



Haris Ginis
Technical Manager
Regulatory Applications
Regulatory Affairs

tel 416 495 5827
cell 416 319 8684
haris.ginis@enbridge.com
EGRegulatoryProceedings@enbridge.com

Enbridge Gas Inc.
500 Consumers Road
North York, Ontario
M2J 1P8

VIA EMAIL and RESS

June 25, 2026

Ritchie Murray
Registrar
Ontario Energy Board
2300 Yonge Street, Suite 2700
Toronto, Ontario, M4P 1E4

Dear Ritchie Murray:

**Re: Enbridge Gas Inc. (“Enbridge Gas” or the “Company”)
Ontario Energy Board (“OEB”) File No. EB-2025-0295
2027-2030 Demand Side Management (“DSM”) Plan Application
Technical Conference Schedule**

Enbridge Gas writes in respect of Procedural Order (“PO”) No. 4 issued on June 23, 2026, to request that the technical conference be rescheduled to follow the intervenor evidence stage of the proceeding. In Enbridge Gas’s submission, this timing would better support the objectives of the technical conference and enhance the overall efficiency of the proceeding.

Background

On June 18, 2026, Enbridge Gas filed interrogatory responses regarding the Company’s pre-filed evidence. In PO No. 4, the OEB scheduled a technical conference related to those interrogatory responses for July 8-10, 2026.

PO No. 4 also established procedural steps for the filing of intervenor evidence (July 22), interrogatories on that evidence (July 29), responses to those interrogatories (August 5), and a procedural meeting (August 11).

Timing and Preparation Constraints

The scheduled technical conference occurs only 9 business days after the issuance of PO No. 4, and 12 business days after the filing of Enbridge Gas’s interrogatory responses. As a result, there is insufficient time for Enbridge Gas’s witnesses and support staff to review the filed material and prepare for the technical conference.

This concern is further influenced by witness availability, as several key Enbridge Gas witnesses are unavailable in the period leading up to July 8-10, 2026, including the period surrounding the July 1 statutory holiday, during which many of the Company's staff have previously scheduled time off. In addition, the volume of the interrogatory process, comprising of more than 1,000 interrogatories (including sub-parts), further increases the level of preparation required.

Preparing for a technical conference in these circumstances requires sufficient time to review the interrogatory responses, anticipate areas of inquiry, and coordinate among witnesses and support staff. Given that the purpose of the technical conference is to clarify and address follow-up questions arising from interrogatory responses, adequate preparation time is necessary to ensure witnesses can provide complete and useful oral responses and reduce the need for undertakings.

Procedural Efficiency and Impact on Timelines

In addition to timing and preparation constraints, Enbridge Gas submits that holding the technical conference after the intervenor evidence stage would support a more efficient regulatory proceeding.

PO No. 4 permits intervenor evidence on a broad range of matters, including expert evidence from Environmental Defence and the Green Energy Coalition. Given the breadth of that proposed evidence,¹ it is anticipated that follow-up questions for intervenor witnesses will arise. Holding the technical conference after the intervenor evidence stage would allow for follow-up questions on both Enbridge Gas's pre-filed evidence and intervenor evidence in a single forum, thereby avoiding the need for a second technical conference. While PO No. 4 contemplates further discussions regarding a second technical conference,² Enbridge Gas submits that consolidating follow-up questioning in a single technical conference would support a more efficient regulatory process, and is consistent with the approach the OEB adopted in the Company's previous multi-year DSM Plan proceeding.³

Importantly, this approach would not require changes to the other procedural timelines established in PO No. 4. Rather, coordinating the technical conference after the intervenor evidence stage would enhance the overall efficiency of the proceeding by avoiding additional procedural steps.

¹ See Environmental Defence and Green Energy Coalition's Intervenor Evidence Proposal, May 12, 2026, p. 2; which sets out a broad scope for the evidence.

² PO No. 4, p. 14.

³ See EB-2021-0002, Technical Conference Transcript Volumes 1-3, February 28 to March 2, 2022; which reflect participation by both Enbridge Gas and intervenor witnesses in a single technical conference.

Summary

For the reasons described above, Enbridge Gas respectfully requests that the OEB reschedule the technical conference from July 8-10, 2026, to a date following the filing of intervenor evidence interrogatory responses, which could be as early as the week of August 10, 2026.

If you have any questions, please contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,

Haris Ginis

Haris Ginis
Technical Manager, Regulatory Applications

cc: Dennis O'Leary (Aird & Berlis LLP, Enbridge Gas Counsel)
Raman Dhillon (OEB Counsel)
Michael Bell (OEB Staff)
Intervenors (EB-2025-0295)