

**Board Staff Interrogatories**  
**2009 Incentive Regulation Mechanism Rate Application**  
**PUC Distribution Inc.**  
**EB-2008-0208**

**Revenue Requirement**

*Ref.: 2009 3<sup>rd</sup> Gen. IRM Supplementary Filing Module, Sheet B3.1*

1. Cell G75 shows that your 2008 revenue requirement was \$14,894,663.

The draft 2008 rate order filing (re: EB-2007-0931) on page 16 of 34 shows a 2008 revenue requirement of \$14,826,171. Staff understands that part of the difference between this number and the information provided in the IRM model may be due to the inclusion in the latter of the transformer allowance, but this does not account for the entire difference.

Please reconcile these two figures, providing a full explanation for any differences.

**Revenue to Cost Ratio Adjustment**

*Ref.: 2009 3 Gen. IRM Supplementary Filing Module, Sheets C1.1, C2.1, C3.1, and Manager's Summary pg. 1-3*

2. You have included on page 3 of 13 a table supporting your calculations for your proposed revenue to cost ratio adjustments for the 2009 rate year. Please submit a copy of these calculations in Microsoft Excel format.

**Retail Transmission Service Rates – Line and Transformation Connection Service Rate (RTSR – Connection)**

*Ref.: 2009 Rate Generator Model, Sheet L2.1*

3. On October 22, 2008, the Board issued its Guideline on *Electricity Distribution Retail Transmission Service Rates* (G-2008-0001). Page 4 of these guidelines state that "A distributor that has not yet filed its application for 2009 distribution rates is expected to include the RTSRs analysis and proposed adjustment in its application."
  - a) Please provide an explanation why no adjustment to the RTSR – Connection was proposed (i.e., cell D22 on sheet L2.1 was left blank).

- b) If it was an omission, please confirm what the proposed adjustment to your RTSR – Connection is and provide the supporting calculation.

**Rural or Remote Electricity Rate Protection (“RRRP”)**

4. By letter dated December 17, 2008, the Board informed the electricity distributors of the approval it has given to the IESO regarding the level of charge the IESO may apply to its Market Participants for the Rural or Remote Electricity Rate Protection (RRRP) program. In that letter, the Board stated: “Distributors that currently have a rate application before the Board shall file this letter as an update to their evidence along with a request that the RRRP charge in their tariff sheet be revised to 0.13 cents per kilowatt-hour effective May 1, 2009.”

If PUC Distribution Inc. has not done so, please file the required addition to the evidence as outlined in the December 17<sup>th</sup> letter.