



Evan Tomek  
Senior Advisor  
Regulatory Applications - LTC  
Regulatory Affairs

Tel: (226) 229-9598  
[Evan.tomek@enbridge.com](mailto:Evan.tomek@enbridge.com)  
EGIRegulatoryProceedings@enbridge.com

Enbridge Gas Inc.  
3840 Rhodes Drive  
P.O. Box 700  
Windsor, ON N9A 6N7  
Canada

March 31, 2026

**VIA RESS AND EMAIL**

Ritchie Murray  
Acting Registrar  
Ontario Energy Board  
2300 Yonge Street, Suite 2700  
Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ritchie Murray:

**Re: Enbridge Gas Inc. (Enbridge Gas)  
Ontario Energy Board (OEB) File: EB-2025-0307  
Waubuno Gathering System and Compressor Replacement Project  
Interrogatories for Intervenor Evidence**

---

In accordance with the OEB's Procedural Order No. 2 dated March 3, 2026, enclosed please find the interrogatories of Enbridge Gas for the evidence filed by Donald Kabbes on March 17, 2026.

If you have any questions, please contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,

*Evan Tomek*

Evan Tomek  
Senior Advisor, Regulatory Applications – Leave to Construct

c.c. Henry Ren (Enbridge Gas Counsel)  
Randy Doradat (OEB Staff)  
Donald Kabbes (Independent Participant)

## **EGI-1**

### Reference

Section 3, *Location of My Residence*, p. 2.

### Preamble

Donald Kabbes (DK) states: "Based on the alignment shown in the project materials, the pipeline would be located approximately 62 feet from my home within the municipal road allowance directly in front of my residence."

### Question(s)

- a) Please clarify what "the alignment shown in the project materials" refers to within Enbridge Gas's pre-filed application and evidence.
- b) Please confirm what methodologies were used to determine the proposed pipeline would be located approximately 62 feet from your home.

## **EGI-2**

### Reference

Section 3, *Location of My Residence*, p. 3.

### Preamble

DK states: "Locating a high-pressure natural gas pipeline approximately 62 feet from a residence raises reasonable concerns regarding both safety perception and the long-term presence of industrial infrastructure immediately adjacent to a residential property."

### Question(s)

- a) Please explain the "reasonable concerns" that exist due to the "long-term presence of industrial infrastructure."

## **EGI-3**

### Reference

Section 4, *Impacts on Multiple Residential Properties*, pp. 3-4.

### Preamble

DK states: "In addition to my own home, four neighbouring residents and landowners would have the proposed pipeline located directly in front of their homes. Based on discussions with these neighbouring residents, they share similar concerns regarding the placement of a high-pressure natural gas pipeline directly in front of their homes. These neighbouring residents have indicated that they support the pipeline being routed

across agricultural land rather than through the residential road frontage. In their view, routing the pipeline across farm land would:

- Avoid placing high-pressure infrastructure directly adjacent to residences
- Reduce construction disturbance in front of homes
- Avoid impacts on existing services, municipal water, fibre optic cable, residential services
- Place the pipeline within land commonly used for pipeline infrastructure”

#### Question(s)

- a) Subject to any required redactions of personal or confidential information in accordance with the OEB’s Practice Direction for Confidential Filings<sup>1</sup>, with respect to the “neighbouring residents and landowners” and communications you had with them that specifically included the above-noted concerns, please provide (i) a summary table that includes the dates of communication, methods of communication, and concerns raised by the neighbouring residents regarding the proposed pipeline route, (ii) copies of related correspondence, and (iii) confirmation you have permission from them to discuss their views in this proceeding.

#### **EGI-4**

#### Reference

Section 5, *Construction Impacts*, pp 5-6.

#### Preamble

DK lists a number of potential impacts as a result of construction and states: “Even where restoration is attempted following construction, mature trees and established landscaping cannot be easily replaced, and their loss would permanently alter the character of the property frontage.”

#### Question(s)

- a) Please provide the source of your assumption that mature trees will be cleared during construction.
- b) Please confirm that you have reviewed Table 5.1 (Potential Impacts and Recommended Mitigation and Protection Measures) of the Environmental Report found at Exhibit F, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Attachment 1, and Enbridge Gas’s response to your concern regarding tree removal communicated at an October 14, 2025 meeting found at Attachment 1 to Exhibit I.STAFF-13, p. 3.

---

<sup>1</sup> [OEB's Practice Direction on Confidential Filings](#)

## **EGI-5**

### Reference

Section 7, *Lack of Direct Consultation with Affected Residents*, pp. 6 - 7.

### Preamble

DK states, "...I did not receive direct notice of the project, nor was I contacted directly by Enbridge Gas regarding the proposed routing of the pipeline in front of my property" and "To my knowledge, none of the neighbouring residential property owners directly affected by this routing received direct consultation regarding the project."

### Question(s)

- a) Please confirm that you received the Notice of Upcoming Project (NUP) found at Exhibit F, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Attachment 1, p. 148 sent by Stantec Consulting Ltd. (Stantec) on behalf of Enbridge Gas to adjacent residents on February 7, 2025<sup>2</sup>.
- b) Please confirm that you received the Notice of Study Commencement and Virtual Information Session (NOC) found at Exhibit F, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Attachment 1, p. 149 sent by Stantec on behalf of Enbridge Gas to adjacent residents on April 4, 2025<sup>3</sup>.
- c) Please confirm if you accessed the Virtual Information Session (VIS) available online from April 14, 2025 to April 28, 2025<sup>4</sup>.
- d) Please confirm that the NUP, the NOC, and the VIS provided you the opportunity as an adjacent resident to provide input on alternative pipeline routes.

## **EGI-6**

### Reference

Section 8, *Alternative Routing Across Agricultural Land*, p. 7.

### Preamble

DK states, "...an alternative routing option appears to exist that could significantly reduce the impacts on residential properties" and provides a description of where the alternative pipeline route could travel.

### Question(s)

- a) Please confirm that you are aware of and have reviewed the alternative route described in section 4 of the Environmental Report at Exhibit F, Tab 1, Schedule

---

<sup>2</sup> Exhibit F, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Attachment 1, p. 206.

<sup>3</sup> Ibid.

<sup>4</sup> Exhibit F, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Attachment 1, p. 154.

1, Attachment 1, and first introduced via the Notice of Study Commencement and Virtual Information Session<sup>5</sup>.

## **EGI-7**

### Reference

Section 9, *Evaluation of Routing Alternatives*, p. 8.

### Preamble

DK states, "...based on the information filed in the application materials, it is not clear whether alternative routing options across adjacent agricultural lands were evaluated or compared with the proposed road allowance route."

### Question(s)

- a) Please confirm if you have reviewed section 4 (Route Evaluation and Selection) of the Environmental Report found at Exhibit F, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Attachment 1.
- b) Please confirm if you have reviewed Enbridge Gas's responses to interrogatories filed on March 6, 2026 and specifically Enbridge Gas's responses at:
  - Exhibit I.DK-1
  - Exhibit I.DK-2
  - Exhibit I.DK-3
  - Exhibit I.DK-8
  - Exhibit I.DK-12
  - Exhibit I.DK-13
  - Exhibit I.DK-14
  - Exhibit I.DK-15

## **EGI-8**

### Reference

Pictures, pp. 10-12.

### Preamble

DK provides pictures showing the location of the proposed pipeline route in relation to his property.

### Question(s)

- a) Please provide the methodology used to determine the location of the pipeline route shown on the pictures.

---

<sup>5</sup> Exhibit F, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Attachment 1, p. 149.