

Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution Inc.
EB-2025-0010
March 2, 2026

Please note, Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution Inc. (RSL) is responsible for ensuring that all documents it files with the OEB, including responses to OEB staff questions and any other supporting documentation, do not include personal information as that phrase is defined in the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, unless filed in accordance with rule 9A of the OEB’s *Rules of Practice and Procedure*.

Staff Question-12

Ref: EB-2025-0010, Commodity Accounts Analysis Workform (formerly “GA Analysis Workform”) - 2026 Rate Application

Preamble:

In Tab GA2024 of the referenced file, Cell C77 (Item: *CT 148 True-up of GA Charges based on Actual Non-RPP Volumes – prior period corrections*) was filed as \$(36,252) on January 12, 2026. However, in the response submitted on January 27, 2026, the same item was reported as \$(101,413), as shown below, reflecting an additional credit of \$65,161.

The description for Cell B77 should be “*CT 148 True-up of GA Charges based on Actual Non-RPP Volumes – current year*”; however, it was changed to “*CT 148 True-up of GA Charges based on Actual Non-RPP Volumes – prior period corrections*”.

76	1a Volumes - prior year	\$	162,798		No
77	CT 148 True-up of GA Charges based on Actual Non-RPP Volumes - prior period corrections	\$	(101,413)		No

Question(s):

- a) Please confirm the amounts reported in Cell C77 of Tab GA2024 in the above reference.
 - i. If confirmed, please explain the increase by a credit of \$65,161.
- b) Please confirm the correct description that should apply to Cell B77.
 - i. Please provide a detailed explanation of the underlying basis and composition of this item.
 - ii. Does this amount relate to prior-period corrections rather than the current period? If so, please specify which prior period(s) it pertains to. In addition, please provide detailed and itemized information supporting this reconciling amount.

Response:

Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution confirms the description for Cell B77 should be “CT 148 True-up of GA Charges based on Actual Non-RPP Volumes – current year” The amount differed from the previous amount due to an oversight in the preliminary submission. It was subsequently realized that the True-up was understated and not reflected in the GL.

Staff Question-13

Ref: EB-2025-0010, Commodity Accounts Analysis Workform (formerly “GA Analysis Workform”) - 2026 Rate Application

Preamble:

In Tab GA2024 of the referenced file, Cell J79, the explanation provided for Item 2b states that “*subsequent to close determined amounts not included in the 2024 GL, to be billed in 2025, will be removed in next year’s reconciliation*”. This statement indicates that the amount should be recorded as a Principal Adjustment on the DVA Continuity Schedule; however, this treatment is not aligned with the selection of “No” in the column “*Principal Adjustment on DVA Continuity Schedule*”.

Question(s):

- a) Please confirm the explanation provided in Tab GA2024, Cell J79 for Item 2b.
 - i. If confirmed, please explain why the amounts described as “*subsequent to close determined amounts not included in the 2024 GL, to be billed in 2025*” were not recorded as a Principal Adjustment on the DVA Continuity Schedule, given that the explanation indicates they should be treated as such.

Response:

Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution confirms the amount should be recorded as a Principal adjustment on the DVSA continuity schedule and the correction has been made.