amounts appropriate?
5.	Is the proposed Custom IR framework for setting the 2027-2031 nuclear payment amounts appropriate?
Rate Base	
6.	Are the amounts proposed for the prescribed hydroelectric facilities rate base appropriate?
7.	Are the amounts proposed for the OPG nuclear facilities rate base appropriate?
8.	Are the amounts proposed for the Darlington New Nuclear Program (DNNP) facilities rate base appropriate?
Capital Structure and Cost of Capital	
9.	Are OPG's proposed capital structure, rate of return on equity, and proposed costs for the long-term and short-term debt components of its capital structure for the 2027-2031 term appropriate?
10.	Are DNNP LP's proposed capital structure, rate of return on equity, and proposed costs for the long-term and short-term debt components of its capital structure for the 2027-2031 term appropriate?
Capital Projects	
11.	Do the costs associated with the hydroelectric projects that are subject to section 6(2)4 of O. Reg. 53/05 and proposed for recovery meet the requirements of that section?
12.	Are the proposed hydroelectric capital expenditures and/or financial commitments and proposed in-service additions reasonable?
13.	Do the costs associated with the nuclear projects that are subject to section 6(2)4 of O. Reg. 53/05 and proposed for recovery meet the requirements of that section?
14.	Are OPG's proposed nuclear capital expenditures and/or financial commitments and proposed in-service additions (excluding those for the Pickering Refurbishment Program ("PRP") and the DNNP) reasonable?
15.	Are OPG's proposed nuclear capital expenditures and/or financial commitments and proposed in-service additions for the PRP reasonable?
16.	Are DNNP's proposed nuclear capital expenditures and/or financial commitments and proposed in-service additions reasonable?
Production Forecast	
17.	Is the proposed hydroelectric production forecast appropriate?
18.	Is the proposed OPG nuclear facilities production forecast appropriate?
19.	Is the proposed DNNP facilities production forecast appropriate?
Market Renewal Impacts	
20.	Is the proposed Hydroelectric Surplus Baseload Generation Variance Account spill calculation methodology appropriate?
21.	Is the proposed Hydroelectric Incentive Mechanism ("HIM") methodology and HIM adjustment for spill appropriate?
22.	Is the proposed treatment of make whole payments appropriate?

Operating Costs
23. Is the 2027 base and project Operations, Maintenance and Administration (“OM&A”) budget for the hydroelectric facilities appropriate?
24. Is the 2027-2031 base, project, outage and Pickering cyclical maintenance OM&A budget for the OPG’s nuclear facilities appropriate?
25. Is the 2027-2031 base outage and operational readiness OM&A budget for the DNNP facilities appropriate?
26. Are the allocated corporate costs, centrally held costs, and asset service fees to (1) OPG’s nuclear business, (2) OPG’s hydroelectric business, and (3) DNNP LP, appropriate?
27. Is the proposed 2027 hydroelectric depreciation expense appropriate?
28. Is the proposed 2027-2031 OPG facilities nuclear depreciation expense appropriate?
29. Is the proposed 2027-2031 DNNP facilities depreciation expense appropriate?
30. Are the amounts proposed to be included in the 2027 hydroelectric revenue requirement for income and property taxes appropriate?
31. Are the amounts proposed to be included in the 2027-2031 OPG facilities nuclear revenue requirement for income and property taxes appropriate?
32. Are the amounts proposed to be included in the 2027-2031 DNNP facilities revenue requirement for income and property taxes appropriate?
33. Are the IR term human resource related costs (including wages, salaries, payments under contractual work arrangements, benefits, incentive payments, overtime FTEs and pension costs) appropriate?
Other Revenues
34. Are the forecasts of hydroelectric non-energy revenues appropriate?
35. Are the forecasts of nuclear non-energy revenues appropriate?
36. Are the IR term costs related to the Bruce Nuclear Generating Station, and costs and revenues related to the Bruce lease appropriate?
Nuclear Waste Management and Decommissioning Liabilities
37. Is the revenue requirement impact of the nuclear liabilities appropriately determined?
Deferral and Variance Accounts
38. Are the deferral and variance accounts that OPG and DNNP LP propose to continue, establish, and discontinue appropriate?
39. Are the methodologies used to determine additions to the deferral and variance accounts appropriate?
40. Are the balances for recovery and the proposed disposition amounts in each of the deferral and variance accounts appropriate?
Annual Process During the IR Term
41. Are the proposed reporting and record keeping requirements, including performance scorecards proposed by OPG and DNNP LP , appropriate?
42. What should be the scope and filing requirements of OPG and DNNP LP’s annual applications during the IR Term?
43. Do the Concurrent Cost Recovery amounts proposed meet the requirements set out in s. 6(2)12.1i and 14(2)5i of O. Reg. 53/05?
Implementation
44. Are the effective dates for new payment amounts and riders appropriate?
45. If there is a difference between the effective date and the implementation date for new payment amounts and riders, how should foregone revenue be recovered with due consideration to interim rates and customer impacts?

SCHEDULE C
APPROVED PROCESS TIMELINE
ONTARIO POWER GENERATION INC. AND DNNP LP
EB-2025-0297
PROCEDURAL ORDER NO. 2
MARCH 4, 2026

The following is a sequenced list of procedural steps described in this Procedural Order

Stage	Procedural Step	Planned Date
Discovery	OEB staff will share expert qualifications and scope of engagement with all parties	March 6, 2026
	OEB staff file interrogatories	March 11, 2026
	OEB Staff and intervenors file interrogatories	March 25, 2026
	Applicants file responses to interrogatories	April 22, 2026
Motions	Motions Hearing held	April 28, 2026
Discovery	Technical Conference begins	May 27, 2026
	Technical Conference ends (6 days)* potential extension if needed	June 3, 2026
	Undertakings filed	June 22, 2026
Interim Cost Awards	Cost eligible intervenors file cost claims for costs incurred to the end of the Technical Conference	June 11, 2026
Motions	Motions Hearing held	June 23, 2026
Staff Evidence	OEB Staff experts file second round of interrogatories (clarifying prior interrogatories)	May 1, 2026
	OPG experts file responses to second round of interrogatories	May 15, 2026
	OEB Staff file evidence on behalf of experts	May 26, 2026
	Parties file interrogatories on OEB staff evidence	June 2, 2026
	Interrogatory responses on OEB staff evidence	June 16, 2026
	Applicants file evidence in reply to OEB staff evidence	June 26, 2026
Settlement	Settlement Conference Begins	June 29, 2026
	Settlement Conference Ends	July 7, 2026
	Settlement Progress Letter Filed	July 8, 2026