

PUBLIC INTEREST ADVOCACY CENTRE LE CENTRE POUR LA DEFENSE DE L'INTERET PUBLIC

ONE Nicholas Street, Suite 1204, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1N 7B7

Tel: (613) 562-4002. Fax: (613) 562-0007. e-mail: piac@piac.ca. http://www.piac.ca

Michael Buonaguro Counsel for VECC (416) 767-1666

January 5, 2008

VIA MAIL and E-MAIL

Ms. Kirsten Walli Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board P.O. Box 2319 2300 Yonge St. Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

Re: EB-2008-0188 : Hydro Ottawa Limited – 2009 Rate Application

Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition (VECC)

Updated Interrogatories: LRAM/SSM

Please find the updated Interrogatories of VECC in the above noted proceeding, specific to the LRAM/SSM aspects of the application. These IRs will replace the IRs submitted on December 18, 2008.

Thank you.

Yours truly,

Michael Buonaguro Counsel for VECC

cc: Hydro Ottawa Limited

ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF the *Ontario Energy Board*Act, 1998, S.O. 1998, c. 15, Sched. B, as amended;
AND IN THE MATTER OF an Application by Hydro
Ottawa Limited for an Order or Orders approving
or fixing just and reasonable rates.

Updated Interrogatories of the

Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition (VECC)

LOST REVENUE ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM ("LRAM")/SHARED SAVINGS

MECHANISM ("SSM")

Question 1

References: EB-2008-0188 Attachment D pages 1-7

EB-2007-0713 Exhibit D3 Tab2 Schedule 1Attachment #1&Attachment#2

- a) Compare the supporting Tables for 2005 and 2006 CDM Programs filed in the 2009 Application to the previous application (second reference). Provide a list with explanations for all changes.
- b) Why are customer equipment costs not listed in Attachment D? Provide a list and explanation of any changes from EB-2007-0713 Exhibit D3 Tab 2 Schedule 1 Attachment #1
- c) Confirm that the data in Attachment D is the same as provided to Elenchus Research Associates for the review/verification/audit. If not, provide a list and explanation of all differences.

Question 2

Reference: EB-2008-0188 Attachment D pages 1-7

a) Provide a Table of measure life assumptions for all residential/small commercial programs (and individual measures) in the same order as Attachment D. Indicate source(s) relied on. Comment on persistence of measures installed in 2005/2006 including the air conditioner retirement. b) Provide a comparison (differences only) of kw/kwh savings (gross and net), measure life and free ridership assumptions for all measures in Attachment D with those listed in the 2009 OPA Measures and Input Assumptions List www.powerauthority.on.ca/Page.asp?PageID=122&ContentID=6701&SiteNodeID=404.

Question 3

Reference Exhibit B Tab1Schedule 2 Page 5 Table 2

- a) For the Electric Avenue provide the breakdown of participants/units between water heater measures and CFLs. Also provide the kwh savings for each. Compare to participation shown in Table 1 of EB-2007-0713 (D3/2/1 Attachment #1 pg 2).Comment on why the 15 Education and Energuide Audit participants are/are not included.
- b) For Energy Audit Support and Incentives provide the 2007 incremental participants/units. Explain why # SLED exchanges are lower than the 2005/2006 number of 4,330 shown in Table 1 of EB-2007-0713 (D3/2/1 Attachment#1 pg 2). Is Hydro Ottawa claiming LRAM/SSM for continuing savings from 2005/2006 exchanges and/or 2007 units only. Please explain.
- c) Overall Program Support -explain why the number of CFLs is lower than in Table 1 of EB-2007-0713 (D3/2/1 Attachment#1 pg 2). Confirm there are no savings attributable to Program Support in the 2007 LRAM/SSM claim.

Question 4

Reference Exhibit B Tab1Schedule 2 Page 6 Table 3

- a) Provide a full explanation of the changes between the Residential kw/kwh savings shown in Table 3 and the corresponding values shown in Tables 4 and 5 of EB-2007-0713 (D3/2/1 pgs 10-12).
- b) Provide support for the Gross and Effective Net kw load reductions for each program/measure with specific reference to the OEB TRC Guide and/or the OPA Measures and Input Assumptions List
 - www.powerauthority.on.ca/Page.asp?PageID=122&ContentID=6701&SiteNodeID=404.

Question 5

Reference: Exhibit B Tab1Schedule 2 Page 7 and Attachment E

- a) Confirm that Hydro Ottawa is not claiming an SSM for 3rd tranche CDM in 2007.
- b) Confirm that Hydro Ottawa is not eligible for an SSM for OPA-funded programs.

Question 6

Reference: Exhibit B Tab1Schedule 2 Page 9 Table 7 and Attachment E

- a) For the Residential Class provide a Schedule (or a version of Attachment E) corresponding to Column 3 of Table 7 that provides the details of 2007 programs in terms of participants, free ridership, costs and gross and net kw/kwh savings from 2007 programs. Provide the sources for the input assumptions.
- b) Indicate which, if any, programs/measures for which an LRAM is being claimed for 2007 are **NOT** OPA-funded.
- c) Has Hydro Ottawa provided a report to OPA on OPA-funded 2007 programs/measures and has OPA confirmed/accepted the Report with/without review/verification. Provide a copy of the Report(s).

Question 7

Reference: Exhibit B Tab1Schedule 2 Pages 9 and 10

- Add a row(s) to Table 8 that provides the balances in the LRAM Account that supports the carrying cost calculations
- b) Provide a schedule (or additional columns) that maps the kwh/kw savings to the customer classes leading to the results in Table 9.

Question 8

Reference: Exhibit B Tab1Schedule 2 Page 11

- a) As noted earlier is Hydro Ottawa requesting a 2007 for OPA –funded programs? If so please comment on the requirements set out in the OEB Guidelines at page 28.
- b) Will the ERA Report be available before the deadline for IRs? Please confirm

Supplementary IR Elenchus Report

Question 9

Reference: ERA Report Pages 17-19

- a) For 2007 Residential Programs funded by OPA, provide a Schedule that compares the Freeridership, unit Energy Savings (kwh and kw) and measure life assumptions used by Hydro Ottawa to the OPA Measures and Input Assumptions Guide referenced earlier.
- b) Compute the gross and net savings using OPA assumptions and compare the result to the equivalent savings using Hydro Ottawa shown on Page 19
- c) Provide an Opinion as to which input assumptions are relevant for OPA- funded programs-OPA Assumptions or OEB TRC Guide plus other assumptions?
- d) Provide support or Working Papers for the Effectiveness Factor calculations for 2005/06
 measures and comment on determination of the effective dates for Mass Market
 measures
- e) Comment on the duplication of savings and persistence of certain short life measures such as CFLs and EKCs
- f) Provide an estimate as to how much of the total Residential LRAM claim is attributable to each of CFLs and EKCs and given the nature/timing of the campaigns in 2006 discuss the impact of assumptions regarding freeridership, duplication and persistence for each measure