

# PUBLIC INTEREST ADVOCACY CENTRE LE CENTRE POUR LA DEFENSE DE L'INTERET PUBLIC

ONE Nicholas Street, Suite 1204, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1N 7B7 Tel: (613) 562-4002. Fax: (613) 562-0007. e-mail: piac@piac.ca. http://www.piac.ca

Michael Buonaguro Counsel for VECC (416) 767-1666

January 5, 2009

VIA MAIL and E-MAIL

Ms. Kirsten Walli **Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board** P.O. Box 2319 2300 Yonge St. Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

RE: HYDRO 2000 INC.

EB-2008-0184

Please find enclosed the Interrogatories of the Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition (VECC) on the Applicant's evidence in the above-noted proceeding.

We have also directed a copy of the same to the Applicant.

Thank you.

Yours truly,

Michael Buonaguro Counsel for VECC Encl.

## HYDRO 2000 INC. EB-2008-0184

### **VECC Interrogatory Requests**

### **Question #1**

**Reference:** Hydro 2000, 3GIRM Rate Generator

a) With respect to TabF1.2, please confirm that Hydro 2000 is not applying for Price Cap adjustment and explain why?

#### **Question #2**

**Reference:** Hydro 2000, 3GIRM Supplementary Filing Model

- a) Hydro 2000 is proposing to reduce the revenue to cost ratio for the Residential class (per Tab C3.1). Why is there not reduction in the monthly service charge to the Residential class as a result of the cost allocation changes?
- b) In its decision regarding 2008 rate the OEB accepted Hydro 2000's proposed revenue to cost ratios for GS<50; GS>50 and Street Lighting noting they were all within the Board's guidelines. The Board's decision focused on adjustments to the USL class, which was under recovering. Why in the 2009 application is the proposed revenue to cost ratios for Street Lights being increased from 71.4% to 100% but the ratio for USL being held constant at 14.2% (Tab C3.1)?
- c) Why is there a cost allocation adjustment being proposed for the GS>50 Class (per Tab C3.1 and C4.1)? Why, for this class, is the service charge being decreased while the volumetric charge is being increased?