Aegent
ENERGY ADVISORS INC.

1 Eva Road, Suite 317
Toronto, Ontario M9C 4Z5
Phone: 416.622.9449
Fax: 416.622.9797

December 1, 2008

Ms. Kirsten Walli
Board Secretary
Ontario Energy Board
P.O. Box 2319
2300 Yonge Street
27th Floor
Toronto, Ontario
M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

RE: Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. - 2009 Rates - Questions for Technical Conference
Ontario Energy Board File Number EB-2008-0219

Please find attached the Phase 1 technical conference questions of the Ontario Association of Physical Plant Administrators for Enbridge Gas Distribution.

Yours truly,

Valerie Young

Valerie Young
Director, Research and Analysis

Encl.

cc. Norm Ryckman, Director, Regulatory Affairs, Enbridge Gas Distribution
John Witjes, Engineering Director, Physical Plant Services, Queen's University

ENBRIDGE GAS DISTRIBUTION INC.
EB-2008-0219

Questions of the Ontario Association of Physical Plant Administrators
December 4-5 Technical Conference

1. Ref: Exhibit B, Tab1, Schedule 5, page 2, Table 2
   Exhibit B, Tab 1, Schedule 5, Appendix A, page 1

   Please explain the interrelationship between the 2009 volumes shown for unbundled customers in Table 2 on page 2 of Exhibit B, Tab 1, Schedule 5 (74.2 10^6m^3) and the 2009 budget volumes shown for Rate 300 in Exhibit B, Tab 1, Schedule 5, Appendix A, page 1 (51.7 10^6m^3).

2. Ref: Exhibit B, Tab 1, Schedule 5, page 16, para. 26

   Please explain more fully what Enbridge means, within the context of this paragraph, by the statement that reads: "Specifically, this rate design change reflects the implementation of increasing monthly customer charges for Rate 1 and Rate 6 on a revenue neutral basis by reducing variable charges accordingly and increasing both fixed and variable charges for other rate classes."

3. Ref: Exhibit B, Tab 3, Schedule 1, page 3, para. 12

   a. As an example of the general methodology used to arrive at the T-service rate impacts set out in this paragraph, please describe how the rate impact shown for Rate 115 was determined.

   b. Please explain how the T-service rate impact for Rate 100 was determined given that there are no Rate 100 volumes being forecast for 2009.

4. Ref: Exhibit B, Tab 3, Schedule 1, page 6, para. 21

   What distribution-related costs are recovered from the load balancing and commodity charges?

5. Ref: Exhibit B, Tab 3, Schedule 1, page 7, para. 23-25

   a. Is the assigned revenue requirement the starting point for designing the proposed rates for 2009? If not, please indicate what the initial point is.

   b. Please describe each of the subsequent steps to arrive at the proposed rates.

   c. Please illustrate the derivation of the proposed rates using Rate 115 as an example.