

**EB-2008-0106
Exhibit IR6**

**IN THE MATTER OF a proceeding initiated by the Ontario Energy Board
to determine methodologies for commodity pricing, load balancing and
cost allocation for natural gas distributors.**

**INTERROGATORIES OF THE CITY OF KITCHENER
FOR UNION GAS LIMITED**

IR6.1. Ref: Exhibit E2, Pages 13 – 21
Examination of Possible Alternatives to Price-Setting Forecast and
Disposition Periods

Ref: Technical Conference Transcript, November 27, 2008
Page 97, line 24 thru Page 99, line 2

Issues 2.3 / 2.4

Is a quarterly price adjustment appropriate for the regulated gas supply
option? If not, what alternative frequency or frequencies should be used
by natural gas distributors?

- a) Please prepare and present an alternative scenario analysis (#4)
which assumes November 1 and April 1 rate filings based on a 12
month outlook of prices. Please illustrate and comment on the
balance between price stability and market price sensitivity
indicated by this less frequent alternative scenario relative to the
status quo and the three alternative scenarios presented by Union.

IR6.2. Ref: Exhibit E2, Page 66, lines 5 thru 26

Sub-Issue 8.1

What are the costs and benefits to ratepayers, gas marketers and natural
gas distributors of the current load balancing mechanisms used by each of
Union and Enbridge?

- a) Please confirm, in its integrated supply plan for the winter of 2008 / 2009, if Union continues to hold 29.5 PJ of balancing inventory to manage the forecast imbalance between the supply and demand of all Bundled-T contracts.
- b) Please reconcile the amount of balancing inventory in part (a) with the 100 PJ cap on storage for Union's in-franchise market per the NGEIR Decision by identifying and quantifying each of the remaining major components in its integrated supply plan for the winter of 2008 / 2009, i.e. balancing inventory for system gas customers, contract storage for semi-unbundled and unbundled customers, system integrity (disaggregated by component), and unutilized storage available for in-franchise use in excess of allocated amounts or ex-franchise use.

IR6.3. Ref: Technical Conference Transcript, November 27, 2008
Page 111, line 28 thru Page 112, line 3

Ref: Technical Conference November 27, 2008
Enbridge Presentation
Issue B: Load Balancing Obligations
Slide 9 – BGA Management "Tools" – see Note

Sub-Issue 8.1

Should there be standardized load balancing mechanisms for Union and Enbridge? What are the costs, benefits and implications to ratepayers, gas marketers and natural gas distributors of standardizing the load balancing mechanisms for Union and Enbridge?

- a) Please explain, unlike Enbridge, why Union cannot accept – as firm - load balancing transactions from direct purchase customers such as make-ups, suspensions, transfers, etc. once scheduled.